# THE ROGIET HOARD AND THE COINAGE OF ALLECTUS 
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## Introduction

The three-and-a-half centuries of Roman rule in Britain have left an extensive legacy, not least in the form of coinage. The system of coinage introduced under Augustus ( $27 \mathrm{BC}-\mathrm{AD} 14$ ) - gold aurei, silver denarii and a range of copper alloy denominations - provided the money used in the province for over two hundred years until its final collapse in debasement and inflation around AD 270. There followed a quarter of a century in which a partial reform by the emperor Aurelian (270-5) appears to have had relatively little impact in Britain: hoards and individual finds point to continued circulation of the basest 'radiates' (double-denarii)' of the recently-suppressed 'Romano-Gallic' state ( $260-74$ ), contemporary issues of legitimate emperors such as Gallienus (260-8) and Claudius II (268-70) and widespread production of unofficial imitations, notably of coins of the Gallic usurpers Victorinus (269-71) and Tetricus I and Il (271-4): with gold and silver nowhere to be seen. Around 286-7. Britain and parts of northern Gaul became the scene of another usurpation, by the fleet commander Carausius, who had fallen out with the legitimate emperors Diocletian and Maximian. Carausius was in turn murdered and succeeded by his minister Allectus, in 293. Carausius issued the first fine-silver denarii for nearly a century and both he and Allectus produced gold coinage, the latter apparently in some quantity. At the everyday level, both issued billon coinage on the pattern of Aurelian's reformed issues (these are known today as aureliani); the numerous British hoards of the time, however. are mostly dominated by the debased 'Gallic' issues. The 'British' state was reincorporated into the Empire in 295 or 296. In the meantime. in 294-5. Diocletian undertook a fundamental reform, introducing a uniform coinage empire-wide that set the pattern for the fourth century, After reconquest, the coinage of Carausius and Allectus was suppressed and the new currency imposed in Britain.

In the absence of reliable historical evidence for the 'British' empire of Carausius and Allectus, interpretation of its coinage has assumed considerable significance. ${ }^{2}$ Understanding of this comage has unfortunately been hampered by the rarity of well-preserved specimens and hoard groups and some published work has been lighly speculative, from the time of Stukeley, in the eighteenth century, to the present. In September 1998, however, an unusual and significant hoard dating from the reign of Allectus was found in south-east Wales and this provides a rare opportunity to examine a well-preserved sample of one element of the coinage of the 'British' empire - the Q-radiates. or 'quinarii' of Allectus. The purpose of this paper is to place on record this remarkable assemblage and its context in the monetary circulation of the north-western part of the Empire; new evidence on the minting of aureliani; and to discuss the Q-radiates of Allectus and their place in Romano-British currency on the eve of reconquest and monetary reform.

[^0]In terms of design and production, the coinage of the later third century lacks in general the quality of that from earlier imperial periods, though amongst the aureliani are to be found some very handsome specimens. However, the rapid turnover of the 'soldier-emperors' of the third century, the debasements and attempted reforms of the coinage and the complications of several significant usurpations have given the Rogiet deposit an unusually varied composition amongst Romano-British coin hoards. Its forty-year span covers coins from eighteen reigns, in the names of twenty-six emperors or members of their families, with over 1.050 individual varieties.

## THE HOARD AND ITS CONTEXT

## Discovery

The hoard was discovered on 10 September 1998 by Colin Roberts, who was using a metal detector on farmland between Llanfihangel Rogiet and Rogiet (ST 4587), approximately 2 km west of Caldicot, Monmouthshire. ${ }^{3}$ The find was promptly reported to the National Museum of Wales (NMW) and to HM Coroner for Gwent, as required by the Treasure Act 1996. which had come into force in England and Wales on 24 September 1997, replacing the former common law of Treasure Trove. The site was visited on 11 September by staff of NMW and the GlamorganGwent Archaeological Trust to record the findspot and take delivery of the coins. The hoard. which at this stage numbered 3,778 coins, was declared treasure at an inquest in Newport on 10 December 1998 and was acquired in May 1999 by NMW (accession number 99.31H). It transpired subsequently that small numbers of coins had been found at the site for some years previously and the total number of coins certainly atributable to the deposit now stands at 3,813.4

Under previous treasure trove practice, the Rogiet hoard would have received no legal protection. since it consists of copper alloy coins containing very little precious metal. The hoard can claim a special place in the history of treasure law and practice in England and Wales as the first significant hoard of base metal coins to be declared treasure under the terms of the 1996 Act. ${ }^{5}$

## Archaeological Context

The hoard was found by metal detecting on recently-seeded grassland, in a field that had been under cultivation for many years. According to the finder, the first coins were located in plough soil and the bulk of the hoard at a depth between 14 and 20 inches ( $0.35-0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ). As examined on 11 September 1998, the find spot comprised a roughly oval hole 0.82 m by 0.34 m ; some 0.3 m of plough soil overlay a subsoil c. 0.2 m deep which in turn rested on an orange/red sandy gravel with some larger rounded pebbles in it. No trace survived of the original depositor's cut, but this appears not to have penetrated the natural gravel. There was no sign of a container, but the finder reported several small iron nails which. with the general shape of his excavation, might suggest that the coins had been deposited in a rectangular wooden box. though this is not certain. No traces of mineralised fabric were observed on any of the coins. The hole also yielded a few sherds of worn pottery: pottery and stone scatters and a number of late third- and fourth-century coins have been found elsewhere in the field.

The hoard site is near the shore of the Severn Estuary on a slightly elevated area of land (c. 10 m O.D.) between the Caldicot Levels to the south and hills rising to 82 m O.D. to the north. (In terms of the modern landscape this lies between the M4 motorway/Great Western Railway to the south and the M48 to the north.) Excavations in 1996 in the adjacent field to the east, ahead of residential development, located a Roman building in stone, of probable second-century date. ${ }^{6}$ About 3 km to the north, over the hills. lies the important Roman town and 'tribal capital' of

[^1]Caerwent (Venta Silurum); to the west, just under 12 km away, is the legionary fortress of $\operatorname{lsc} a$ at Caerleon. The 'shore fort' at Cardiff, further to the west, was built towards the end of the third century (Fig. 1).

## Composition

The Rogiet hoard comprises 3,813 coins of the middle and later years of the third century AD . summarised by reign and by mint in Table 1. These are, essentially, of copper alloy with small added percentages of silver and many, on cleaning, proved to retain the silvered surfaces that were applied to these issues. The coins cover the period from AD 253 to the reign of the 'British' usurper Allectus. The latest coins of the Central (official) emperors are two of Maximian of $A D$ 293 (954-5) and there are three aureliani (1006-8) of Allectus, who took power in Britain the same year. The question of the hoard's date revolves, however. around the interpretation of the 757 'Q-radiates', or 'quinarii' of Allectus: they will be considered in detail helow.

In broad terms, the hoard contains three significant components: unreformed radiates of $253-c .274$ (i.e. including early coins of Aurelian) and their Romano-Gallic counterparts of 260-74; aureliani from Aurelian's reform through to Diocletian and Maximian, together with small numbers of similar coins of Carausius and Allectus; and the Q-radiates of Allectus. Several features stand out immediately: the large quantity of aureliani, which are usually present in British hoards only in very small numbers; the relatively small group of coms of $260-74$ and the virtual absence of coins of the Tetrici; and the Allectan Q-radiates. the first significant group of these enigmatic coins to become available for study in recent times. The great Blackmoor hoard of 1873 included fewer than 80 (less than 0.3 per cent of the deposit), while the Old Ford (1866) hoard seemingly a potful of Q-radiates - was dispersed without a proper record.?


Fig. 1 The location of the Rogier horarl (NMW/Jackie Chadwick).

[^2]TABLE I. The Rogier hoard, 1998: summary of reighs and mints

| Central Empire (1) |  | Gaul | Rome | Milon |  | Siscia | Balkan | Eastern | Cyzicus |  | Torals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valerian and family | 253-60 | 2 | 15 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 23 |
| Gallienus and Salonina | 260-8 |  | 36 | 15 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 57 |
| Macrianus | 260.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Claudius II | 268-70 |  | 17 | 5 |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 28 |
| Divus Claudius | 270 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Quintillus | 270 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
|  |  | 2 | 82 | 20 |  | 6 | 1 | 10 | 2 |  | 123 |
| Gallic Empire |  | Trierll | Cologne/II | Milan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postumus | $260-9$ | 34 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
| Laelian | 269 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Marius | 269 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Victorinus | 269-7 | 32 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |
| Tetricus II | 2734 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | 69 | 35 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| Central Empire (2) |  | Lyon | Rome | Milan | Ticinum | Siscia | Balkan | Serdica | Cyzicus | Antioch |  |
| Aurelian pre-reform | 270-4 |  | 37 | 135 |  | 52 | 10 | 5 | 10 |  | 249 |
| Aurelian and Severina | 274-5 | 6 | 26 |  | 55 | 13 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 103 |
| Tacius | 275-6 | 486 | 90 |  | 66 | 4 |  | 3 |  |  | 649 |
| Florian | 276 | 31 | 5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| Probus | 276-82 | 856 | 199 |  | 234 | 44 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1339 |
| Carus and family | 282-5 | 51 | 29 |  | 36 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| Diocletian and Maximian | 284-93 | 230 | 5 |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 276 |
|  |  | 1660 | 391 | 135 | 432 | 118 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 2773 |
| British Empire |  | Unmarked | London | C mim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carausius | 28617.93 | 3 | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Diocletian | c. 293 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Maximian | c. 293 |  | 3 | ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Carausius el fratres sui | c. 293 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Allectus radiates | 293-5/6 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Allectus Q-radiates | 293-5/6 |  | 295 | 462 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 757 |
|  |  | 3 | 304 | 477 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 784 |
| Counterfeits | all types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Unidentified clusters (all Central Empire) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |

Typically, late third-century Romano-British hoards (and many from Gaul) consist of large numbers of the base and 'unreformed radiates of $260-74$; two-thirds or more of these are usually coins of the Romano-Gallic emperors Victorinus (269-71) and Tetricus I and II (271-4), with the remaining third mostly of Gallienus (260-8) and Claudius II (268-70). These are supplemented by varying numbers, usually small, of the reformed aureliani of the 270s and 280s and by irregular issues ('barbarous radiates'). ${ }^{8}$ The picture is less clear-cut during the British Empire (c.287-95/6): Bland and Burnett. publishing the Normanby hoard, identified four categories of hoard during this period, consisting of 'reformed' coins (which include mint-signed issues of Carausius and Allectus) or 'unreformed' coins (including early Carausian issues, as well as the Q-radiates of Allectus), each with or without pre-Carausian issues. The presence or absence of a type of coin, it was argued, could be seen in terms of different 'monetary categories (= denominations?)'."

Only one other British find is known that contains large numbers of aureliani, the Gloucester (Cross) hoard, found in 1960 and still unpublished. This comprised over 15.500 coins closing, like Rogiet, with Allectus; but, unlike Rogict, the hoard virtually excludes coins of 260-74. Another hoard. from Linchmere. consists of 812 coins, two-thirds of them mint-signed issues of Carausius. the remainder almost entirely aureliani. These two hoards form the first category, 'reformed coins, including pre-Carausian'. The second. 'reformed coins, none before Carausius', is exemplified by Burton Latimer and Colchester. The third and fourth categories, 'unreformed coins', include hoards such as Normanby, Blackmoor and, in Wales, Erw-Hên ("including pre-Carausian"); and Croydon and Old Ford ('none before Carausius').

TABLE 2. Percentage compositions of selected British Empirc hoards (modified from Bland and Burnett (1988). Table 3)

|  | Normaney | Enr-Hen | Croodon | Linclimere | Biackinoor | B. Latimer | Colctiester | EHarmham | Gloncester | Rugiel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goaliemus-Quintiuns | 25.6 | 26.2 | 2.3 | . | 27.8 | - | 3.10 | 82.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 |
| Postumus-Tesrici | 69.2 | 70.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 66.7 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 0.3 | $<0.1$ | 2.8 |
| Aurelian Tefrarchy | 0.3 | 2.11 | - | 33.7 | 33 | - | 03 | 15.8 | 99. | 72.7 |
| Curunsius ummarked | 02 | 1.3 | 72.6 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.3 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Carausius marked | 0.1 | 0.1 | 19.0 | 61.7 | 0.6 | 50.9 | 32.9 | - | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| 'Dicletion. Maximian' | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Alleches aurchani | - | - | - | - | 12.1 | 4.4 | 41.4 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Allectus Q-rudiotes | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | 0.7 | - | - | 19.9 |
| Number of coins | 47.912 | 68.4 | 84 | 812 | 29.802 | 108 | 298 | 3.705 | 15.544 | 3.813 |
| Bland Barnett collegiry | 3 | , | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3/1. | I, 1 |  |

A further complication lies in the hoards which have come to be known as 'legitimist': those where coins of usurpers appear to have been consciously excluded. These hoards appear mainly to be western: Gloucester, for instance, includes a single coin of Victorinus and only 38 coins of Carausius (many in the names of Diocletian and Maximian) and Allectus, in all around $0.25 \%$ of the hoard; East Harnham avoids Carausius altogether, while including some of his 'Diocletian' and 'Maximian' issues. In Bland and Burnett's view, 'legitimist' hoards can form sub-classes. perhaps slightly later, of their four categories of British Empire hoards.

In its composition, therefore, Rogiet appears - uniquely in Britain - to straddle the various categories: it contains both reformed and unreformed coins, pre-Carausian and Carausian coins and Q-adiates in quantity. On the Bland and Burnett model, it may be seen to form a fifth category, consisting of around 1.250 'unreformed' coins (radiates and Q-radiates) - and about 2,560 'reformed' coins (aureliani) from Aurelian to Allectus, though there may have been some blurring of the two categories, based on size, in the mind of the hoard's owner.

A closer look at the "unreformed' element underlines and expands this view: the unreformed radiates appear to have been carefully selected. Not only are the weights of the pre-270 and Gallic

[^3]coins generally higher than might be expected (see below), but the worst-debased issues are absent, presumably deliberately excluded or unavailable to the hoarder. Thus there are no coins of Claudius II. Rome issue 3 in the Normanby scheme, the very worst of his issues, though the following issue is present. Coins of Victorinus stop mid-reign at mint 1. issue 3 (PAX AVG/INVICTVS) and mint II, issue 4 (VICTORIA AVG): his lighter late issues are avoided. Most striking is the complete absence of the Tetrici, apart from two late coins of Tetricus II. both of good weight. The impression of careful selection is reinforced by the irregular coins: there are only eleven, the majority well silvered copies of Postumus, forming just under $0.3 \%$ of the whole deposit. Rogiet may therefore be described as a 'two-denomination' hoard, in which the 'unreformed' element of coins pre-274 shows every sign of having been carefully selected.

Formal evidence for the disposition of the coins within the hoard was lost before it could be examined. However, during the sorting of the hoard forty-five fused clusters (mainly pairs and groups of three, with a few larger groups) were observed and their compositions noted in outline. Of these, twenty-three comprised solely aureliani and a further eight contained aureliani and coins of Aurelian (phase not noted); two comprised pre-274 radiates and seven solely Q-radiates. Five clusters mixed the categories: two paired a pre-274 coin with an aurelianus; three, aureliani and Q-radiates. Of these last, there was one pair and two groups - of three and five coins - with the Qradiates on the outside. Some segregation of categories within the hoard may perhaps be inferred, but this cannot now be proved.

## The circulation of aureliani in Britain

The term aurelianus has come into use in recent years to describe the reformed radiates of Aurelian and his successors, first issued around 274 , by analogy with the name antoninianus applied to the radiate double-denarii originally introduced by Caracalla (Antoninus) in $215 .^{10}$ These demonstrate improved weights and better workmanship than the highly debased issues of radiates down to 274 ; many, though by no means all, bear in the reverse exergue the formula ' XXI ' (or the Greek equivalent, KA), taken to refer to the metal, which equates to one part of silver to twenty of alloy. Other control-marks identify production units (officinae), issues and sometimes the mint. At the time of the reform. smaller laureate pieces ('denarii') were also issued in some quantity at Rome, though this initiative was not sustained in subsequent reigns, except in very small numbers. ${ }^{11}$

Aureliani formed the basis of everyday currency for around twenty years, until the Augustan system was finally done away with through Diocletian's reform. Significant hoards of aureliani have been found, notably La Venèra (Italy), Svetozarevo (former Yugoslavia: today Jagodina. Serbia). Maravielle (Var, France), Navis-Mühlen (Austria) and Gloucester. Nevertheless, nearly one quarter of La Venèra comprised issues before 270 (Table 3). Gloucester and Rogiet appear to be atypical, as far as the territory of the former Romano-Gallic Empire is concerned, where numerous hoards have been recorded that consist for the most part of issues of the period 260-74: Gallienus, Claudius II, Victorinus and the Tetrici, with varying but usually small numbers of aureliani. ${ }^{12}$ This pattern is paralleled clsewhere, for instance in the Plovdiv hoard (Bulgaria), a hoard of the 280s, in which coins of Gallienus and Claudius account for over $80 \%$ of the whole. The 1896 Nieder-Rentgen (Lorraine: now Basse-Rentgen. Moselle, France) hoard, however, strikes a more even balance, with reformed issues comprising around $40 \%$ of a deposit of 14,074 coins.

Nevertheless, aureliani did circulate in Britain, albeit generally in small numbers. As well as in hoards, they are found occasionally as isolated single losses and in archaeological excavations. A hoard found at the Wint Hill villa site at Banwell, Somerset, comprised nineteen aureliani and eleven radiates. Most of the supply to Britain (if formal supply there was) came from the Lyon mint, successor to the 'Gallic' mints. In 280, for instance, there was an uncirculated batch of

[^4]TABLE 3. Examples of hoards principally composed of aureliani (percentage compositions)

|  | Maravielle | Svetozarevo | La Venèra* | Navis-Mihten | Gloucester*s |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Pre-270 and Gallic | 0.9 | 0.7 | 24.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Aurelian and Severina | 38.0 | 35.5 | 23.9 | 7.1 | 17.2 |
| Tacitus and Florian | 10.0 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 25.0 |
| Probus | 39.8 | 51.8 | 28.5 | 81.0 | 42.4 |
| Carus and family | 11.2 | 3.3 | 9.6 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| Diocletian and Maximian | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 9.8 |
| Other | - | - | $<0.1$ | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Totak | 1.745 | 1.973 | 46.372 | 1.745 | 15.54 .4 |

${ }^{\text {*Figures from Milani: ** Gloticester figures provisional }}$

Tacitus from Lyon in the hands of the owner of the Kirmington hoard. There is also evidence a little later for separation of aureliani from other radiates in the Tattershall Thorpe hoard (c.281); and later still, in Penard ( $c: 290$ ). With time, coins of more distant mints appeared (see for instance the case of Probus, Table 4), suggesting a gradual mixing of coinage through various transactions, leading to a similar pattern to that observed later for the Tetrarchic nummi. With the issuing of aureliani by Carausius and Allectus, their use finally seems to have become more important in Britain - just in time for the monetary system to be completely changed by Diocletian.

TABLE 4. Coins of Probus in British hoards, c.276-86

| Terminus | Lyon | Tisinum | Reme | Siscia | Tntal | Hoards |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $276-7$ | 15 | 1 | - | - | 16 | Riby. Bowcombe |
| $\%$ | 9.8 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 280 | 32 | 4 | 1 | - | 37 | Chalgrove. Hollingbourne. Kirmington |
| $\%$ | 86.4 | 10.8 | 2.7 |  |  |  |
| 281 | 146 | 12 | 13 | - | 171 | Chalfont. Childs Ercall, Kirkby, Minster, |
| $\%$ | 85.3 | 7.0 | 7.6 |  |  | Tatershall Thorpe |
| $\%$ | 168 | 17 | 11 | 3 | 199 | Appleshaw. Coleby, Knaresborough, Mallby |
| 282 | 84.4 | 8.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
| $\%$ | 55 | 16 | 17 | 3 | 91 | Banwell, Monkton Farleigh. Much Wenlock |
| $284-6$ | 60.4 | 17.6 | 18.7 | 3.3 |  |  |

Table 5 summarises the occurrence of aureliani in British hoards. Apart from the massive Gloucester deposit, Rogiet and Blackmoor are the only British finds to contain significant numbers (though in Blackmoor these still represent less than four per cent of the whole). Twenty-four other hoards collectively provide a sample somewhat smaller than that from Rogiet alone.

TABLE 5. Central Empire coins of 274-94 in British hoards

|  | Rogier | \% | Gloumester | \% | Blackmoor | \% | 24 others* | 尔 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aurelian and Severina | 103 | 4.1 | 707 | 5.2 | 34 | 78 | 74 | 3.7 |
| Tacitus | 649 | 25.7 | 3.692 | 27.4 | 16.3 | 2.5.1 | 699 | 14.7 |
| Florian | 40 | 1.6 | 200 | 1.5 | 10 | 1.4 | 28 | $1 .+$ |
| Probus | 1.339 | 53.1 | 6.586 | 48.9 | 341 | 48.3 | 947 | 47.1 |
| Carus and famil) | 117 | 4.6 | 753 | 4.3 | 44 | 6.2 | 59 | 2.9 |
| Diocletian and Maximian | 276 | 109 | 1531 | II + | 113 | 16.0 | 2015 | 11.2 |
| Totals | $2.524 \%$ |  | 13.469 |  | 705 |  | 2.1)12 |  |

*Appleshaw, Banwell, Bath. Bowcombe, Chalfont, Chalerove Childs Ereall, Coleby, E. Harnham, Gilmorion, Hollingboume. Kukby, Knaresborotgh. Latock. Linchmere. Malthy, Minster. Monkton Farleghh. Much Wenlock. Normanby, Penard, Riby, Somerset. Tattershall Thupe.
+Total excludes 17 coins in uncleaned clusters

Distribution by mints within the individual reigns to 293 is set out in Table 6, together with summaries of two significant hoards from different areas in Gaul - the north-east (NiederRentgen) and south (Maravielle). Lyon. Rome and Ticinum (in succession to Milan) are well represented, but post-reform coins of Siscia are severely reduced as a proportion of the whole, compared with Aurelian's pre-reform issues. ${ }^{13}$ This appears to be typical for British finds: a small but reasonably consistent trickle of issues from Siscia and more easterly mints is observed here and similarly in hoards of nummi deposited after Diocletian's reform at the end of the century, by which time new mints at London and Trier had joined Lyon as the principal sources of supply. ${ }^{14}$

TABLE 6. Mint distribution by reign in selected British and Gallic hoards (percentages)

|  | Lyon | Rome | Ticmum | Siscia | Serdica | Cyzicus | Antioch | Toral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aurelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 6.6 | 25.3 | 49.9 | 10.3 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 707 |
| Rogiet | 5.8 | 25.2 | 53.4 | 12.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 | - | 103 |
| 25 Briush | 9.3 | 22.4 | 56.1 | 10.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | - | 107 |
| $N$-Rentgen | 12 | 43.6 | 30.0 | 17.2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | - | 16.3 |
| Maravielle | 0.7 | 35.6 | 22.6 | 24.7 | 8.9 | 6.8 | - | 146 |
| Tacitus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 75.1 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3691 |
| Rogiet | 74.9 | 13.9 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | - | - | 649 |
| 25 British | 83.7 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 854 |
| $N$-Rentgen | 49.8 | 30.7 | 13.0 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | - | 261 |
| Maravielle | 20.4 | 46.5 | 19.0 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | - | 142 |
| Florian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 74.0 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | - | 200 |
| Rogiet | 77.5 | 12.5 | - | 10.0 | - |  | - | 40 |
| 25 British | 76.3 | 13.2 | 2.6 | 5.3 | - | 2.6 | - | 38 |
| N-Rentgen | 27.0 | 54.1 | 13.5 | 2.7 | - | 2.7 | - | 37 |
| Maravielle | 12.1 | 54.5 | 9.1 | 18.2 | - | 6.1 | - | 33 |
| Probus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 70.0 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | <0.1 | 6584 |
| Rogiet | 63.9 | 14.9 | 17.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | $<0.1$ | 1339 |
| 25 British | 67.9 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 5.2 | - | 0.4 | - | 1286 |
| N-Rentgen | 43.7 | 26.1 | 18.6 | 10.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1828 |
| Maravielle | 10.7 | 49.6 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | - | 694 |
| Carus etc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 41.3 | 25.7 | 30.9 | 1.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.8 | 750 |
| Rogiet | 43.6 | 24.8 | 30.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - | 117 |
| 10 British | 28.2 | 36.9 | 34.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 103 |
| $N$-Rentgen | 64.8 | 22.8 | 11.8 | $1) .6$ | - | - | - | 772 |
| Maravielle | 23.6 | 45.6 | 29.2 | 1.5 | - | - | - | 195 |
| Diocletian and Maximian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gloucester | 78.6 | 6.7 | 14.4 | 0.3 | - | - | $<0.1$ | 15.30 |
| Rogiet | 83.3 | 1.8 | 14.9 | - | - | - | - | 276 |
| 10 British | 84.3 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 313 |
| N-Rentgen | 92.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 2660) |

(Gloucester figures for Tacitus, Carus and Diocletian and Maximian are provisional)
The contemporary Gloucester and Rogiet groups are on the whole remarkably consistent, though the latter is relatively strong for Ticinum coins of Probus. The comparative British hoards (as Table 5. plus Blackmoor) present a similar general pattern; but since they vary in date over nearly two decades, they differ in detail from Rogiet and Gloucester. Lyon coins of Tacitus are more dominant (even without Kirmington), for instance, but the mint is under-represented for Carus and family. The two Gallic hoards, from very different regions, differ from each other

[^5]considerably. Maravielle, from the very south of France, has surprisingly few Lyon coins throughout and its relationship to Cisalpine hoards has been remarked upon by Estiot. ${ }^{15}$

Many years ago, in discussing the 'clash of the coinages', Mattingly suggested that the aureliani may have been tariffed at more than twice the pre-reform coins. ${ }^{16}$ Estiot, however, has suggested that the ratio was $2: 1$ and this appears to be reasonable. ${ }^{17}$ The fact that, at Rome at least. Aurelian seems to have set out to produce significant numbers of a 'denarius' suggests that a functioning two-denomination system was envisaged: the portrait designs and relative weights also support a 2:1 tariff for this species. The plentiful Gallic radiates in Britain and Gaul will have fulfilled the function of the smaller piece and perhaps local preference, as much as any shortfall in official supply, saw to it that aureliani remained a minority in the currency, but readily available to those who wished to use them, for instance in trade or in travelling across the Empire. The significance of the Q-radiates in this picture will be considered below, but if they too are regarded as halves of the aureliani, the latter's numerical 66.5 per cent of the hoard equates to 80.5 per cent of the deposit's value.

The aureliani in their turn served as subsidiary coins to the nummi introduced around 294 by Diocletian's reform. They are found hoarded with the nummi in the early post-reform years and this phenomenon is observed more commonly in Gaul than in Britain. Several of the more important continental hoard groups of aureliani come from such post-reform hoards, for instance Colonne I + II and Troussey.

## THE COINS: RADIATES AND AURELIANI

## A. The Central and Romano-Gallic Empires to AD 274

The 123 Central Empire coins of 253-70 and 105 Romano-Gallic issues of $260-74$ are too few to analyse in any detail except insofar as they bear on the nature of the Rogiet hoard, discussed in part above. They confirm that the hoard has been the subject of careful selection and many are of good weight and/or impressive flan size (see illustrated examples on Pl. 3). There is a relatively high proportion of Eastern issues ( 10 per cent), which were often more impressive in appearance. including one coin of the usurper Macrianus (70), ${ }^{18}$ whose issues are rare in Britain. The abrupt cut-off in the coins of Victorinus and the absence of Claudius's third Rome issue have been noted above. The few commemorative 'Divus Claudius' issues of $c .270$ are, unusually, all regular issues.

Few individual issues are sufficiently well represented for a statistical comparison with those in other hoards but where Rogiet can be compared, a consistent picture emerges (Table 7).

TABLE 7. Rogiel average weights, $6.265-74$, compared with Cunctio and Normanby hoards

| Reign | /xsue | Rogiet |  | Cunctio <br> Av. wt (g) | Normanby <br> Av. wt (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | number | Av. wt (g) |  |  |
| Gallienus | Rome. 5 | 17 | 3.41 | 2.65 | 2.47 |
| Claudius II | Rome, 2 | 10 | 3.52 | 2.79 | 2.73 |
|  | Rome, 4 | 7 | 3.22 | 2.79 | 2.59 |
| Quintillus | Rome | 8 | 3.15 | 2.70 | 2.70 |
| Postumus | Mint 1.6 | 13 | 3.13 | 2.93 | 2.93 |
| Victorinus | Mint [, 3b | 23 | 3.)1 | 2.84 | 2.83 |
|  | Mint I1. 2 | 20 | 3.15 | 2.83 | 2.88 |

In every case the Rogiet sample, though admittedly very small, is of higher average weight, by between 6 and $29 \%$, than the Cunetio figure. The fifteen coins of this period in the Maravielle hoard, assembled by around 285 , seem similarly to have been selected for their good weight: and

[^6]the 54 coins of Gallienus, Salonina and Claudius II in the Gloucester hoard seem to demonstrate the same phenomenon: eighteen Rome coins of Claudius II, issue 2, average 3.68 g , for instance. ${ }^{19}$

Few coins in this portion of the hoard are of numismatic note, though attention may be drawn to a minor variation in the reverse design of no. 119. Postumus series 4a, SALVS AVG. Unusually, Laelian outnumbers Marius, though in a very small sample.

The 352 coins of Aurelian's reign form the first issues to be represented substantially in the Rogiet hoard. Around seventy per cent predate the 'XXI' reform of 274, but by then Aurelian had already improved the general appearance of the radiate issues. This is reflected in the considerable number of pre-reform coins from Rome, Milan and Siscia, though here too the earliest issues of these mints are all but absent and the few that are in the hoard are mostly of very good weights.

## B. Aurelian and his successors: the Central Empire c.274-93

The 2.541 Central Empire coins that date from Aurelian`s reform to the decennalia of Diocletian and Maximian form exactly two-thirds, numerically, of the Rogiet hoard, a group exceeded in Britain only by that in the great Gloucester (1960) hoard, which contained just under 13,500 comparable coins. They have been catalogued using as basic reference volume V of The Roman Imperial Coinage (RIC) and arranged on the basis of more recent analytical studies of specific reigns and mints. Nearly sixty per cent come from Lyon ( 1,660 coins) and these are listed following Bastien. ${ }^{30}$ For other mints, one is now fortunate to be able to use the work of Estiot (Aurelian, Tacitus, Florian) and Gricourl (Carus et sui, Diocletian and Maximian) on the large La Venèra hoard from northern Italy. ${ }^{21}$ Only Probus lacks a modern published account, other than for the Lyon mint. For this reign. Pink's 'Aufbau' is followed (apart from Lyon), with some modification which takes into account the 6.586 coins of his reign in the Gloucester hoard, which have been studied in parallel with the Rogiet sample. ${ }^{22}$

## Lyon

The Lyon mint functioned throughout this period with four officinae; reverse designs are usually specific to these production units. though there are exceptions (for instance MARS VICTOR as a major type in two officinae under Probus). Issues of Aurelian and Severina, Tacitus and Florian amount to just over 500 coins. There are several rarities, for instance two specimens of B. $63 \alpha$ (328) in issue 3 of Tacitus, and two new varieties in his seventh issue, both the result of an engraving error ( $\mathbf{3 5 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 5 8}$, see below). Such errors appear from time to time in the Lyon series and have been discussed in the second Supplément to Bastien's work. The pattern for Tacitus of alternating large and small issues, observed elsewhere (e.g. Blackmoor. Tattershall Thorpe. La Venèra) is also apparent here.

The 856 Lyon coins of Probus in Rogiet and the 4.609 from the Gloucester hoard provide the best single-source samples for this reign. Many 'type specimens' cited by Bastien as 'BM' derive in fact from Gloucester: the British Museum acquired in 1962 a sample of 1,433 coins from this large hoard, the remainder of which went to Gloucester Museum. Bastien therefore had access to less than one-tenth of the Gloucester coins (though the British Museum had attempted to acquire examples of all varieties). Study of the Gloucester coins of Probus, towards a proposed new catalogue, in parallel with those in Rogiet, gives perhaps a better idea of the relative numbers and significance of the various issues than is possible on the basis of museum collections (Table 8).

The Lyon coins of Probus are here catalogued according to the nine sequential issues identified by Bastien. The first of these comprises distinctive coins with the 'long' obverse IMP CM AVR PROBVS AVG and a Florian-like portrait, using the reverse types of Florian's last issue (e.g.

[^7]TABLE S. Distribution of Lyon issues of Probus in hoards and colleclions

| Issue | Rogiei | $\%$ | Cloucester | $\%$ | 5 French* | $\%$ | Bastient | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 19 | 2.2 | 100 | 2.2 | 10 | 2.0 | 69 | 3.0 |
| $2-3$ | 163 | 19.0 | 908 | 19.7 | 64 | 12.9 | 354 | 15.3 |
| 4 | 240 | 28.0 | 1268 | 27.5 | 117 | 23.5 | 298 | 12.9 |
| 5 | 15 | 1.8 | 56 | 1.2 | 13 | 2.6 | 259 | 11.2 |
| 6 | 128 | 15.0 | 584 | 12.7 | 74 | 14.9 | 383 | 16.5 |
| 7 | 7 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.7 | 20 | 4.0 | 106 | 4.6 |
| 8 | 40 | 4.7 | 246 | 5.3 | 97 | 19.5 | 336 | 14.5 |
| 9 | 244 | 28.5 | 1414 | 30.7 | 102 | 20.5 | 512 | 22.1 |
| Totals | 856 |  | 4607 |  | 497 |  | 2317 |  |

*Authieux, Colonne, Maravielle, Ste-Pallaye, Troussey. $\dagger$ Bastien, as a. 20.

447-9). ${ }^{23}$ Issue 2 introduces new reverses, some of which then continue through issue 3 to issue 4 . Those coins of issues 2 and 3 that share the same reverse types are distinguished from each other by the evolution of the emperor's effigy into one that is distinctively 'Probus' (e.g. 459/1, 463/9). lssue 4 comprises coins with a shortened obverse legend, IMP C PROBVS.P.F.AVG.

These 'issues' form a convenient way of listing the coins, but in practice things were probably not so clear-cut. Rogiet and Gloucester provide some new evidence that might suggest a more fluid situation, as the portraits and obverse legends evolved - i.e., as new batches of dies were cut and then put into use. Rogiet has added a new variety to issue 4: no. 464, with reverse ORIENS AVG, in officina I. This coin is a mule between issues 4 and 2 . A second type in the same issue, SECVRITAS ORBIS (B.185), is also a $4 / 2$ mule: and this reverse also reappears as a $6 / 2$ mule (B.263, Gloucester hoard). Both of these reverse types have previously been attributed to issue 2 , but not issue 3. However, it is apparent that ORIENS AVG certainly continued into issue 3, for there are examples that show the same evolution of the emperor's portrait as the other issue 3 types (e.g., Rogiet 451/5). It is in any case not always easy to distinguish coins of issue 2 from those of issue 3 , since this depends upon perception of style where the style is evolving. Is the new mule evidence for this type continuing into issue 4. or should this be regarded simply as an example of the survival of an obsolete reverse die? Altermatively, does this evidence bring the issues closer together in time, even overlapping? (B. $176 \propto$ is another mule, this time with a later reverse, combining the short form TEMPOR FELICI of issue 4 with a 'long' obverse attributable to issue 3.) ${ }^{24}$ There are portraits on issue 4 dies that are very similar to those of issue 3: did the use of the shorter obverse legends overlap with the longer? In the next large issue (6) both long and short obverse legends are used; this may also have been the case in an evolving issue 2-3-4. In passing, we may note that of the handful of British hoards closing with coins of Probus several end with issue 4, but none earlier, as far as Lyon is concemed.

Down to issue 4 , obverse busts are cuirassed, viewed from the front (the sole exception being B.177/179bis, which perhaps belongs to issue 6). In issue 6 , the cuirass takes on a new form and draped busts, viewed from the rear, become a significant element. The form of the 'early' cuirass of issues $1-4$ is not often clear, since the truncation is almost always cut off short; the cuirass of issue 6 and later coins is clearly a form of chain mail.

A similar duality may be observed on the obverse busts of issue 5 , a series of coins with exceptional obverses that Bastien saw as a short, special issue - intended as a donativum - around the end of 277 ; it accounts for over 11 per cent of the aureliani recorded in Bastien's survey. In hoards, however, this issue - like issue 7 - is consistently rare and dwarfed by those either side, though both do appear to be a little more significant in French hoards. The occasion for the issue was no doubt the Adventus recorded on its single gold type and on aureliani, both with the

[^8]ceremonial busts (e.g. 473) and the conventional obverses of issue 4. The reverses are those of issues 4 and 6 . Special busts have also been noted in other issues: 6 (B.290 人), 7? (B.340ß), 8 (B. $339-40 ; 340 \alpha ; 341$ ) and 9 (B. $372,272 \alpha$ ). The obverse die of B.290 $\alpha$ is that of B.239b in issue 5 and this coin has been interpreted as an obverse die re-used in a subsequent issue that otherwise lacked the special busts. ${ }^{25}$ There is, perhaps, some evidence that other special busts may indeed have been used during issue 6 , in that some of the 'issue 5 ' busts appear to share characteristics of the obverses of issue 6. Most of those with the bust viewed from the front bear a cuirass in the form of a solid breastplate ( ${ }^{\circ} 1^{\circ}$, e.g. $475 / 1,478 / 2$ ), which may be similar to that of issue 4 (see, for instance, $\mathbf{4 6 6} / \mathbf{1}$ ); on others we see the 'chain mail' of issue 6 ( ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{}^{\prime}$, e.g. Rogiet 483), with most reverse types associated with both forms. Others, viewed from the rear, are draped (484), or almost always have the 'chain mail' form of cuirass (479) - characteristics of issue 6 . The occurrence of these varieties is summarized in Table 9, which combines the coins illustrated in Bastien and supplements with the Rogiet and Gloucester examples.

TABLE 9. Bust types on coins of Lyon, issue 5 (numbers of specimens)

| Type | $\underline{\text { Busts with eurirass ope l }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Busts mith cuirass type } 2}$ |  | Other busts* Rear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Front | Rear | Front | Reur |  |
| ADVENTVS ....1, III, [1] | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| TEMPOR FELICI. I | 38 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 9 |
| MARS VICTOR. II | 36 | - | 5 | - | 12 |
| FIDES MILITVM. 11 I | 24 | - | - | - | 5 |
| MARS VICTOR, III | 24 | - | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| ABVNDANTIA AVG. 111 | 20 | - | - | 2 | 6 |
| VIRTVS AVG, III | 7 | - | 6 | 5 | - |
| Totals | 161 | 2 | 14 | 21 | 41 |
|  | 163 |  | 35 |  | 41 |
| *Excluding consular. |  |  |  |  |  |

While this comparison is only semi-quantitative, it appears to demonstrate that some of the issue 5 coins may have been contemporaneous with those of issue 6 . Coins with the first cuirass type are roughly twice as common as the combined total of those with the second and with draped busts viewed from the rear. This in broad terms parallels the relative numbers of coins from issues 4 and 6 in Rogiet, Gloucester and other smaller hoards. The one type where specimens with the second version of the cuirass outnumber those with the first is VIRTVS AVG in officina IIII - a type that postdates issue 4. The relative numbers suggest an issue using special obverses during the course of issue 4 , with perhaps a second such issue during the course of issue 6 . Coins with special busts may have been produced fairly continuously, but usually in small numbers, from the time of issue 4 onwards. This pattern appears to parallel that at Ticinum (see below).

One minor adjustment to the corpus of Lyon issues of Probus concerns the type FIDES MILITVM. of which three specimens have been published with signature -- II II: B. 177 in issue 3 (or $6 \%$ ) and B.I89, 189b in issue 4. The first appears from its illustration to show traces of a third ' I ' and its likelihood of belonging to officina III is increased by its obverse die link to B. 179 bis (FIDES MILITVM, - - // III). B.189, a British Museum coin from the Gloucester hoard, is certainly from officina III and B.189b, following re-examination, is also likely to read 'III'. ${ }^{26}$ These three specimens should be deleted: examination of 440 coins with this reverse type in the Rogiet and Gloucester hoards has failed to find any reading 'II' and it appears that it was confined to officina III.

In the final (ninth) Lyon issue of Probus, two versions of the type Spes have been noted in officina C. I have described these as 'walking' (Spes 1, e.g. 527) and 'standing' (Spes 1a, c.g. 523): they are probably intended to be the same design, perhaps as interpreted by different diecutters. A similar pairing has been observed in the SPES PVBLICA type of Tetricus I and $11^{27}$ and this

[^9]may represent continuity of personnel from the time of the Romano-Gallic state. However, the intervening extensive SPES PVBLICA issues of Tacitus (issues 3-4, 7-8) appear to be almost exclusively of the 'walking' type (e.g. 334/2).

The 51 Lyon coins of Carus et sui and the 230 of Diocletian and Maximian are less susceptible to detailed analysis. The Lyon series of the latter ends fairly weakly, as might be expected in the light of the establishment of the breakaway 'British' state of Carausius and Allectus, with one example of the eighth issue and two of the tenth (AD 293), and lacking coins of the new Caesats of that year, Constantius and Galerius.

The Rogiet hoard has produced a good group of new varieties and rarities from Lyon. Fifteen of these have been published as a contribution towards the next supplement to Bastien's Corpus. ${ }^{28}$ A sixtcenth, overlooked at first, and one further rarity noted in the first Supplément, are also listed here.

## Tacints

328. Issue 3, SPES PVBLIICA, - - // CA : Sup. I. B. $63 \alpha$, showing clearly the obverse punctuation IMPPCL-TACITVS•AVG: two specimens.
329. Issue 7, a variant of B.101. reverse FELICITAS SAECILI. C * // - ; a different reverse die from the specimens of B. 102 with a similar engraving error.
330. Issue 7. a variant of $B .100$, reading MARS VCITOR, $B * / /-$

Probies
464. Issue 4, mule with reverse ORIENS AVG, - //I I discussed above.
473. 1ssue 5, ADVENTVS PROBI AVG. - -// I ; obv. H4I.; same dies as Stup.II, B. $202 \alpha$
482. Issue S, MARS VICTOR, - - // [II ; obv. GI; Sup. $/ 1$, B.244a, same dies (Ste-Pallaye 2294)
501. Issue 6, VIRTVS AVG, - -/I [III : obv. B2; same obverse die as B. 300 and Ste-Pallaye 3017. with punctuated legend.
519. Issue 9, SALVS AVG. - B/f-; obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS P F AVG. D2; Stip. $/ 1$, B. $391 \alpha$ : sante obverse die as B. 391 人a, different reverse die.
522. Issuc 9, SALVS AVG. - B (reversed) // - obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG, D2; same reverse die as B.400-1.

Carus
807. Issue 2, VICTORIA AVG, A - // - ; corrects B 455 bis: from the same obverse de, which is punctuated P•F.AVG.

Diocletion
881. Issue la, PROVIDENTI AVG. - C/l - : cf. B. 15 , engraving error.
900. Issue 2/3: hybrid reverse IOVI CONSERVAT AVGG, A - $/ 1$ - as issue 2, but with an eagle at Jupiter's feet to left, as coins of issue 3 that read IOVI CONSER AVGG.
921. Issue 7. SALVS AVGG, - - //C ; obv. B3I.; Sup. I, B. $306 \alpha$ : same obverse die as the thee other recorded cxamples.
929. Issue 7. SECVRIT PERP. . . // A ; Sup. I. B.347a, same dies.
932. Issue 7. SECVRIT PERP, - - //C : Sup. II, B.417 $\alpha$ : sane reversc die as B. $417 \alpha, 417 \beta$.

Maximion
909. lssue 4. HERCVLI PACIFERO, B - // SML ; obv. B 1, bust varianl
933. Issue 7, SALVS AVGG, - //C ; obv. IMP MAXIMIAVS P F AVG, K5L; ohverse engraving error and variant busi/legend combination.

## Rome

There are 347 aureliani and 7 denarii from the Rome mint in the Rogiet hoard. The denarii, all of Aurelian (two: 192) and Severina (five: 185, 193-4) appear at first sight to form an insignificant group, but this changes if they are viewed in the context of the 'reformed' coins of that reign. From Rome, there are twenty-six coins of issues 8-j1, the denarii therefore forming over a quarter of them, or around one-sixth by value if reckoned as half-aureliani. Table 10 summarises Aurelian`s reformed Rome issues in hoards from Britain and France that contain them and appears to demonstrate that this pattern is common to finds from Britain and Gaul, with the exception of the 'cisalpine' Maravielle which, like La Venèra, virtually excludes them. The denarii seem to have been intended, at the time, as a significant issue, but found more favour, at least in hoards, in the north-western areas where the unreformed radiates still played an important part. ${ }^{29}$

[^10]TABLE [10. Aurclian. Rome issues $8-11$ in hoards

|  | aureliani | denarii | total | denc | den ratue\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rogict | 19 | 7 | 26 | 26.9 | 15.5 |
| Gloucester | 125 | 54 | 179 | 30.1 | 17.8 |
| 11 British hoards* | 14 | 11 | 25 | 44.0 | 28.2 |
| Nieder-Rentgen | 49 | 22 | 71 | 310 | 18.3 |
| 9 French hoards** | 34 | 21 | 55 | 38.2 | 23.6 |
| Maravielle | 49 | 3 | 52 | 5.8 | 3.0 |
| Lu Venèra | 760 | 26 | 786 | 3.3 | 1.7 |

* Bath. Blackmoor, Coleby, East Harnham, Linchmere, Maltby, Monkton Farleigh, Much Wenlock, Normanby. Penard, Tattershall Thompe.
$\rightarrow$ Les Authteux. Braus-sur-les-Marches, Colonne 1+II. Fresnoy-lès-Roye I+lI. Montbuoy, Montereau. St Maurice. StePallaye, Troussey.

The relative volumes of Rome issues under Probus have been discussed by Estiot ${ }^{331}$ and the Rogiet and Gloucester figures confirm that Pink's isstue 6 was far and away the largest, followed by issue 1; and that issue 2 was certainly the smallest. Between these in size were four other issues which appear to have been broadly similar, the ranking of which within any single hoard varies (Table 11). ${ }^{3 /}$

TABLE II. Probus. Rome - ranking of issues

| Issue: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coins, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The figures for Nieder-Rentgen. Maravielle and La Venera are those of Estiot. as n. 21.

The affinities of Rogiet/Gloucester and Maravielle/La Venera and their differences from each other are again apparent, with Nieder-Rentgen differing from both. With so few sufficiently large hoards to compare, it is unclear whether these differences are regional (for instance, for issues 4 and 5) or relate to quirks in the compositions of the individual deposits. However. six British hoards of the $280 \mathrm{~s}-290$ s that between them contain 134 Rome coins of Probus show the same basic ranking of issues as Rogiet and Gloucester, though with effectively equal numbers of coins from issues 3,4 and $5 .{ }^{32}$

There are in Rogiet few Rome coins that are not recorded by RIC/Estiot/Gricourt, though several RIC variants of Probus may be noted in issues 2 and 3. Some other apparent Rome variants seem to derive from misprints in RIC. The hoard also contains one specimen in the name of Divus Nigrinianus, infant son of Carinus (854). ${ }^{33}$ Coins in his name are extremely rare in Britain, presumably because of the inherent scarcity of Rome coins of the period in British finds. One other appears to have been recorded, perhaps inevitably from the Gloucester hoard.

[^11]
## Ticinum

The Ticinum (present-day Pavia, northern Italy) mint is well represented in Rogiet throughout, notably in the 234 coins of Probus which make this the second largest mint group for the reign. Again, parallel study of the 779 Ticinum coins of Probus in Gloucester has been of assistance. The catalogue follows the arrangement in ten issues published by Pink, both to facilitate comparison with previous publications and because at the time of writing a definitive study of the coinage of Probus is still awaited. ${ }^{34}$ A few comments and suggested modifications may, however, be made regarding issues $3-5$. The coins of issue 3 are some of Probus's most spectacular and imposing aureliani. both in their depiction of the emperor and in the use of larger dies. As measured using the diameter of the pelleted outer circle, the study of die diameter as an adjunct to classification has been used elsewhere to good effect, for instance in the London (- // PLN) nummi of the second and third tetrarchics after AD 306. ${ }^{35}$ It appears that this is also of value here. As at Lyon, there is a small initial issue, followed by a new set of 'major' reverses used for a considerable period. Within these is an issue using special busts - issue 3, relatively much larger than the parallel series at Lyon; and an issue with shorter obverse legends - issue 4. The basic die module of issue 1 is 20 mm ; of issue $2.20-21 \mathrm{~mm}$; and of issue $3,21 \mathrm{~mm}$ (very occasionally, 22 mm ). It may be noted in passing that increasing the diameter by 5 per cent enlarges the area usable for the design and legend by 10 per cent. In issue 3 there are two series, using obverse legends IMP CM AVR PROBVS AVG and VIRTVS PROBI AVG, During the course of issue 4 the die module reverts to 20 mm , which is retained for the remainder of the reign.

Various writers have noted the occurrence of small numbers of Ticinum aureliani with ptuctuated obverse legends and these do appear to form a coherent group, with coins recorded from every officina. ${ }^{36}$ Some dies are punctuated both before and after the emperor's name: IMP.C.PROBVS.P.F.AVG (e.g., 682-3), others only after it (684-7). Their die module is invariably 21 mm and so it would seem that these might have formed the very first issues using the shorter obverse legend IMP C PROBVS PF AVG, the punctuation compensating on the larger module dies for the loss of two characters in the legend. They have therefore been catalogued as a first phase of the fourth issue, together with one coin from an unpunctuated 21 mm obverse ( 688 ). ${ }^{37}$ (One punctuated obverse in the Gloucester hoard retains a longer form IMP C M AVR PROBVS.P. $F \cdot A V G.)^{78}$ A very small number of coins with longer obverse legends (MAVR - PF AVG, M AVR - AVG) appear, on their die modules, lettering and bust styles, to belong to the second phase of issue 4 (e.g. Rogiet 689 and several coins in Gloucester).

Coins of Pink's fifth issue, which combines existing reverses from issue 4 with obverses IMP C PROBVS AVG, appear to be very rare indeed: there is one in Rogiet (704) and one in Gloucester. They might perhaps be viewed as mules between issues 4 and 6. The signature 'AEQVITI', added to the last issue at Rome, also appears at Ticinum from issue 7, adjusted to fit Ticinum's sixofficina operation: 'EQVITI' (issues 8-10) appears to have been adopted fairly rapidly, following an experiment with 'AEQVIT' (issue 7, 722) ${ }^{39}$

There is a steady series of VIRTVS PROBI AVG obverses with armoured and other special busts in every issue from the third onwards. In addition, successive consulships are indicated specifically in obverse legends terminating II. III or IIII.

The ranking of Ticinum issues of Probus may be compared in a similar manner to Rome's (Table 12), again using figures from Estiot for Nieder-Rentgen, Maravielle and La Venèra. ${ }^{41}$

[^12]TABLE 12. Probus. Ticinuin - ranking of issues

| Isme: Colins. of | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\dagger$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 10 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rongiet | 2.6 | 20.9 | 90 | 13.7 | (1) 4 | 11.1 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 299 | 9.4 | 234 |
| Gloucester | 1.7 | 29.9 | 8.5 | 16.6 | 01 | 17.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | $1 \times 6$ | S. 3 | 779 |
| Nieder-Rentgen | - | 5.6 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 45.0 | 15.4 | 357 |
| Maravielle | 0.7 | 97 | 7.5 | 29.1 | - | 16.4 | - | - | 20.1 | 16.4 | 134 |
| La Venera | 0.6 | 127 | 10.8 | 156 | 0.4 | 15.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 20.5 | 16.2 | 36.32 |
| Runking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rugiet | $=7$ | 2 | 6 | 3 | $\Rightarrow$ | 4 | $=9$ | $=7$ | 1 | 5 |  |
| Gloucester | 7 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Nieder-Rentgen | 10 | 6 | 4 | 3 | $=8$ | 5 | $=8$ | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Maraviclle | 7 | 5 | 6 | , | $=8$ | = | $=8$ | $=8$ | 2 | $=3$ |  |
| La Venera | 9 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |

While the four smallest issues (1.5.7 and 8) are consistently so, there are again differences between the Rogiet/Gloucester pattern, where issue 2 is unusually prominent, and the three continental examples. ${ }^{41}$ A collective summary for British hoards. as for Rome above, could not be made on information currently available.

## Siscia and other mints

With the correct attribution of some early types of Probus to Rome, it is elear that Siscian issues play a much less prominent part in western hoards than used apparently to be thought. in Rogiet supplying 66 coins, or 2.6 per cent of the aureliani in the hoard. One coin of Probus, no. 767. from issue 4 (VIRTVS PROBI AVG trophy, -// XXIT). may be remarked as an unusual variety, on which the emperor is depicted radiate and cuirassed to the left, holding in his right hand the pugio, or eagle-headed ceremonial dagger (Fig. 2). The pugio appears on the coinage in the third and fourth centuries. principally on multiples and medallions, and its iconography has been discussed by Bastien. ${ }^{42}$ The new coin from Rogiet appears to be similar to a Siscia coin of the seventh issue illustrated by Bastien (pl. 119.3: CONCORD MILIT. T // XXI), on which the emperor is helmeted apparently the only other depiction where the dagger is held in the right hand: and each of these has a counterpart in the same issue and officina with a right-facing bust holding the pugio in the left hand (B., pl. 123, 8 and pl. 120,2). ${ }^{43}$


Fig 2. Ptobus. Rogiet 767 (scale 2il).

[^13]There are several other coins from Siscia (Tacitus. 420-2; Florian, 443-4: Probus, 763-4, 777-8, 799 ) and Serdica (Tacitus, 423-5: Probus, 800) which appear to be variants not noted in RIC. mostly observed since by Estiot, or Pink. Most of these are illustrated.

## C. The 'British' Empire: Carausius and Allectus

The Rogiet hoard includes twenty-four coins from the reign of the usurper Carausius (in Britain. c,287-93) and three aureliani of his successor Allectus (293-5/6), together with 757 of the 'quinarii' or Q-radiates of the latter, which will be described separately. ${ }^{44}$ Of the Carausian issues, eight belong to the late series in the names of Diocletian and Maximian or bearing their portraits. One, of Maximian (1002), is an obverse brockage, but plainly a London coin.

With only three exceptions, the coins of Carausius are mint-signed (L for London; C-or G-as yet unlocated) and the unsigned coins are effectively aureliani in terms of their weights and modules. The crude early radiates and issues attributed to Rouen are not represented. There are several noteworthy coins, unrecorded by RIC. involving variant obverse or reverse legends (991-2,994) and one completely new reverse type, no. 998, in the name of Diocletian, with his trademark 'Iovi Conservatori' adapted to the three-emperors format. A further specimen of no. 1003 (Diocletian/MONETA AVGGG; S P // C) has since appeared in the Langtoft A hoard in 2000 , from the same pair of dies.

Number 1005 proved, after conservation, to be one of the very finest specimens of the rare 'three emperors' series of $c .293$, with obverse 'CARAVSIVS ET FRATRES SVI' ('Carausius and his brothers') and reverse VICTORIA AGGG (sic). Victory running to right. This precise Victory type was known to Carson from a very worn specimen in the British Museum, apparently from different dies. ${ }^{45}$ The present example shares the same obverse die as Carson's no, 2, which has the same reverse design, but with the legend COMES AVGGG. A second specimen from the same dies as $\mathbf{1 0 0 5}$ was offered at auction in $1996 .^{46}$

Two Carausian coins were overstruck on identifiable prototypes: nos 985 , on a Rome coin of Gallienus, and 987, on a Lyon issue of Tacitus. On no. 983, another $R / C$ variant, there are clear traces on the reverse of lightly-engraved setting-out marks for the positioning of the legend and the wing of the figure of Victory.

The three aureliani of Allectus ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 6} \mathbf{- 8}$ ) provide two $R I C$ variants from the C mint, one of them known to Burnett, ${ }^{+7}$ and a single coin from London, which bears the first ( $\mathrm{SP} / / \mathrm{ML}$ ) of three marks used at London during the reign. The two $C$ mint coins both belong to the $S P / / C$ series, a mark used for most of the reign. The very small number of aureliani of Allectus may suggest a terminus relatively early in his reign, were it not for the large number of Q-radiates. which hitherto have generally been thought to belong at its end, mainly because they are so scarce in hoards - at least, until the finding of Rogiet. These coins will be discussed in the next section.

## D. Counterfeits

The Rogiet hoard contains eleven irregular coins. numerically forming 0.28 per cent of the deposit. This is of a piece with the nature of Rogiet, a carefully selected hoard. Nine of these coins are well-silvered copies of prototypes of 260-71. An imitation of Gordian III (1049) is a cast piece of similar module and weight to the aureliani. The sole counterfeit aurelianus (1057) copies a Rome issue of Tacitus, and comes from the same pair of dies as two specimens in the Venera hoard, In general, counterfeits of aureliant appear to be distinctly scarce: among 6,586 coins of Probus in the Gloucester hoard, just two $(0.03 \%)$ are irregular, one of them a cast.

[^14]
## Introduction

In addition to its unusual concentration of aureliani, the Rogiet hoard contains 757 examples of the enigmatic Q-radiates ('quinarii') of Allectus; these, together with three of his aureliani, form the latest element of the hoard, since none of the coins of Diocletian and Maximian can be dated later than 293. Hoards containing significant numbers of Q-radiates are few and far between: since 1850. only Blackmoor (1873) has contained fifty or more, though the 70 or so formed less than 0.3 per cent of the whole. Hoards from Bitterne and Old Ford may have consisted entirely of Qradiates, but no detailed records survive. Elsewhere. Ewelme (1953) included 20 Q-radiates in a hoard of 202 coins; otherwise, these coins appear only in ones and twos. Many surviving specimens are single finds from archaeological excavations or chance discovery and are usually fairly poorly preserved and not suited to intensive numismatic study. Rogiet therefore provides a unique opportunity to examine and study a significant group of Q-radiates.

The most recent consideration of the $Q$-radiates came as part of a paper on the coinage of Allectus by Andrew Burnett, delivered at a colloquium in London in 1984. and published in the British Numismatic Journal. ${ }^{48}$ Several questions regarding these coins have remained unanswered over the years: when were they struck? What was their relationship with the aureliani? Were they a response to Diocletian's reform? Like Burnett, I am not sure that these can be answered definitively, but the Rogiet coins permit us to gain new insights into the structure of this issue and the minting techniques of the 'British' empire. We must bear in mind that in the absence of useful comparative groups, any conclusions drawn can only be provisional, since they depend upon the evidence provided by a single deposit, and further work will be needed ${ }^{49}$ Likewise, the time scale - imposed by the duration of Allectus's reign - is, in any case, fairly short.

## Q-radiates

In brief, the Q-radiates are coins of Allectus characterised by weights averaging around three grams, which bear a portrait of the emperor wearing a rayed crown, as appears on the radiates and aureliani of the third century. Their reverses bear depictions of various ships, the large majority a stylised war galley. There are two series, marked respectively OL and OC, the first attributed to London, the second to a separate mint, the focation of which remains uncertain. ${ }^{50}$ (The question is raised from time to time as to whether or not these represent more than one mint, but as will be seen, the Rogiet Q-radiates strongly suggest two establishments.)

The commonest variety is the same for both mints:

> Obverse: IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG; bust B1 ${ }^{51}$
> Reverse: VIRTVS AVG; galley with mast and ram, to left; - - // Q(L or C)
> Die diameters, measured across the pelleted borders, are typically $18-19 \mathrm{~mm}$.

For London, there is a single obverse legend but there are variant busts, D1, D2 and. exceptionally, G1I (not represented in Rogiet; a single die? Pl. 25, A) ${ }^{52}$

At 'C', the obverse bust is almost invariably B1, rarely D1 (P1. 25, B) ${ }^{53}$ but there are several obverse legend varieties, with suffixes $\sim P$ AVG and $\sim$ AVG (both relatively common); also, in small numbers, $\sim$ P FEL AVG, $\sim$ PI FE AVG and $\sim$ PFI AVG ${ }^{5 / 4}$ Very occasional dies read IMP ALLECTVS ~ .

[^15]Small numbers of variant reverse types occur at both mints. London coins include galleys without masts and some exceptional light craft. Vessels may face left or right. Very rarely a figure of Victory or a river-god is depicted on board. The vessels at ' $C$ ' are more consistent, but again a figure of Victory or of Virtus sometimes replaces or stands on the stem; other occasional addenda include a bird at the masthead or a decorated prow. Some of the galley designs appear to evolve from coins of Carausius; one London type appears to be a direct copy of a coin of Postumus.

There is also a second reverse type, which was produced only at ' $C$ ': LAETITIA AVG, galley to left or (mainly) right - vessels of different forms from the Virtus examples. This occurs with a similar range of obverse legends, again with BI busts. A further question to be considered is, therefore, the relationship of the Laetitia coins to the Virtus series.

Like the heavier aureliani, the Q-radiates are essentially copper-alloy coins, with a thin silver coating - a feature that has perhaps hitherto not been obvious, since the vast majority encountered are heavily patinated or corroded. The Rogiet coins, which include one or more uncirculated batches from the C mint, certainly appeared silvery when issued, but as with the aureliani of both Carausius and Allectus, this silvering was very thin and fugitive. In this aspect the silvering of the ' C ' coins appears generally to be more substantial than the London examples and the former are for the most part better preserved. There are some coins of both series, presumably those scattered by repeated ploughing, that are badly corroded.

An attempt has been made in studying the Rogiet coins to classify the dies of both mints according to varieties of both design and die-cutting techniques. For the London series, as many die-links have been sought as possible, but more coins are poorly preserved and many of the dies (particularly the obverses) show signs of heavier use than their ' $C$ ' counterparts and this parl of the study must be regarded as incomplete. For the C mint, however, die study has been aided by generally good preservation, the existence of the two reverse types, varied obverse legends and remarkably consistent die-cutting. Here, we can be reasonably confident that a full die study has been carried out. The full classification of the 749 Q-radiates acquired by NMW is given as Appendix B.

## London: obverses

Examination of die-cutting elements such as hair, beard, wreath-ties and others suggests that there are four main treatments of the imperial portrait (see Fig. 3).
A: A rounded head, with hair brushed forwards at forehead, backwards at temple: a naturalistic treatment which sometimes gives a slightly dishevelled appearance. The beard is basically brushed forwards, with small clusters formed by triple strokes from a fine engraving tool. Ties are ribbons of different lengths, both bent to the rear. For the B1 busts, there are usually indications of an undergarment ("vest') beneath the cuirass. Nearly two-thirds ( 22 out of 36 ) of the dies cut in this manner are associated with draped busts. Examples 3026, 3055. ${ }^{55}$
B: A head with craggier features, with hair neat and brushed forward. The beard is brushed downwards, well-defined but with a lumpy appearance. The ties may be ribbons or have a stringlike appearance. (Approximately 63 dies in Rogiet; example 3085.)
C: A squarer, more angular head, with prominent forehead and hair brushed forward and short, forward at the temple. The beard is brushed forwards and down, consisting of very fine, short strokes. The ties are string-like, one bent to the rear, the other bent forwards across the neck. Apparently not found with draped busts. (Approximately 43 dies; examples 3277, 3281.)
D: A narrower head with a curved forehead and hair brushed forwards. The beard is brushed forwards. comprising paired strokes of the engraving tool. Ties are ribbons, short and bent to the rear. There may be indications of a 'vest'. This variety is not found with draped busts. (Approximately 68 dies; examples $32 / 7,3223,3246$. )

[^16]

Fig 3. QL : the four principal obverse treatments.

Groups A. C and D seem to be consistent, though there is a small group of obverses, designated $C^{\prime}$, comprising heads similar to C but with ties as ribbons, bent to the rear (eleven dies; examples $3 / 73-4$ ) (Fig. 4). This group includes a number of dies of small diameter ( 17 mm ), two of them with draped busts (3033-4). Occasional busts with the hair brushed sideways at the forehead seem mainly to belong with type B and are designated $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ (examples 3049,3122, 3270): one type C die shows hair brushed sideways (3136).

There are small numbers of other treatments, including heads, some large (e.g. 3126), with very neat hair and beards and ties comprising curved or straight 'strings'. These features, from their combinations with others of type B , may be varieties of B , but this is not certain (here, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$. e.g. 3273). There are also dies that appear to show features of more than one of the groups, e.g., A with fine beard similar to C ( 3025 ) as well as the odd peculiarity (e.g. 3100 ) indicative, perhaps, of dies that have been re-engraved.

With all due caution, it may be suggested that A and C represent the work of individual engravers. Type D may represent a development of A , working in a simplified manner. The dies of group B are less consistent: they may involve more than one die-cutter, working in very similar manners. but a definitive classification has so far proved elusive.


Fig 4 OL variant obverse treatments.

## London: reverses

The principal reverse type comprises a war galley sailing to the left as viewed. It has a mast, a ram and prominent stem- and stern-posts, the latter usually curving over a stern cabin. There is a steering oar (occasionally, two; sometimes absent) and varying numbers of oars, depicted raked forward, at the start of the stroke; pellets often indicate the heads of the rowers or crew. Waves are normally indicated below.

Within this general design there appear to be three principal treatments (Fig. 5):
1: A solid galley, with a prow resembling a reversed $£$-sign with the lines of the vessel protruding beyond the stem-post. Decoration of the "oarbox - the boxlike structure running the length of the vessel above the oars - varies. The mast stays are often doubled, and there is a pellet at the masthead. Usually 7-9 oars, often doubled; 0-6 crew, sometimes armed. Waves are single, curled as shallow S-shapes, four or more.
2: A galley with prominent slim curved stem- and stem-posts. Oarbox usually $/ / 1 / I /$, but there are other variants. Stays single, occasionally with indication of furled sails(?), pointed finial to masthead. Oars, 5-8; crew, 0 (often) or 4-6, armed on one die; waves, single.
3. Galley with stem springing from the deck, sometimes decorated. Oarbox usually IIIIII. Oars, 4-8.

Within this group are three main variants:
3a: generally crudely engraved; stays doubled, plain stern; waves normally doubled (three crests). 3b: neater; stays single, plain stern; waves single, calm or occasional slight swell (3065).
3 c : stays single, stern with decorated finial; oars often doubled; varieties of oarbox; waves normally doubled (crest-trough-crest).

Types 1 and 2, though individual details (oars, crew. etc.) may vary, are consistent with the work of single engravers: the form of the waves in type 1, for instance, is characteristic and not found in conjunction with other varieties. Type 3 is less obviously the work of a single hand but there are some dies that combine characteristics of more than one of the three sub-types, so an individual engraver may well be indicated. One die of type 3 b has been encountered that lacks the OL signature. ${ }^{56}$

Most of the galleys depicted are of a good size, effectively filling the width of the design area, with the legend VIRTVS AVG starting above the bow and ending above the stern. There is, however, a group of dies on which the vessels are distinctly smaller, though identifiably of the same three basic categories. Here, the legend is more spread out, wrapped round the vessel, beginning below the bow and ending past the stern. This is also the case for the dies with mastless galleys to left (see below). Within the group of smaller vessels are several that are essentially unclassilied (e.g. 3/25-6). These reverses are designated (1), (2), (3) and (4) - collectively, hereafter, ' ()'.

Coins bearing galleys of the above general types account for 86 per cent of the London Qradiates in Rogiet. The remainder comprise several varieties (Fig. 6):
R: Galley to right; prominent fine stem-and stern-posts; two main forms, Oarbox varies, Single stays reaching halfway up the mast, Cabin, steering oar. Oars, 5-7; crew. Waves, variable in form and usually single, are depicted. (Twenty-seven specimens in Rogiet, with varying combinations of vessel, oarbox and waves.) Dies exist that may be described as (R), but with doubled stays, one of which depicts a bird at the masthead (PI. 25, C). ${ }^{57}$
$\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ : River craft(?) to right. Curved elegant hull, no cabin; rigging similar to right-facing galleys, Oars, 6. (This type not known before the single specimen in Rogiet.)
R-: Galley to right, with cabin, but no mast: two forms, one without a ram. Oars, 6-7: crew armed or unarmed. Waves, 'blobs ${ }^{.58}$ (Six specimens in Rogiet.)

[^17]
(1)

1

(2)

(3b)
36

(3c)
3 c
Fig. 5 QL: vessels with masts, to left (NMW/Jackie Chadwick), ${ }^{39}$
L-: Galley with no ram or mast. These are somewhat ambiguous as to direction, but by analogy with the 'Laetitia' issue of Postumus (Fig. 6), which they resemble closely. they face to the left. Similar vessels also appear on coins of Carausius. ${ }^{60}$ (Two specimens in Rogiet.)
L': Small coastal craft (?) to left. Oars, 5; crew. The four Rogiet specimens are from different dies with varying designs:
(i) without mast; crew armed with spears and shields: two steering oars: single waves;
(ii) with mast, single forestay, double aft; crew with spears and shields: two steering oars. no waves.

[^18]

Fig. 6. QL: vessels to right and other variants (NMW/Jackie Chadwick). ${ }^{61}$
(iii) with mast, double stays; crew with shields; one steering oar: single waves;
(iv) with mast, double stays; crew with shields; two steering oars; waves double (similar to 3 c ? but coin corroded).
Two further varieties of this type of boat are represented in the British Museum and Ashmolean collections:
(v) without mast; a figure of Victory stands left among the crew, amidships, holding a wreath and palm (Pl. 25, D). ${ }^{62}$
(vi) without mast or crew; a river-god(?) is seated left in the boat, holding a wand(?) and reed (Pl. 25, E). ${ }^{63}$

How do the various obverse and reverse types relate to each other? In attempting some sort of synthesis, it must be remembered that what is summarised above is the cutting of families of dies. rather than sheir actual use. Their combinations with each other may be summarised as follows:

TABLE 13. Obverse dies and their combinations (Rogiet: OL. 292 coins)

| Obr |  | D bushs |  | $B$ busts: |  | B busts <br> No masts | B busts ofhers | Total Obv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rev. | galleyl. | gatley r . | gatley 1. | galley $r$. |  |  |  |
| A |  | 19 | 1 | 13 |  |  |  | 33 |
| B |  | 3 |  | 48 | 6 | 2 |  | 59 |
| $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 2 |  | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 10 |
| C |  |  |  | 30 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ |  | 2 |  | 10 | 1 |  |  | 13 |
| D |  |  |  | 61 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 70 |
| Other |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 235 |

[^19]For the biggest group from London, the following reverse types appear in combination with the different obverses:

TABLE 14. Reverse dies in combination with BI obverses (Rogiet: Galley 1., //QL. 212 coins)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Busr: } \\ & \text { Rer: } \end{aligned}$ | A | $B$ | $B^{\prime}$ | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | C | $C^{\prime}$ | D | Total rev. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| (1) | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |
| (2) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| (3) | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 16 |
| I | 1 | 8 | 1 |  | 6 | , | 14 | 31 |
| 2 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 40 |
| 3 a | 2 | 5 |  | 3 |  |  | 9 | 19 |
| 3b | 6 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 44 |
| 3 c |  | 5 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 8 | 19 |
| 3/41 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 191* |

From these two tables, some possible pointers begin to emerge, but it is too soon to say whether the gaps are genuine, for instance the lack of draped busts for obverses C and D. or the lack of type 3a reverses with type C obverses. ${ }^{64}$ In Table 14, twenty-six reverse dies ( 14 per cent) are of () type, with 162 of the mainstream versions. The corresponding proportion used with drapedbust obverses is 35 per cent $(13,24$ ).

Linking the smaller groups into the whole is difficult, though there are some reverse dies of types L-. R- (all). R. (3) and (u) which share waves depicted as single blobs. I cannot prove this. but it would appear that many of these dies (those designated () and L-) could be the earliest dies to be cut. The L- dies hark back most closely to previous coinages (Postumus, Carausius), while the () dies show the most variability and are the hardest to classify. We shall return to this point in the context of the C mint issues. This group also includes the single die which reads 'QV' rather than 'OL' (3262). The obverse dies are for the most part consistent within the scheme set out above.

The legends are extremely consistent, both obverse and reverse, with the exception of a single observed die-cutting error, VITRVS AVG (3046).

## C mint: obverses

A similar exercise to that carried out for London coins has revealed five distinctive treatments of the portraits of Allectus on the Q-radiates of the 'C' mint. These have been given the Greek characters $\alpha-\epsilon$. and may be described as follows, for the dies used with Virtus Aug reverses (Fig. 7).
$\alpha$ : A bold, well-modelled head, bearing some resemblance to Carausius; hair has a coarse appearance, brushed forward at the forehead; beard full. with curved outlines, hair indicated by bold horizontal strokes; no eyebrow; cuirass fairly simple, almost all dies lacking rivets across top portion of cuirass on the chest. and with few, prominent pteriges: ties are broad ribbons of fairly coarse appearance.
$\beta$ : A neat head of more rectangular shape, with a square forehead; har angular, with edges clearly defined. indicated by fine lines, brushed across forehead; beard also neat, less full, with the hair indicated by fine lines running downwards and backwards; fine eyebrow; shoulder more complex. with line pteriges: ties are well-defined ribbons with distinct loops.
\%: A rugged. square-jawed head; hair of line lines, brushed sideways at forehead; beard mainly short vertical strokes; eye ridge but no eyebrow; the bust similar to $\beta$, but always lacks rivets

[^20]

Fig. 7. QC: the five obverse treatments (Wirus series).
across top portion of cuirass on the chest. pteriges are few and point downwards and there are indications of a 'vest'; ties are fine ribbons with a sharp angle and pellets.
8: A head with a square forehead dropping vertically to a snub nose; hair indicated by short strokes, vertical/forward at forehead; beard indicated by horizontal and vertical strokes, prominent angle on cheek and extends onto neck; eyebrow; prominent shoulder, with hints of decoration and numerous fine pieriges, indications of 'vest'; ties are short, twisted(?) ribbons, with one or more pellets at tips. (One die lacks the ties: 3534 ff .)
$\epsilon$ : A portrait bearing some resemblance to Carausius, more fincly modelled than $\alpha$; hair indicated by fine strokes, brushed forward at forehead; beard of horizontal and vertical strokes, occasionally onto neck; eyebrow; shoulder with fine pteriges, which curve downwards; ties are very fine ribbons, some with pellets.

These five treatments are remarkably consistent. They are also found in the Laetitia series, but here there is a degree of variability, notably in the shapes and sizes of the emperor's head. Some effigies ate hard to classify, for instance $3303,3327,3348$. Occasionally, there are traces of the beard on the neck ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) and for type $\gamma$, there are sometimes rivets on the top of the cuirass, and indications of a 'vest' for some $\epsilon$ dies. In this series, perhaps, the dies are more experimental than in the Virus series, where the die cutting techniques are consistent.

Prima facie, the existence of these five distinct treatments suggests the presence of five diecutters for the obverse dies at the $C$ mint. One's instinct suggests that this number seems high, in the light of observations elsewhere, for instance the two identified by Estiot at Siscia under Tacitus. ${ }^{65}$ However surprising this might seem, the physical evidence does appear to point to this conclusion. The number of varieties observed in the reverses (below) would appear to support the notion of a significant group of die-cutters. The numbers of dies of each obverse variety in the Rogiet sample are summarised in Table 15.

[^21]TABLE 15. Occurrence of OC obverse styles

|  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | 8 | $\epsilon$ | Total dies |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| LAETITIA AVG (1) | 8 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 43 |
| LAETITIA AVG (2) | 12 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 14 | 53 |
| VIRTVS AVG | 16 | 43 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 167 |

## C mint: reverses

Like the C mint obverses, its reverse dies fall into consistent groups (in the case of Virtus, eight) with a very small number of variants that may be related to these groups.

## LAETITIA AVG

With one exception, the Laetitia and Virfus reverses depict very different forms of galleys. Many of the Laetitia ships are relatively squat and all lack stern cabins; however, all are equipped with rams, formed by one or by two strokes of an engraving tool, so they appear to be intended to represent warships. Without exception, the oars are depicted swept towards the stern, at the end of the stroke. The Laetitia series provides the closest direct comparison with ships depicted on coins, notably denarii, during the reign of Carausius. There is, however, one Laetitia die that depicts a ship of a type used with the Virtus legend and this appears to form a link between the two series.

The Lactitia dies may be subdivided into two reasonably self-consistent groups, the first of which shows waves below the vessels, the second without waves. The vessels of the two groups differ (Fig. 8). There are many obverse die-links within each of these two groups, but only one has so far been observed between them.

## First group: dies depicting waves

L. Galley sailing to left: sketchy style, with stem, oarbox and stern formed by paired continuous lines; oarbox /IIII/; stcering oar in water, showing flukes; 5 or 6 paired oars; 0 or 4 (on one die) heads; masthead a cross tree formed by pellets; stays. single or double; ram a long, single or double stroke; waves, single or paired (5 dies in Rogiet).

The general type for the remainder of the series is a galley sailing to the right, in a sea depicted by single or paired waves.
1.1a: Sketchy style as type L above, presumably by the same hand; oarbox IIIII: stays, double: waves, single ( 2 dies),
1.Ib: Galley with a squarer overall shape, apparently a development of la: oarbox IIIII; steering oar in water; 7-8 single oars; 4 heads; masthead cross tree of pellets: stays. double; ram. two strokes; waves, single ( 5 dies).
1.2a: Curls on stem and stern, stem reaches ram; oarbox $117 l l$ or plain; steering oar more prominent; $4-5$ paired oars; $4-5$ heads: masthead a compact cross tree of pellets; stays, generally single; ram. generally two strokes; waves. paired (7 dies).
1.2b: Similar to 2a; 5 single oars; ram, single stroke; waves. paired ( 5 dies. e.g. 33/1).
1.3: Tall galley; curls on stem and stem; oarbox IIIIII or IIIIII; steering oar with flukes, fully visible;

4-5 composite oars; 4 beads (one die with none); two forms of cross trees; stays, double. apparently slack; ram, single stroke ; waves, single ( 9 dies).
1.4a: Stem and stern less prominent, some dies with knopped finials; oarbox IIIII; prominent steering oar with flukes, across gunwale; 4-5 composite (one die each) or 6-8 single oars; 4-7 heads; masthead a compact cross tree of pellets: stays, double: ram, two strokes: waves, single ( 5 dies).
1.4b: Similar to 4 a , but prominent steering oar has a single curved blade ( 3 dies, e.g. 3326 ).
1.5: Unclassified reverses (see plates).
a: sketchy stem/stern; oarbox IIIIII; no steering oar; oars unclear (5, doubled?); stays, single: waves, single (1 die, 3313).


Fig. \$. QC: classification of LAETITIA AVG reverses (NMW/Jackie Chadwick). ${ }^{66}$
b: Similar to $2 b$, but single bladed steering oar and ram of two strokes ( 1 die, 3336 ).
c: Similar to 2 b , but pellet at masthead: ram of two strokes; waves, single ( 1 die, 3347).
d: Bulbous prow, with closed curls on stem and stern; curved oars; hint of a steering oar ( 1 die, 3323).
e: Sketchy stem with large curl; oarbox IIIIII; no steering oar?: 8 curved oars: 5 heads; stays, single: waves, single ( 1 die, 3348) ,
f: Sketchy stem; oarbox $\cdot \ldots$. . ; hint of steering oar; 4 ? paired oars; 5 heads: stays, double; single continuous wave (1 die, 3350).

[^22]Second group: no waves
The general type is as previously, but withou the depiction of the sea. Similar general galley type, but differing forms. Steering oars are more consistently single-bladed and usually prominent.
2.1: Galley with square appearance, curl on stem and stern; oarbox IIIII, fine; 6-8 oars, paired: 4.6 or 7 heads; pelleted cross tree at masthead, clear of stays, which are doubled; ram. two strokes (9 dies).
2.2a: Bulbous stem and stern; oarbox HIlli; 5-7 oars; 4 heads (one die with none): stays, single: ram, single stroke ( 10 dies),
2.2b: Similar to 2, but stays, double; ram, two strokes ( 3 dies, e.g., 3369 , which also appears to show the crew armed with spears).
2.3: Curl on stem and stem; oarbox IIIII: 4-6 oars; 4-6 heads; stiys, mostly double; ram, single stroke (8 dies).
2.4: Prominent curl on stem and (usually) stern; oarbox IIIIII; four oars; 4-6 heads; stays. double, slack: ram, single stroke ( 1 I dies).
2.5: Curved stem and stern often with knopped finials: oarbox 17111 , fine: 6-8 oars, curved and moulded to vessel; 4-6 heads; pelleted cross tree at masthead: stays, double, reaching masthead; ram, two strokes ( 14 dies).
2.6: Unclassified reverses (see plates).
a: Similar to 4 , but closed curls and oarbox $\cdots \cdots$; 5 paired oars, with larger stecring oar of the same form; 4 heads; stays, double ( 1 die, 3375).
b: Similar to 4 , but oarbox $\cdots \cdots$; 5 single oars, mid-stroke; 5 heads ( 1 die, 3376, 3401).
c: Similar to 4 , but with prominent stem; keel and ram formed by a single long stroke ( 1 die, 3388 ).
d: Sketchy vessel with pointed stem and stem: 4 paired oars; 4 heads; ram a short single stroke: sketchy lettering (l die. 3402).
e: Similar to 3, but with an elegant swan's neck stem: 4 curved composite oars: no steering oar ( 1 (lie, 3422).

## Transitional type?

2.7: Galley sailing to right, with cabin, as Virtus, type I (see below): oarbox IIIII, open at bow and stern; no steering oar: 9? Oars, swept back at end of stroke; 6 heads; masthead a cross tree of three pellets; stays, single (1 die, 3423).

## VIRTVS AVG

The general type comprises a galley sailing to the left as viewed. It has prominent stem- and sternposts. the latter usually curving over a stern cabin; a ram: a steering oar (occasionally absent); variable numbers of oars and crew (indicated by their heads). There is no indication of waves below the vessel.
I: Sketchy stem post, some continuing down to the ram, which also appears to issue from the front oar: oarbox $/ / 1 / / / /$ : small cabin; 7-10 oars, swept back at the end of the stroke: three-four pellets or a short cross-tree at the masthead ( 15 dies in Rogiet).
2: Simple, beak-like stem post, stopping at the oarbox; ram appears to issue from front oar; oarbox IIIII: small cabin; 6-9 oars, swept forward at start of stroke: two-three pellets or a short cross-tree at masthead ( 17 dies).
2': Variant of 2 with a prominent stem post with a curled finial ( 1 die, 3450-1).
3: Well-rounded vessel with often prominent stem- and stern-posts, the latter following from the curve of the hull over rear cabin and usually ending in a knopped finial; the ram solid and appearing integral to the hull; oarbox IIIIII; masthead varies ( 29 dies).
$3^{\prime}$ i: Variant of 3 with a bird at the masthead (I die. 3662 ).
3'ii: Variant of 3 with prow in the form of a ram's(?) head (1 die, 3731-2).
3'iii: Variant of 3 with animal head(?) prow and lower edge of oarbox formed by pellets ( 1 die, 366.3).

3'iv: Variant of 3 with ram's head(?) prow, sketchy ram and crude lettering (1 die, 3476).

3'v: Variant of 3 but Victory standing to left, holding wreath and palm, replaces the prow/stem post (not in Rogiet). ${ }^{67}$
3'vi: Variant of 3 but figure (Virtus?) at the prow (see note 67).
4: Plain stem extending down to ram; prominent high squarish stern cabin; oarbox IIIII; normally 5 oars, 6 heads and bulbous stcering oar; masthead usually a short cross-tree ( 28 dies).
5: Stem extends down to ram, form varies; oarbox $/ / / 1 / /$; 5-6 oars, slightly towards stern or vertical, mid-stroke; 6-7 heads; prominent curving steering oar, usually crossing gunwale; masthead varies ( 30 dies).
5': Variant of 5 but Victory standing to left, holding wreath and palm, replaces the prow/stem post (1 die, 3687 ).
6: Stem post springs from deck; stern post emerges from oarbox, $/ / / / / / /$; 5-6 oars, swept back towards end of stroke, variable; usually 6 heads, occasionally 7; small steering oar; masthead varies ( 21 dies).
6': Variant of 6 but Victory holding wreath and palm stands to left on the prow/stem post ( 1 die. $2002.14 H){ }^{68}$
7: Similar to 6, but oarbox IIIIII and 5-6 oars, mid-stroke or swept back towards end of stroke: 6-7 heads; steering oar more prominent; pellet at masthead ( 14 dies).
8: Stem extends down to ram, which is formed by two lines, the upper usually aligned to oarbox; prominent cabin, form varies - often long curving stern post above; oarbox IIIII; 5, occasionally 6 oars, swept forward to beginning of stroke; curved steering oar; 4, occasionally 5 heads. Categorised by mastheads:

8a: with single pellet at masthead ( 7 dies);
8 b: with two pellets at masthead ( 3 dies);
8c: complex masthead (40 dies);
$8 c^{\prime}$ : variant of 8 c but Victory holding wreath and palm stands to left on prow (not in Rogiet). ${ }^{69}$ Total Virmus reverse dies in Rogiet sample: 211


Fig. 9. QC: classilication of VIRTVS AVG reverses (NMW/Jackie Chadwick). ${ }^{70}$

[^23]One specimen of a further variety of Virtus reverse, not represented in Rogiet, has been noted:
9: VIRTVS AVG, -- // QC: galley to right, with ram, cabin and curved stecring oar, of a form that does not match the above classification; on board are four armed men; slight indication of waves (Pl. 25, F) ? ${ }^{71}$
This coin shares its obverse die (IMP C ALLECTVS AVG, type $\delta$ ) with a Rogiet coin of type LAETITIA AVG (group 2, 3420: PI. 25). Like Laetitia, group 2, type 7, this appears to form a type that is transitional between the two series.

Although there are eight significant stylistic varieties of Virtus-galley. it need not be necessary to postulate that many die-cutters: several types share a number of characteristics sufficient to enable a suggestion that they form varieties of a single hand, for instance 1 and $2: 5$ and 6 (perhaps): and (possibly) 8 as a simplified 3 . However, this must remain at present no more than a suggestion.

## C Mint: Synthesis

It appears likely that the two groups of reverse dies in the Laetitia series are sequential, the first comprising those dies which depict waves (including those with left-facing galleys); the second. those dies that lack waves. This is suggested by two pieces of evidence, though neither is conclusive:
(i) In the case of the single obverse die link between the groups (3315-3356), the earlier state of the die is that combined with the "waves" reverse. Untortunately. this coin is somewhat corroded, but flaws on the second coin in the letters $A$ and $V$ of Allectus may be observed under magnification, that are not present on the first.
(ii) In the course of this study no specimen has yet been found that combines a Laetitia reverse depicting waves with an obverse die reading IMP C ALLECTVS AVG, i.e., the shortest of the obverse legends. It appears to be a general principle that obverse legends in a single reign tend to become simplified with time; if so, this may suggest that the two groups are sequential. However, one may note that the longest forms (PI FE ~, P FEL ~, PFI AVG), though lacking in the second group, do appear in small numbers with the Virtus series (and that in the Rogict sample, the proportions of the shorter legends are higher for LAETITIA AVG (2) than for VIRTVS AVG (Table 16)).

TABLE 16. Rogiet hoard, percentage occurence of QC obverse legends

LAETITIA AVG (1)
LAETITIA AVG (2)
VIRTVS AVG

| Longer obes | $-P F A V G$ | - PAVG | - AVG | Total dies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.6 | 51.2 | 37.2 | - | 43 |
| - | 21.2 | $51.9 *$ | 26.9 | 52 |
| 1.8 | 65.3 | 22.8 | 10.2 | 167 |

*Includes one die IMP ALLECTVS P AVG
An element of evolution may also be observed in the Laetitia designs, for instance from L to 1 . Ia to 1.1 b to 2.1 , all seemingly from the same hand. Similarly, the pairings 1.2 and 2.3.1.3 and 2.4. 1.4 and 2.5 appear to have many features in common.

The Virtus series itself is so consistent in die-cutting (apart from type 9, there are no 'unclassifieds') that it appears to represent a more settled phase and is therefore arguably later than Laetitia. Two transitional reverse dies appear to bridge the series: Laetitia with a Virtus type of galley (type 2.7: Rogiet 3423) and the Virtus example with a right-facing, unclassified galley (type 9, PI. 25, F).

Within the Rogiet deposit, both Laetitia and Virtus (OC) coins are in fairly fresh condition (though with a number of corroded, presumably plough-scattered, examples). The degree of die-

[^24]linking, however, is greater within the Virtus series, which includes several uncirculated, mintfresh, groupings:
(i) nos 3534-44: eleven die duplicates, characterised by a type $\delta(\mathrm{PF})$ obverse that lacks 'wreath ties' and a type 6 reverse, with further links to two $\alpha$ (PF) and two type 6 reverse dies (3421~4); fifteen coins in all.
(ii) nos 3641-53: thirteen die duplicates, $\delta(\mathrm{P}) / 2$. This obverse appears with three further reverse dies (2(2), 6: 3640; 3654-6; 3697-9), two of these in turn linked to an $\in$ (PF) obverse, itself linked to two further reverse dies ( $2,8 \mathrm{c}: 3443-8 ; 3614$ ); in all, twenty-seven coins.
(iii) nos $3595-608$; 3619-28: twenty-four coins, from two obverse dies ( $\delta(\mathrm{PF}), \epsilon(\mathrm{PF})$ ) and four reverse dies (all 8c).
(iv) nos 3569-71; 3628-3I; 3704-8: twelve coins from two obverse dies ( $\epsilon$ (PF), $\gamma(\mathrm{P})$ ) and two reverse dies (8a, 8c).
(v) nos $3482-5 ; 3528 ; 3555-9 ; 3694 ; 3700,3545$ : a more diffuse group linking thirteen coins from four obverse dies ( $\beta$ ( $\mathrm{PF}, 2$ ), ( P ); $\in(\mathrm{P})$ ) and six reverses (4(2), $6(2), 7(2)$ ).

Whilst it is possible that this degree of linking represents a quirk in the assembling of Rogiet, it is highly likely that the Virtus coins from the C mint, notably the above groups, are the very latest in the hoard. ${ }^{72}$

It is provisionally suggested, therefore, that the Laetitia series forms the first phase of production of Q-radiates at the C mint, followed by Virtus, the tentative nature of the first - both in obverse and reverse dies - giving way to very settled and consistent production in the second. It appears possible, also, that Q -radiates may have been produced at the $\mathcal{C}$ mint before they were introduced at London, after which both mints issued the Virtus type. At London we observe, in the reverse dies in particular, signs of experimentation which parallel those at $C$ for the Laetitia series. London obverses, though, are more consistently 'Allectan' throughout.

In general, it appears that at the C mint, obverse dies of all types and legends were used with reverses of all varieties, within each phase. In the $a C$ series, a single reverse may link obverse dies which differ in style, legend, or both. Where there are obverse-linked pairs, the majority of these involve reverses of the same stylistic type and this may, perhaps, provide a glimpse of the procedures whereby new dies were issued to the moneyers. Something similar, but less consistent, is seen at London; here, where reverse dies with the smaller ships - classified in brackets: '( )' - are obverse linked, both dies tend to be of this type, if not always of the same classification.

## Some design considerations

The depiction of ships on Roman coins and the simplifications and compromises involved have been discussed elsewhere, notably by Orna-Omstein, to whose work and that of others the reader is referred. In the context of Allectus's coinage. the evidence from Rogiet adds little to this discussion. except that one may note the depiction of masts on three specimens of the putative river-going vessels discussed on pp. 188-9 and shown in Figs 18-19 of Orna-Ornstein's article (Rogiet 3291-3, London mint), a feature not previously observed, and the apparently unique vessel depicted on Rogiet 3294 (also London). ${ }^{73}$

Of the variations on the standard reverse designs. Rogiet lacks London coins of the types where a victory figure or a "river god" replaces the masucrew. However, several C mint dies in the hoard are variant, some of them apparently hitherto unrecorded. The most dramatic of these is 3662 , a variant of Virtus reverse 3, which depicts a prominent bird at the masthead. Webb, in RIC 5(2). gives as no. 57 a London Q-radiate with 'bird on mast', citing his own 'The Coinage of Allectus'

[^25]no. 88 , derived from Roach Smith's Collectunea Antigua. ${ }^{74}$ This may well be the Ashmolean (Evans) specimen referred to above: the galley is a small (R) type, the bird perhaps an eagle. On the Rogiet coin, the bird itseff is larger and carefully delineated, having the appearance (if it is possible to tell at the scale involved) of a member of the crow family. The significance of this is uncertain, but this motif also appears on Quentovic coins of Charlemagne in the early ninth century, where the bird has been interpreted as an 'imperial' eagle. ${ }^{75}$ Few Q-radiates have been found on the Continent, and the Charlemagne is a very rare variety, so these two issues would appear to be completely unrelated.

On some OC dies a Victory holding a wreath and palm stands on, or replaces, the stem post. This has been noted previously and occurs for at least four of the Virtus varieties, two of them present in Rogiet (3687: 2002.14H) as well as the London versions described above. Another QC variety depicts Virtus at the prow (see note 67).

Of other design variants, several QC reverse dies appear to show decorated prows, one of which seems to be a horned ram's head (3731-2). How much to read into these is unclear - it appears to me that some of the minor design variations, for instance the single $2^{\prime}$ Virtus dic, may have arisen in the correction of an engraving error. We may also note that the bird and the 'ram's head' varieties appear to be the work of the same die-cutter; and within this reverse type (3) many dies appear to have small additional decorative details, for instance 3452, 3456. These may represent a personal quirk of this die-cutter. However, the existence of 'bird' and 'victory' varieties at both mints suggests that these may have been officially-inspired.

## Metrology and die numbers

From the above discussion. it is apparent that there is no stylistic or physical overlap between the QL and QC series, and these therefore appear to be the products of separate establishments.

Weights may be summarized as follows (see also Appendix C)
al VIRTVS AVG average 2.95 g . standard deviation $0.37 \mathrm{~g}(96.6 \%$ cleaned $)$
OC LAETITIA AVG average 3.05 g , standard deviation 0.26 g ( $93.6 \%$ cleaned) VIRTVS AVG average 2.99 g , standard deviation $0.26 \mathrm{~g}(87.5 \%$ cleaned $)$
Die-axes: OL. $0^{\circ}: 32.2 \% ; 180^{\circ}: 67.8 \%$
ac. $0^{\circ}: 0 \% ; 180^{\circ}: 100 \%$
The die-axes parallel the pattern that may be observed for the aureliani.
How big was the issue of Q-radiates? Of the sample provided by the Rogiet hoard, only the Virtus element of the AC series provides a sample that is both fully die-studied and big enough ( 328 coins) for an estimate to be made of the likely number of dies employed in its manufacture. The method chosen is that of Lyon, using two of the four formulae discussed by him: ${ }^{76}$

Formula (2): $\mathrm{D}_{\text {est }}=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{1} / 2 \mathrm{~d}_{2}$
Formula (3): $\mathrm{D}_{\text {est }}=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1}+\mathrm{d}_{2}\right) /\left(2 \mathrm{~d}_{2}+3 \mathrm{~d}_{3}\right)$.
where:
$D_{\text {est }}$ is a central estimate of the total number of obverse (or reverse) dies used in the coinage;
$d$ is the total number of obverse (or reverse) dies recorded;
$d_{1}$ is the number of dies from which only one specimen is recorded;
$\mathrm{d}_{2}$ is the number of dies from which exactly two specimens are recorded;
$\mathrm{d}_{3}$ is the number of dies from which exactly three specimens are recorded.
The following results were obtained by averaging the estimates based on Lyon's formulae (2) and (3). In Lyon's view, these are the most appropriate for use where some dies are clearly overrepresented in the sample, as in the case of the die-duplications here.

[^26]VIRTVS AVG, - - // QC:
Observed obverse dies:
Observed reverse dies:
Observed combinations:
Total obverse dies:
Total reverse dies:
Total combinations:
$\mathrm{d}=167 ; \mathrm{d}_{1}=104: \mathrm{d}_{2}=33 ; \mathrm{d}_{3}=14$
$d=211 ; d_{1}=155: d_{2}^{2}=35 ; d_{3}=13$
$\mathrm{d}=232 ; \mathrm{d}_{1}=187 ; \mathrm{d}_{2}=25 ; \mathrm{d}_{3}=12$
$D_{\text {est }}=315$ : $95 \%$ confidence range $286-352$
$D_{\text {est }}=518 ; 95 \%$ confidence range 453-604
$D_{\text {est }}=812 ; 95 \%$ confidence range 699-969
In other words, the sample furnished by Rogiet is on the central estimates likely to represent around $53 \%$ and $41 \%$ of the obverse and reverse dies, respectively, for this element of the Qradiates (in broad terms, $45-55 \%$ and $35-45 \%$ ).

The method may be applied to the other two groups, but the small sample, on the one hand, of the OC Laetitia Aug coins and the probable incompleteness of the study of London, on the other, render the results distinctly speculative. For what it is worth, average results for Lyon's formulae (2) and (3) for the QC Laetitia issue ( 95 obverse dies observed) suggest of the order of 200 obverse dies for that series; and for London ( 235 obverse dies observed), perhaps as many as 700. Mindful, however, of Butrey's strictures regarding attempts to estimate the sizes of ancient coinage issues, ${ }^{77}$ it would perhaps be unwise to take this procedure any further: we have no idea of the output per die achieved either at London or at $C$. However, an issue that may overall have involved over 1,200 obverse dies was presumably not intended to be small.

One comparable third-century study may be cited, by way of wider imperial perspective: Roger Bland's work on the 'silver' radiates of Gordian III (238-44) at the mint of Antioch. ${ }^{78}$ Here, studies of two issues (represented by samples of 355 and 645 coins) suggested obverse die numbers centred on 674 and 2,279 respectively, using the Good/Esty fonmula. ${ }^{79}$

## Chronology and circulation

A definitive answer to the position of the Q-radiates in the coinage of Allectus remains elusive. However, it is now possible to put more flesh on the discussion of some of the questions raised by Bumett, ${ }^{80}$ bearing in mind - as ever - the potential limitations of the single-source sample.

In considering the chronology, we encounter a problem typical of those faced when studying the 'British' empire: for the C mint, where we now (I belicve) have some sort of structure for the Q-radiates, there is no obvious sequence within the aureliani, almost all of which are signed S P // C, with a small group S P//CL. At London, there is a sequence for the aureliani, S P // ML followed by S A// ML and S A // MSL, but we are less sure about the QL coins. At both mints, it is possible to trace the same hands at work on the portraits for both Q-radiates and aureliani, but this is not always ensy, because the larger die sizes of the latter (typically $20-21 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) enabled the engravers to work in very dilferent ways: a die with diameter 21 mm (to the inner pelleted circle) provides an area that is thirty-six per cent bigger than a typical Q-radiate die of 18 mm . In any case, the presence of a given engraver's work on both denominations does not in itself indicate whether these were produced at the same time, or sequentially. At $C$, it is noticeable that in each issue of Q-radiates the obverse dies appear to have been cut principally by four of the five hands identified. the fifth ( $\delta$ for Lactitica and $\alpha$ for Virtus) producing less than half as many dies as the others (Table 15, p. 70 ).

There are in the Rogiet hoard two London Q-radiates with obverse die diameters of 20 mm and these provide at least a suggestion that at some point the two denominations were produced there at the same time $(3009,3075)$.

[^27]Burnett's comments about obverse busts at London can to some extent be quantified, both in terms of the number of varieties and the number of coins that use the three main forms - cuirassed (B1) and draped and cuirassed (DI and D2) - as related to the proportions of QL coins using these forms.

TABLE 17. Obverse busts on London aureliani of Allectus

|  | Busts | Types (Bumett) | Coins |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BM coll. | Ashmolean* | B Latimer | Colchester |
| SP//ML | BI | 12 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 11 |
|  | DI | 11 | 4 | 7 | +? | 4 |
|  | D2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | - |  |
| SA//ML | BI | 27 | 31 | 27 | 10 | 48 |
|  | DI | 11 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 27 |
|  | D2 | 14 | 5 | 15 | 4 |  |
| SA// MSL | BI | 11 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 21 |
|  | DI | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | D2 | 1 | - | - | 1 |  |

The use of draped and cuirassed busts belongs almost exclusively to the periods of the first two marks (Burnett suggests 294 for the introduction of S A // ML). For QL in Rogict, the figures are: B1: 251: D1: 25; D2: 16. If the use of these busts parallels the aureliani, this would appear to suggest that Q-radiates were introduced at London well before the end of the reign. Their relative scarcity on the Rogiet Q-radiates, however. might be an indication that the OL coins continued in production to a late stage in the reign, when B1 busts were the almost exclusive norm on London aureliani.

An aurelianus published by Lyne is of some interest in this context: this is of the $S \mathrm{~A} / / \mathrm{ML}$ issue, with a draped-bust obverse (D1), weighing 4.03 g - but only 18 mm in diameter. ${ }^{81}$ Lyne saw this coin as transitional between the aureliani and the introduction of Q-radiates, regarding the latter as the final issues of Allectus and conflating the S A // ML and S A // MSL issues of aureliani. However. another interpretation might see this coin as an aurelianus struck on a blank for a Q-radiate and therefore evidence that the two were contemporary. The weight, to be sure, is very heavy for a Q-radiate (and presumably the reason the blank found its way into the wrong box), but three of the London Q-radiates from Rogiet are heavier. An unusually heavy QL coin $(5.74 \mathrm{~g})$ offered at auction in September 2005 is presumably, by the same token, a Q-radiate on a blank intended for an aurelianus. ${ }^{82}$

The average weights of the Q-radiates lie around 3.0 g at both mints (see above and Appendix C). There are few good groups of well-preserved aureliani with which to compare this figure, though: forty-eight coins in the Burton Latimer hoard, a combination of 31 London and 17 C coins, reportedly averaged $4.40 \mathrm{~g} .{ }^{83}$ Aureliani of Allectus in the British Museum average 4.25 g (London, 62 coins) and 4.17 g (C, 52 coins), with no significant differences between individual marks; in the Ashmolean Museum. 4.34 g (London, 53 coins) and 4.38 g ( C .47 coins). ${ }^{84}$ Figures for the corresponding coins in the Hunterian Museum are 4.05 g (London. 31 coins) and 4.36 g (C, 23 coins) ${ }^{85}$ The Q-radiates therefore appear to lie between two-thirds and three-quarters of the weights of the aureliani.

There are few published analyses, and these appear to suggest that the alloys of both denominations were similar, with silver contents between one and two per cent. ${ }^{8 / 6} \mathrm{~A}$ group of Q radiates from Rogiet has therefore been examined, together with the three aureliani of Allectus and an example of Carausius for comparison (Appendix D). The OL and QC coins appear to be

[^28]very consistent, with silver contents averaging around 1.6 per cent. Their alloys are comparable, though there is a hint in the figures that levels of tin at London are systematically higher than for $C$. The aureliani, however, seem to have higher levels of silver than those recorded by previous analyses. It is hard to be sure from such a small sample, but bearing in mind their relative weights, the aureliani may have been intended to contain twice as much silver by weight as the Q -radiates. More analyses of well-preserved specimens are clearly needed.

The paucity of comparative hoards containing suitably large numbers of Allectus (the vast majority end very weakly) serves to underline Burnett's caution against using them as evidence for the chronology of the Q-radiates. However, the recent (2004) Gilmorton hoard closes with two coins of Allectus: an aurelianus of the first, $\varsigma \mathrm{P} / / \mathrm{ML}$, London issue and a $/ / \mathrm{OL}$ coin. Rogiet closes very weakly, as regards aureliani, both for Diocletian and Maximian and for Carausius and Allectus (the one London coin of Allectus is again S P// ML), but very strongly in the Q-radiates. Blackmoor, a very different type of hoard. comprises for Allectus predominantly Q-radiates; the few aureliani lack late London ( $5 \mathrm{~A} / / \mathrm{MSL}$ ) coins. Ewelme's twenty Q-radiates outnumber their larger counterparts by $3: 1$; as Blackmoor, S A // ML is the latest London issue. Of several hoards that close with a single coin of Allectus, this is a Q-radiate in at least three: Bath, Godmanchester and Pen-y-Corddyn. Of six coins of Allectus in a small deposit from Watchfield (Berks), two of the four recorded in detail are Q -radiates, the others aureliani ( $\mathrm{S} P / / \mathrm{ML}$ and $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{A} / / \mathrm{ML}$ ). It would appear, in fact, that only two hoards have contained significant numbers of Allectus's aureliani: Colchester (164) and Button Latimer (48), both late deposits that include S A // MSL coins and both of the category 'reformed coins. none before Carausius', hoards that might not be expected to contain significant numbers of Q-radiates (Colchester includes three). Most of the other hoards mentioned here are of the 'unreformed' type, with aureliani in the minority, but the presence of Q-radiates in widely-scattered deposits suggests that they were readily available during Allectus's reign.

Q-radiates are also regularly encountered as single finds and in archaeological excavations. Site finds of coins of Carausius and Allectus have been summarized by Lloyd. ${ }^{87}$ Of sixty-two sites listed. forty-five have produced a total of 305 aureliani of Allectus ( 196 London, 109 C ); forty sites have yielded 254 Q-radiates ( 103 London, 151 C). In October 2004, the database of the Portable Antiquities Scheme in England and Wales included 1.42 useful records of recently-found coins of Allectus: 58 aureliani and 84 Q-radiates. The latter may owe their dominance here to the fact that they are readily recognized. even when in a poor state; however, their wide distribution is again noticeable. Where mints could be identified, London aureliani formed a majority (26L.: 12C) and C predominated for the Q-radiates (19L: 37C), in line with the other hoard and site evidence.

It has been suggested (above, p. 75) that Q-radiates may well have been produced at the $C$ mint before they were made at London. The portraiture on some of these earliest ac coins is distinctly experimental, perhaps because engravers were having to adjust to the smaller die sizes. However, another explanation should perhaps be entertained: that these are some of the very first dies of the reign. If so, this could put a very different complexion upon how the Q-radiates are to be perceived.

In this context, their relationship to the aureliani is relevant. It seems to me that there is no reason to doubt Burnett's suggestion that Q-radiates were indeed half-aureliani, and therefore intended to be the equivalents of the unreformed pre-274 radiates. Whatever the precise equivalence, Rogiet is a two-denomination hoard that appears to represent a sample of the best coins available during the course of Allectus's reign - and not necessarily at the end of it. If the OC Laetitia series represents one of the first (if not the first) billon issues of Allectus, it would appear that he may have taken a robust and positive approach to his coinage from the very beginning of his reign, attempting to improve the 'radiate' element of the currency by a significant issue of the Q -radiates and, perhaps by decrying the very worst of the existing radiates, to improve the quality of those existing radiates that were to remain in circulation, as evidenced by those contained in hoards such as Rogiet and Gloucester. This is also of a piece with the more

[^29]dynamic image of Allectus as ruler that is begiming to emerge elsewhere, for instance in the ambitious building project started in 294 that has been discovered in London. ${ }^{88}$ The choice of a distinctive and effectively uniform reverse design for the ' $O$ ' series was presumably a deliberate way of marking out the issue as being new and significantly different from the aureliani. However, coins of uniform design were also a marked feature of Diocletian's reform in 294-5. If the Qradiates were indeed an early initiative, then it will be clear that they would have predated Diocletian's own coinage reform or at least formed a development that was independent of it. ${ }^{89}$ Whatever Allectus's intentions, they were soon overtaken by the reconquest of Britain, the imposition of Diocletian's currency reform and the suppression of the aureliani and Q-radiates of the 'British' empire.

## Postscript

A new hoard from the reign of Allectus came to light it Elveden. Suffolk, in October 2005, too late for detailed consideration in the context of this paper. It comprises single coins of Probus and Maximian. 276 from the reign of Carausius and 349 of Allectus, thereby forming a third (and the largest recorded) example of Bland and Burnett's second category of British Empire hoards (p.49). All but one of the coins are aureliani; there is one Q-radiate, of the C mint. and a hybrid coin that is of great interest in relation to the discussion on pp. 77-80, above. This is an aurelianus struck from an obverse die intended for Q-radiates and it provides further evidence for the simultaneous production of the Iwo denominations. The reverse. PAX AVG (vertical sceptre), S P // loff fian], could belong at either mint: but the obverse is clearly a London die of 18 mm module. type B' (hair brushed sidewilys at forehead) with bust D1. (This die is not represented in the Rogiet sample.) If the reverse is also of London. this new hybrid coin would appear, prima facie, to provide positive evidence that the Q-radiates were introduced relatively early in Allectus's reign. S P /i ML being the first of the 1 .ondon marks; it is unfortunate that the mint signature cannot be read. 1 am indebted to Richard Abdy for preliminary information on the Elveden hoard during its processing under the Treasure Act 1996.

## APPENDIX A: A CATALOGUE OF THE ROGIET HOARD

## Ohverse Busts

The obverse busts are described using the scheme originally developed for recording third-century hoards published in the Com Hoards from Roman Britain series. ${ }^{9 n}$ This is the first significant hoard of aureliani recorded using the scheme and three further varieties have been added (B3, J7 and L4). The following bust varieties are present in the Rogiet hoard. All refer to heads with radiate crowns (emperors) or busts with crescents behind (empresses), except as indicated. Effigies face to the right as viewed, unless indicated by a suffix ' 1 '.
Al head.
A3 head, with traces of drapery to front and rear of truncation.
BI cuirassed bust, viewed from front. (BI*: head laureate)
B2 cuirassed bust, viewed from rear.
B 3 cuirassed bust, viewed from front, with shield at 1 . shoulder.
Cl draped bust, viewed from front.
C2 draped bust. viewed from rear.
D1 draped and cuirassed bust, viewed from front.
D2 draped and cuirassed bust. viewed from rear.
E2 Empress diademed, bust draped and viewed from front; crescent behind. (E2*; no crescent)
F1 cuirassed bust, viewed from front, holding spear over I. shoulder.
F2 cuirassed bust, viewed from front. holding spear over r . shoulder.
F4 draped and curassed bust, viewed from front. holding spear over 1 . shoulder.
Gl cuirassed bust, from front, spear over r shoulder, shield on I shoulder.
G2 cuirassed bust from rear. spear pointing forward, shield on 1 , shoulder.
G3 as G2 but cuirass engraved as though viewed from front.
HI head helmeted; cuirassed bust. viewed from front.
H4 head helmeted: cuirassed bust, from front. spear over r . shoulder, shield on I. shoulder.
H5 head helmeted; curassed bust, from rear. spear forward, shield on I. shoulder.
H 7 head helmeted: draped and cuirussed bust, from rear. spear forward, shield on I. shoulder
17 cuirassed bust. viewed from front, rh, hokls pugio.
KI bust in consular robes, viewed from front.

[^30]
## THE ROGIET HOARD

K4 bust in consular robes, from front: r.h. holds eagle-tipped sceptre.
K5 bust in consular robes. from front; r.h. holds globe.
L2 nude bust viewed fron rear: spear forward, aegis on I. shoulder.
L4 nude bust, viewed from rear; spear forward, aegis on I. shoulder, head heimeted.
NI three jugate cuirassed busts, viewed from front.

## Reverse tpes

With one exception (Sol) the catalogue uses the Cunctio/CHRB scheme (q.v.), modified to include a number of additional varieties and a few adjusted descriptions (numbers indicated in bold for both). These are manly a consequence of the later date of Rogiet: the reverses of the aureliani were not examined in detail for the original Ctmetio publication, since that hoard closed c. 274 , too early to contain them.

The treatment of Sol, however, follows throughout that of Estiot's scheme for Aurelian (la Venèra, Vol. Il/l), which is given in full. ${ }^{96}$

Abbreviations: stg, standing; std, seated; r., right: 1., left; h., hand. Right and left refer to the design as viewed, except that r.h. and 1.h. refer to the right and left hands of the god or personification depicted. Where r.h. and I.h. are not specified, an attribute held in the r.h. is given before that in the left.

## Abuntantion

1. stg r.. emprying connucopiae held in both hands.

## Aequitas

1. sig l., holding scales and comucopine.

## Aeschlopims

2b. stg facing, head l., with r.h. leaning on staff round which is entwined a snake: globe to left, by A's r. foot.

## Aeremitas

I. sig facing. head I., holding phoenix on globe in r.h. and raising skirt with I.h.
2. stg facing, head I., holding globe and long rudder.

## Alfor

I. Altar with flame above.

## Anmona

La. stg I., holding corn cars and cornucopiace; at feec to 1. , the prow of a ship.

## Aprollo

3. stg r., aiming bow and arrow held in both hands.
4. stg l. . holding branch and manle.
5. stg l.. holding branch in r.h. and leaning l. elbow on a tripod to $r$.

## Clememia

1. stg l., legs crossed, holding verical sceptre in r.h. and leaning 1 . elbow on column to $r$.

## Concortia

I. sid l., holding patera and double cornucopiae.

1a. as 1 . but l.h. holds a single cornucopiae.
2. stg l., holding patcra and double cornucopiac.
3. sig I. , holding vertical standard in each hand.
7. std l., holding a standard in cach hand.

## Concordia and Sol

1. Concordia stg r., holding two standards. tacing Sol stg l., r.h. raised, l.h. holding a globe.

## Dicina

1. stg re, holding long-handled lighted torch in botl hands. 5. sig r., holding vertical spear and bow; at feet to r., a small stag(?) running $r$.

## Eagle

1. stg $r$., head turned l.
2. stg l., head turned r.

## Emperor

1a. on horseback riding l., raising r. arm and holding transverse sceptre in I. hand; captive on ground to 1 .
2. stg r., holding transverse spear and globe.
3. sid l. on curule chair. holding globe and baton.

3b. as 3, but emperor veiled.
7. walking r ., holding transverse spear and shiek, trampling an enemy on the ground to r .
9a. on horseback riding r. emperor holds spear and shield, speaing a fallen enemy who hes on ground to r., with $r$. arm raised
10a. stg l., holding globe in 5 . hand and long venical sceptre in I. hand: captives seated I. and r. at his feet, both looking tor.
11. helmeted. stg l., holding globe and verrical spear (point up).
13. stg I., holding a transverse sceptre in I.h., r.h. crowns a trophy of arms: seated captive on ground to l.. lurning to look at emperor.
14. sig I. between two standards; r.h. raised. I.h. holding a vertical sceptre.
15. on horseback l., spearing a fallen enemy on ground to I.: sheld below horse.
16. advancing r., brandishing a sword and holding a shield, attacking a fallen enemy to r.

## Enperor and Concordia

1. Emperor standing r.. clasping hand of Concordia standing 1 .

## Emperor and cmpress

I. Emperor stg r., clasping hand of empress. who is stg I.
2. Empress stg i, l.h. hokling uncertain vessel(?). r.h. grasping hand of emperor, who stands I., holding a shon septre downwards in I.h.

## Emperor und female

1. Female stg r.. presenting a wreath to emperor, who sands I. holdiseg a long sceptre in I.h.

## Emperor and lupiter

1. Emperor stg r.. facing Jupiter stg I. E. holds a long venical seeplre in r.h.. I.h. outsiretched: J. holels globe and long sceptre.
[^31]1b. Emperor stg r. facing Jupiter stg I. Emperor holds short sceptre in L.h.. r.h. outstretched; J. holds globe and long sceptre. (This corrects Cu./a.)
1c. As Ib. but emperor holds nothing in I.h.

## Emperor and Mars

1. Mars $\operatorname{stg} \mathrm{r}$. , holding spear in I.h. and presenting globe to emperor stg I.. who holds a long sceptre in his I.h.
2. Mars stg r.. holding victory and spear, lacing emperor stg I.. holding globe and long sceptre.

## Emperor and Orient(:)

1. Emperor stg 1, holding long sceptre in l.h., his r.h. extended to raise kneeling figure in tunic, with modius on head.

## Emperor and Pietas

1. Emperor stg r., r.h. extended. 1.h. holding a sceptre, Pietas stg 1., r.h. extended, 1.h. holding a sceptre. Between them a small altar.

## Emperor and Roma

1. Emperor, togate, standing r, r.h. extended; Roma seated I. holding victory and vertical sceptre.

## Emperor and soldier

1. Soldier stg r.. holding long vertical sceptre and globe; emperor stg I. holding victory and transverse sceptre.

## Emperor and Victory

1. Emperor stg 1., holding globe and spear. crowned by Victory stg 1. holding wreath and palm.

## Emperors (two)

1. Two emperors stg facing one another. Each holds a shield resting on the ground; behind, two vertical spears.
2. Two emperors stg facing one another, both sacrificing over an altar placed between them. The emperor on the I. holds an eagle-tipped sceptre in his L.h., the emperor on the r. a baton.
3. Two emperors stg facing one another. The emperor on the I. holds a vertical sceptre in his r.h., 1.h. outstretched; the emperor on the r. holds a Victory on globe in r.h. and transverse spear (point forward) in his l.h.

## Empress

1. std 1., holding branch and long transverse sceptre.

## Fectunditas

1. stg I., holding patera and cormucopiae; at her feet to I. stands a small child with arms raised.

## Felicitas

1. stg I., holding long vertical caduceus and cornucopiae.

1a. as 1 , bul r.h. holds short caduceus.
1c. as 1 . but $F$. is standing facing, head $r$.
3. std I. holding short caduceus and cornucopiae.
4. stg l., holding short caduceus in r.h. and leaning 1. elbow on column to $r$.
5. stg l., holding short caduceus and long vertical sceptre.
6. stg 1., holding patera and long caduceus; altar on ground to 1 .

## Fides

1. stg l., holding vertical standard in each hand.

2a.stg 1., head r., holding vertical standard and transverse standard.
2h. stg I.. head 1., holding vertical standard and long transverse sceptre.

3a. stg I., holding vertical standard and long vertical sceptre.
6. stg I., holding vertical sceptre and transverse standard.

Fides and Sol

1. Fides stg r . holding two standards, facing Sol stg l., r.h. raised, l.h. holding a globe.

## Fortuna

I. stal I.. holding rudder and cornucopiae: beneath seat. wheel.
Ia as $I$. but without wheel beneath seat.
2. stg l., holding rudder and cornucopiac.

Genius

1. stg l., holding patera and comucopiae; on ground to r., a standard
Iid. as 1, but without standard.

## Hercules

I. stg r.. r.h. resting on hip. 1.h, holding lion skin and club. which rests on a rock.
3. stg I.. r.h. holding branch. I.h, holding club and lion skin.
3b. stylistic variant of 3 (Postumus).

## Hercules and lion

1. Hercules stg r.. wrestling Nemaean lion: club on ground to L .

## Hippocamp

1. Hippocamp r.

Indutgentia

1. std l., holding com ears and long transverse secptre.

## Juno

1. stg 1., holding patera and long vertical sceptre.

1b. as 1 , but peacock at feet to 1 .
3. std I. on throne, holding ?flower and child in swaddling clothes.

## Supiter

1. stg l., holding thunderbolt and long vertical sceptre.

1a. as I, with small figure of emperor standing at feet to 1 .
1c. as 1 , but at feet to 1 . is an cagle stg 1 .
2. stg 1., head r., holding long vertical sceptre and thunderbolt.
6. std 1., holding Victory on globe and long vertical sceptre.
7. stg 1 . head r ., holding thunderbolt and long vertical sceptre.
9. stg 1., holding Victory on globe and sceptre; eagle at feet to 1 .
10. stg I.. head r.. holding thunderbolt and vertical sceptre.

At his feet to 1. , an eagle, 1 ., head $r$. . to his $I$. and behind. two standards.
11. stg r., holding long vertical sceptre and thunderbolt.

## Jupiter and Hercules

1. Jupiter stg r., holding thunderbolt and long sceptre. facing Hercules stg 1., r.h. extended and I.h. holding club and Iion skin.

## Laetitia

1. stg I., holding wreath and anchor. (Corrects Cunetios (HRB)
2. stg 1., holding wreath and comucopiae. (Corrects Cunetioi(CHRB)

Mars
1b. walking l., holding branch in r.h. and transverse spear (point up) and shield in I.h.
2b. walking r., holding transverse spear (point forward) and trophy over I. shoulder.
$2 d$. as $2 b$, but captive on ground to r .
4. sig l., holding branch in r.h. and vertical spear (point up) and shield resting on ground in l.h.
7. stg l. holding branch and vertical spear (point down): shield on ground to 1 .

## Mererry

1a. sig I.. holding parse and short caduccus.

## Minerra

3. walking l.. holding branch in r.h. and transverse spear (point up) and shield in I.h.
4. stg I., holding branch in r.h. and spear and shield (resting on ground) in I.h.

## Monera

1. stg l. holding scales and cornucopize.

Nemesis

1. stg facing. head r., r.h. raised to head, l.h. holding a long palm branch.

## Neprune

2. stg 1. holding simall dolphin and vertical trident.

Pax

1. stg 1., holding branch and transverse sceptre.

1b. as I, but l.h. holds vertical sceptre.
4. running l., holding branch and long transverse sceptre.

4b. as 4 . but holds vertical sceptre in I.h.
6. stg l.. holding branch and slandard.
7. stg l., holding Victory on globe and transverse scepte.

## Perperuiras

1. stg facing. head I.. holding globe and transverse sceptre, leaning 1 . elbow on column to r .

## Pietas

4. veiled, sig I., sacrificing with r.h. over altar on ground to I., I.h. holding a box.
4a. as 4 , but $P$ is diademed.
5. stg r. betore altar to t.. r.h. raised. 1.h. holding a box of perliumes(?).

## Prince

1. stg l.. holding globe and vertical spear (point down).

Ib. as I, but P. holds long vertical sceptre in I.il.
2c. stg l.. holding baton and transverse spear (point forward): two vertical standards to r .
2d. stg l., holding baton and transverse sceptre.
3a. stg l., holding vertical standard and long vertical sceplre.

## Providemia

1. stg l., holding globe and long transverse sceptre.

Ia. as 1, but l.In. holds comncopiac.
2. stg 1 . holding baton and cornucopiae: globe at feet to I .

2ir. as 2, but l.h. holds vertical standard.
2 b . as 2 , but $1 . \mathrm{h}$. holds long vertical sceptre.
3. sig l., holding baton and comucopiac, wilh I. elbow leaning on column to r.: globe at feet 10 l .
4. stg 1 ., holding com ears and cornucopiae: modus on ground tol.

## River god

Ib. reclining $I$, head homed, r.h. resting on knce, I.h. holding pitcher and reed. Behind, to I. the forepant of a boat.

## Roma

2. std 1 . on shield, hoiding Victory on globe and long vertical sceptre.

## Sacrificial inplements

2. Sacrificial implements: I. to r., lituus, knile, patera, jug (prominent). simpulum, sprinkler.
2a, as 2 , with order reversed.
Sahis
3. sig l., holding in r.h. a patera from which a snake rising from an altar to I. is feeding; in her I.h. S. holds a long vertical sceptre.
4. stg r. feeding snake held in r.h. from a patera held in l.h. 5. std 1., feeding snake rising from altar to 1. from a patera held in her r.h. ; her l.h. rests on the back of her seat.
5 a. as 5 , but S. holds the patera in her l.h. and strokes the snake with her r.h.

## Securimas

2. stg facing, head 1 ., holding long vertical scepire in r.h., with 1 . elbow leaning on a column to r .
2a. as 2 , but no sceptre: cih. ralsed to head.
2b. as 2, but r.h. holds baton instead of long sceptre.
3. stg r ., legs crossed, holding r.h. to head and leaning 1 . elbow on columin to $r$.

Sol

1. stg I., r.h. raised. I.In. holding a globe. ( $=$ Cll.2)
2. sig I., r.h. raised, I.h. holding a whip. (= Cu.l; not represcmed in Rogier)
3. stg facing, head to I., r.h. raised, l.h. holding a globe. (= Cu.2b/6)
4. as 3 . with a captive seated at feet to l., looking I.
5. as 1 , with a captive seated at feet to 1 ., facing $S$. (not represemed in Rogier)
6. as 3 , with wo captives at feet to r. and I., both looking I.

6a. as 6 . but both captives look towards $S$.
7. walking/running J., r.h. raised. J.h. holding a whip. (= Clin3)
8. walking/running l., r.h. taised, 1.h. holding a globe; one captive seated at feet 10 l., looking I. (not represented in Rogie)
9. walking/running 1 ., as $\overline{8}$; wo captives seated at feet to $r$. and $I$., both looking I.
10. walking/running l., r.h. raised, l.h. holding a whip; two caplives seated at feet to $r$. and l. looking towards $S$.
11. walking/running 10 r.. r.h. brandishing a vexillum, l.h. holding a globe surmounted by a crescent, trampling a captive facing him on the ground, r. (nor represented in Rogier)
12. walking/rumning to r., r.h. brandishing a branch. I.h. holding a bow, trampling a captive facing him on the ground, r.

## Sol in guadriger

1. Sol facing in spread quadriga, r.h. raised. I.h. holds as globe and a whip.
la. As 1, but no whip. (now reprevened in Rogiet)
Ib. As I, but Sol facing, head I. (mon represented in Rogice)
Ic. As 1b, but no globe.
2. Sol in quadriga l., r.h raised. l.h. holds a globe and a whip.
2a. As 2 . but no globe

Spes

1. Walking 1., holding llower in r.h. and raising skirt with L.h.

1a. as 1, but stg 1
Temple
2. Roma std facing in hexastyle temple

## Trophy

1. Trophy of arms; at each side, a bound and seated captive.

## Uberitas

1. stg 1., holding purse and cornucopiae.

## Venus

1b. stg 1. holding helmet in r . hand and long transverse sceptre in I.h.: I. elbow leans on shield which rests on ground.
2. stg 1.. holding helmet and long vertical spear: on the ground to 1 . a shield rests against her legs.
3. std 1. r. arm outstretched to small child standing at her feet to l., looking up at her: V. holds a long transverse sceptre in her l.h.
$5 . \operatorname{stg} 1 .$. holding apple for globe) and long vertical sceptre. 5a, as 5 , but at her feet to I. stands a small child r.. with arms raised.
6. stg $r$.., holding long vertical sceptre and small child (or cupid?).

## Vesta

1a. std I.. holding patera and long transverse sceptre.

## Victories (two)

1. two victories stg facing one another. pinning a shield inseribed SC to a palm tree between them.

Victory
I. stg I.. holding wreath and palm against I. shoulder.
2. stg 1., holding shield which rests on ground and palm against l. shoulder.
3. Walking I., holding wreath and palm against I. shoulder. 3a. as 3 , bul $V$ is running.
3c. as 3 . but at feet to 1 . a bound and seated captive.
4. walking 1. holding wreath and trophy over 1 . shoulder.
6. stg 1., wings outstretched and holding a diadem or snake in both hands. between two shields.
8. running r., holding wreath and palm against I. shoulder. $9 b$ running 1 .. holding wreath and palm against 1 . shoulder, kicking a bound and seated captive to l.
12. running r.. holding wreath and trophy over 1 . shoulder: captives to 1 . and r. on ground. ( $=11+$ captives $)$
13. stg (walking?!) I. on globe between two captives; she holds a wreath and a palin.

## Virtus

1. stg l.. holding shield which rests on ground and long vertical spear.
3b. $5 t g \mathrm{r}$., holding long vertical spear (point up) and shield which rests on ground.
4b. stg l., holding branch and long vertical spear (point down); shield on ground to 1., resting against his r. leg.
2. stg I.. holding globe and long vertical spear (point down). $7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$. stg 1 ., holding Victory and shield + spear (point up); Victory faces if towards V. (7a) or I., away from V. (7a').

Winged horse
2. prancing f .

## Standard References

RIC P.H. Webb, The Roman Imperial Coinage, vol, V. 1 (London, 1927). V. 2 (London, 1933).
Elmer G. Elmer, 'Die Münzprügung der gallischen Kaiser in Köln, Trier und Mailand'. Bomer Jahrbücher 146 (1941).

Cunctio E. Besly and R. Bland, The Cunetio Treasure (London, 1983).
Normanby R. Bland and A. Burnett. CHRB VIII (1988).
Bastien P. Bastien, Le monnayage de l'Atelier de Lyon (Wetteren, 1972. 1976).
Estiot S. Estiot. Ripostiglio della Venèra: nuovo catalogo illustrato, vol. [I (Rome. 1987. 1995).
Gricourt D. Gricourt, Ripostigtio della Venèra: nuovo catalogo illustrato. vol. IV (Rome, 2000).
Carson R.A.G. Carson. 'Carausius et fratres sui ...' (Studia Paulo Naster Oblata l: Numismatica Antiqua (Leuven. 1982).

Burnett A. Burnett. 'The coinage of Allectus', BNJ 54 (1984).

## Catalogue conventions

The hoard comprises 3,813 coins, of which 3,778 coins were acquired as treasure through the Department for Culture. Media and Sport in 1999 (NMW accession number 99.31 H , sub-numbered 1-3778). A further 35 coins, found before implementation of the Treasure Act 1996, have been recorded, shown by three finders; of these, NMW has since acquired six: $2000.7 \mathrm{H} / 1-5$ and 2002.14 H . Accordingly, since a single numbered sequence is not practicable without the likelihood of confusion with the Museum's accession numbers, the main catalogue is numbered by type (variety). following the precedents of hoard publications such as Cunetio and Normanby. An asterisk against a catalogue number indicates that the type is illustrated: an obelisk indicates a catalogue note. References to catalogue entries in the main text are in bold. Weights given in italics indicate coins that have not been cleaned.

Appendix B lists in fuller detail the 749 Q-radiates of Allectus acquired by NMW, study of which forms the basis of pp. 62-80, above. These are listed by then accession sub-numbers, references to which are given in italics. Some numbers appear out of sequence, resulting from adjustments to the original listing when this material was re-examined for the purposes of publication. The most substantial movements are cross-referenced. The letters ' $u$ ' and ' $e$ ' adjacent to some weights signify 'uncleaned' and 'significantly corroded', respectively.

Numbering of the plates follows the principles above. Pls 3-15 and the counterfeits (PI. 25) are numbered as catalogue types: coins of Allectus (Pls 16-25) by their museum accession sub-numbers. In Pls 16-25, the only die links indicated are those for which both coins are illustrated, with link references to be read as ' $3 X X X$ '. Considerations of space have precluded the illustration oi all dies and linked specimens as well as many fine specimens of the aureliani.

Cor.No

## A: CENTRAL EMPIRE TO 270

## YaLERIAN I

| Rome (7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cunetio |  |  |  |  |
| obv. IMP C P LIC VALERIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $* 1$ | APOLINI PROPVG | Apollo 3 | D] | 437 | 74 | 2 | 3.35.2.75 |
| 2 | FIDES MILITVM | Fides ! | D1 | 441 | 89 | 2 | 2.94, 2.43 |
| 3 | VICTORIA AVGG | Victory 1 | DI | 447 | 125 | I | 3.11 |
| duv. IMP C P LIC VAIERIANVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PM TR P V COS IIII PP | Eniperor 3 | D] | 493 | 142cy | 1 | 2.76 |
| 5 | VICTORIA AVGG | Victory 2 | DI | 498 | 128 | 1 | 2.49 |
| East (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% 6 | obv. IMP CP LIC VALERIANVS AVG VIRTVS AVCG | Emperors (two) 3 | DI | 845 | 292 | 1 | 3.48 |
| Ob: IMP C P ULC VALERIANVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | VOTA ORBIS | Victorics (two) 1 | DI | 893 | 295 | 1 | 3.66 |
|  | obs: IMP Valerianvs avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | PM TR P V COS 1111 PP | Emperors (two) 1 | DI | 835 | 277 | I | 3.29 |
| GALLIENUS (Joint Rejgn) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rome (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | obr. IMP C P LIC GAllienvs Avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | VIRTVS AVGG | Virtus 1 | B1 | 549 | 181 | 1 | 2.28 |
| obr: IMP CPLIC GAllienvs pf Avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | LAETITIA AVGG | Laenilia I | Bl | 566 | 145 | 1 | 356 |
| obv. MPP GAllienvs pf AvG GERM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger 11$ | VICTORIA AVGG | Viciory 2 | B1 | 611 | 169 | I | 3.27 |
| Gaul (1) Efmer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | obr: GALLIENVSPFFAVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | VICT GERMANICA | Viciory 3 | B1 | 84 | cl. 42 | 1 | 3.76 |
| Yimidacium (I) Cuetio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| obr: IMP GAllienvs P AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *13 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 1 | D2 | 767 | 397 | 1 | 335 |
| East II (I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | abl. IMP C P LIC GALLIENVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | VIRTVS AVGG | Emperors (wo) 3 | D2 | 852 | 456 | 1 | 3.94 |

DIVUS VALERIAN II
Rome (2)
obs. DIVO CAES VALERIANO
1.5 CONSECRATIO

16 CONSECRATIO

Allar
Allar I D2 $680 \quad 24 \quad 1260$

Cal Nio
SALONINA (Joint Reign)
Rome (3)
obv: SALONINA AVG
17 IVNOREGINA
18 IVNO REGINA
Gaul (1)
wh: SALONINA AVG
19 VENVS FELIX
East II (1)
siv. SALONINA AVG
20 CONCORDIA AVGG

## GALIIENUS (Sole Reign)

Rome (29)
obv. GALLIENVS AVG
Issue ?
2) VIRTVS AVG

22 VICTORIA AVGIII
23 VICTORIA AVG III Issue 3
24 PROVID AVG
25 LAETITIA AVG
26 AEQVITAS AVG
27 SECVRIT AVG
Issue 4
*28 INDVLGENT AVG
29 FORTVNA REDVX
30. FELICIT PVBL

Issue 5
31 MARTI PACIFERO
32 MARTI PACIFERO
33 ABVNDANTIA AVG
34 ABVNDANTIA AVG
35 ABVNDANTIA AVG
36 AETERNITAS AVG
37 AETERNITAS AVG
38 VBERITAS AVG
39 IOVIS STATOR
40 FORTVNA REDVX
4. VICTORIA AET

42 ORIENS AVG
$\dagger 43$ ORIENS AVG
44 IOVI CONSERVAT
45 SALVS AVG
Issue 6
$4650 L I C O N S$ AVG
47 NEPTVNO CONS AVG
Milan (10)
obv, GALLIENVS AVG
3 ral series
48 APOLLO CONSER
49 DIANA FELIX
50) ORIENS AVG

51 VIRTVS AVG Ath series
52 VIRTVS AVG 5th series

Marks Bust RIC Qiy Weight

## Car. No

53 FELICIT AVG
7hin series
obr. IMP GALLIENVS AVG
54 FORT REDVX
obv. IMP GALLIENVS PF AVG
$5 S$ PMTR PVIICOS
Siscia (2)
obr. CALLIENVS AVG
Is/2nd series
56 PAX AVG running 1. thin series
57 PROVI AVG
Antioch/East (4)
obr: GAlLIENVS PF AVG
58 VICTORIA AVG
*59 VIRTVS AVG
obr. GAlliENVS AVG
60 VIRTVS AVGVSTI
61 SALVS AVG

## SALONINA (Sole Reign)

Rome (7)
ob: SALONINA AVG
issues l.?
62 VENVS GENETRIX
63 VESTA
issue 5
*64 FECVNDITAS AVG
65 VENVS VICTRIX
66 IVNO CONSERVAT
initan (5)
obr. SALONINA AVG
Shmeries
67 VENVS VICT
7 haseries
68 AVG IN PACE
Sh series
69 IVNO AVG

## MaCRTANUS

## Eastorn mint (1)

(b). IMP! |ACRIANVS PF AVG
*70 SOLIINVICTO

CLAUDIUS חI
Rome (17)
Issue II
odv. IMP C CLAVDIVS AVG
71 IOVI STATORI
72 SPES PVBLICA
3 VICTORIA AVG
4 ANNONA AVG
VIRTVS AVG
VIRTVS AVG
177 VIRTVS AVG
78 GENIVS EXERCI
39 FIDES EXERCI

|  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qg | Weight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Felicitas 5 | P-II. | Al | 1647 | 474 | 1 | 2.89 |


| Pax 4 | $--11-$ | 1798 | 576 | 1 | 3.23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Victory 3 | *- l . | BI | 1890 | 662 | 1 | 3.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vinus | *.// | BI | - | 667 | 1 | 3.17 |
| Hercules ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | *. $/ 1$ - | D2 | 1900 | 673 | 1 | 3.72 |
| Apollo 6 | .// PXV | B1 | - | 610 | 1 | 3.74 |


| Venus 6 | $-\mathrm{V} 1 / /-$ | E 2 | 993 | 30 | 1 | 3.22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vesia Ia | $-/ / \mathrm{O}$ | E 2 | 987 | 32 | 1 | 3.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fecundias I | $-\mathrm{J} / /-$ | E 2 | 1318 | 5 | 3 | $430.3 .89,3.15$ |
| Venus 2 | $-\mathrm{H} / /-$ | E 2 | 1321 | 31 | 1 | 2.75 |
| Juno lb | $-\mathrm{N} / /-$ | E 2 | 1324 | 11 | 13.68 |  |


| Venes 5 a | --// | E2 | 1679 | 66 v . | 1 | 3.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empress I | - - /IMS | E2 | 1765 | 58 | 3 | 3.66,3.12,306 |
| Juno 3 | . ./l MS | E2 | 1785 | 62 | 1 | 2.70 |


$12 \quad \mathrm{~J} 346$

Normaby

| Jupiter 2 |  | D2 | 596 | 52 | 1 | 4.29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spes 1 |  | BI | 611 | 102 | 1 | 4.19 |
| Victory 1 |  | Bl | 616 | 104 | ! | 3.48 |
| Annona la |  | D2 | 638 | 18 | 1 | 308 |
| Virius 4b |  | A] | 642 | 109 | 1 | 3.62 |
| Vistus to |  | D2 | 645 | 109 | 1 | 355 |
| Virtus tb | qee note | A) | 649 | 109 | 1 | 325 |
| Genius ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | D2 | 661 | 48 | 1 | 2.95 |
| Fides ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | D2 | 69.3 | 36 | I | 306 |


| Cut. $\mathrm{So}_{7}$ |  |  | Marks | Busi |  | RKC | Qii | Wcight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | Provident avg Irate IV odve IMP GavDIVS AVG | Proynkentia 3 |  | BI | 705 | 91 | 1 | 3.74 |
| 81 | VIRTVS AVG | Vintus 1 | -8.1. | AI | 923 | 111 | 1 | 3.83 |
| X2 | PM TR P II Cos PP | Empers? | $\cdots$ - $4=$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | AI | 93265 | 12 | 1 | 278 |
| 8 ? | PM TRP\\| $\cos$ P9 | Emperor 2 | 1.1\% | A) | 932 | 12 | 1 | 2.84 |
| 84 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortura 2 | $\cdots$ | A! | 957 | 41 | 1 | 2.71 |
| * +85 | Marti pacifero | Mars is | - ii $^{\text {- }}$ | Al | - | - | 1 | 381 |
| 86 | LAETITIA AVG | Lactitia 1 | -XII... | 81 | 997 | 56 | 1 | 3.46 |
| 87 | laETITIA AVG | Lachita 1 | - - 1 I XII | A! | 999 | 56 | 1 | 120 |
|  | Milan (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | abn. IMP CLAVDIVSPFAVG (sun) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * 188 | SPES PVBLICA | Spes 1 | * ${ }^{\text {i }}$ P | D1 | 1005 | 168 | 1 | 4.18 |
| 89 | VICTORIA AVG | Vixtory 8 | --17S | D2 | 100\% | 171 | 1 | 3.36 |
| 90 | FELKC TENPO Issue II | Feluitas 5 | ---i/ T | D2 | 1015 | 145 | 1 | :79 |
| 91 | VIRTVS AVG Issure ill | Mars 26 | ...i P | D2 | 11920 | 172 | 1 | 357 |
| 42 | DIANA LVCIF | Dianal | $\cdots / \mathrm{P}$ | DI | 1037 | 144 | 1 | 347 |
|  | Siscia (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nbi, IMP ClAVDIVS AVG <br> Issur il h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 843 | SPES AVG Issue III u | Spes 1 | -11\%. | D2 | - | 191 | 1 | 3.72 |
| 94 | LAETITIA AVG | Letelita 3 | 1. 1. | B1 | - | 181 | 1 | 353 |
| 45 | SPES AVG | Spes la | - 11 If. | BI | 1083 | $-$ | 1 | 4.37 |
| 96 | SPES AVG | Spes la | II- $\mathrm{IV}^{-}$ | B1 | 1086 | - | 1 | 3.52 |
|  | Cyzicus (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 597 | obr. IMP CM AVR CLAVDIVS AVG VICTORIAE GOTHIC nibv, IMP CLAVDIVS PF AVG | Trophy 1 | $=-/ / S P Q R$ | D2 | - | 251 | 1 | 4.90 |
| *+98 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuma 2 | . $/$ / SPOR | D2 | - | 234 | 1 | 3.87 |
|  | DIVUS CLAUDIUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rome (6)
abv. DIVO ClavDIO
94 CONSECRATIO
${ }^{+100}$ CONSECRATIO

Alar I
Eagle 2

A1 $2315 \quad 259 \quad 4332,3.08,2.90 .2 .34$
Al $2314 \quad 266 \quad 2 \quad 331,242$

QUINTILLUS
Rome (8)
wh. IMP CM AVR CL OVINTILLVS AVG
101 PAX AVGVSII
102 VICTORIA AVG
101 VICTORIA AVG
104 FIDES MILITVM
105 AETERNIT AVG
106 SECVRIT AVG
107 SECVRIT AVG
B: GALLIC EMPIRE., 260.74
POSTUMIS

## POSTUMLS

Mint I (Trier 1 134)
(b) IMP C POSTVMVS PF AVG

Series /b
108 SALVS PROVINCIARVM
I(19) VICTORIA AVG

| Pax 1 | 4.11. | DI | 1146 | 26 | I | 3.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victory 8 | -「\% | [1] | 1152 | 33 | 1 | 2.84 |
| Victory 8 | $\cdots$ | D1 | 1153 | 35 | I | 3.38 |
| Fides 3 a | - 61 . | DI | 1165 | 18 | 1 | 3.46 |
| Soll | V. $/ 1 \%$ | D2 | 1186 | 7 | \| | 3.50 |
| Securitas 26 | - $\mathrm{XIF}_{1 i \prime}$ | D1 | 1197 | 31 | 2 | 3,55,338 |
| Sccuatas 2b | . $\mathrm{XI} 1 \mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ - | D? | 1198 | 3 |  | 24 |

Cunetiog Elmer

| River god lb | D1 | 2372 | 127 | $2.337,3.18$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Victory $\%$ | Di | 2375 | 125 | 1.4 .65 |

THE ROGIET HOARD

Marks

Fides 1
110 FIDES MILTTVM
III FIDES MILITVM
112 PM TR P COS II PP Series $2 b$
113 HERC PACIFERO
114 VIRTVSAVG Scries 3 a
115 MONETA AVG
Scries $3 b$
116 MONETA AVG
117 PROVIDENTIA AVG Series faï
*I18 VIRTVS AVG
$\therefore 119$ SALVS AVG
Series $4 b i$
120 SERAPI COMITI AVG
Scries 4bii
121 VBertasavg
Scries 5
122 PAXAVG
123. ORIENS AVG

Series 6
124 PAXAVG
125 ORIENS AVG
$126 \cos 1111$
Series 7
127 IMP. $x \cdot \cos \cdot V$
Cologne (3)
Series 1 obv. IMP C POSTVMVS PF AVG
I28 IOVI VICTORI
Milan (1)
iswe 5
oby IMP C POSTVMVS PF AVG
129 SALVSAVG
LAELIAN
Mint II (Cologne?) (3)
obr: IMP CLAELIANVS PF AVG

* $\ddagger 30$ VICTORIA AVG

Marius
Mint I (1)
obr. IMP C MARIVS PF AVG
*I3I SAEC FELICITAS
VICTORINUS
Mint I (32)
Issue 2
obv. IMP C PIAV VICTORINVS PF AVG
132 PAXAVG
133 FIDES MILITVM
issue 30 on IMP C VICTORIRVS PF AVG
134 PAXAVG

Felicilas la
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { DI } & 2505 & 634 & 13.13\end{array}$

Efiner

| Pax 1 | V*! | DI | 2518 | 651 | 1 | 2.73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fìdes 1 | --If. | DI | 2522 | 654 | 2 | 3.01. 2.66 |
| Pax 1 | V:/1. | DI | 2530 | 682 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.31,3.62 .335 .3 .41 \\ & 3.19 .2 .27 .2 .85 . \\ & 2.83,2.79 .2,33 \end{aligned}$ |


| Cal $\mathrm{No}_{0}$ |  |  | Manks | Bust |  | Etmer | Qn: | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 135 | INVICTVS | Sol 7 | * $/ 1 /$ - | D) | 25.34 | 68.3 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.08,3.96,3.60 .3 .32 \\ & 323,2.98 .2 .75 .2 .66 . \\ & 2.16,2.15 .1 .97 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Sh. IMP C VICTORINVS.P.FAVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 136 | PAX AVG | Pax 1 | $V^{*}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ - | DI | 2538 | 682 | 1 | 2.36 |
| 137 | INVICTVS <br> Issue 3c <br> abv: IMP C VICTORINVS PF AVG | Sul 7 | *-1/- | DI | 2539 | 683 | 1 | 1.96 |
| ${ }_{7} 138$ | PAXAVG | Pax 1 | V*\II. | DI | 2543 | 682 | 1 | 3.35 |
| $=134$ | INVICTVS <br> obv: IMP C VICTORINVS.P.F.AVG | Sol 7 | **/" - | DI | 2545 | 683 | 3 | 3,10.3.09.2.43 |
| ${ }^{4} 140$ | PAXAVG | Pax 1 | V*) $/$. | DI | 2547 | 682 | 1 | 30010 |
| 7141 | INVICTVS | 5017 | **) - | DI | 2548 | 683 | 1 | 310 |
|  | Mint II (29) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue th <br> IMPCPI VICTORINVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +142 | AEQVITAS AVG <br> fisues I:2 mule <br> IMP C VICTORINVS PF AVG | Aequitas 1 |  | BI | 2561 | 301 | 1 | 337 |
| 143 | AEQVITAS AVG Issue? | Aequitas 1 |  | B1 | 2566 | - | 1 | 3.48 |
| 144 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 |  | B | 2567 | 732 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 494,405,370.361 \\ & 354,350,3+40,317 \\ & 333.329,323,292 \\ & 257,279,270.2 .65 \\ & 251.2+4.209 .194 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Issur 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | PIETAS AVG veiled | Pietais 4 |  | BI | 2571 | 741 | ? | 4.64.3.77 |
| *146 | PIETAS AVG veiled | Pietas 4 |  | GII | $\cdots$ | 742 | 1 | 3.99 |
| 147 | PIETAS AVG diademed Issuc 4 | Pietas 4 a |  | B1 | 2572 | 741 | 2 | 2.90,2.65 |
| *148 | VICTORIA AVG | Victory 31 |  | B1 | 2575 | 744 | 2 | 4.91 .237 |
|  | TETRICUS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mint I(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Normant | Einer |  |  |
|  | CPIV ESV TETRICVS CAES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *149 | SPES AVCG | Spes I |  | C2 | 1533 | 791 | 2 | 3.11 .309 |
|  | C: CENTRAL EMPIRE, $270-93$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AURELIAN AND SEVERINA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lyon (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bastien | RIC |  |  |
|  | Issue 1 <br> nbv: IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 151 | PACATOR ORBIS obv. SEVERINA AVG | Sal 7 | $\cdots / / C L$ | BI | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4.05.3.34 |
| 151 | CONCORD MHIT | Concordia la | - // BL | E2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3.78 |
| 152 | CONCORD MILT <br> Issue 3 <br> obr: IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG | Concordia la | $\cdots / \mid$ DL | E2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4.10 |
| ${ }^{-153}$ | PACATOR ORBIS obv: SEVERINA AVG | Sol 7 | - il $\cdot \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{L}$. | BI | 7 | 6 | 1 | 4.22 |
| ${ }^{1} 54$ | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia la | $\cdots / 2 \cdot \mathrm{DL}$ | E2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3.31 |
|  | Rome (63) |  |  |  | Estiont | RIC |  |  |
|  | tssur? <br> atn. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 155 | SOLI INVICTO | Sol 3 | . $/ 111$ | BI | 65 | 54 | 1 | 3.78 |

Morks Bust RIC Qiy Weight
issire 3 obv. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
156 PAX AVGVSTI
Issme 4
obv. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
157 VIRT MILITVM
158 VIRT MILITVM
Issue 6
obr. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
*I59 ORIENS AVG
160 ORIENS AVG
161 ORIENS AVG
obv. AVRELIANVS AVG
162 ORIENS AVG
163 ORIENS AVG
164 ORIENS AVG
165 ORIENS AVG
Issue 7
ObV IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
166 ORIENS AVG
167 ORIENS AVG
168 ORIENS AVG
169 ORIENS AVG
170 ORIENS AVG
171 ORIENS AVG
172 ORIENS AVG
173 ORIENS AVG
174 ORIENS AVG
obv. IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
175 ORIENS AVG
lswe $\delta$
ob: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
176 ORIENS AVG
lesuc 9
obr: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
177 ORIENS AVG
178 ORIENS AVG
179 ORIENS aVG

* $\dagger 180$ ORIENS AVG

181 ORIENS AVG
Issuc 10
obr. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG

* 182 ORIENS AVG

183 ORIENS AVG obl. SEVERINA AVG
184 CONCORDIA AVGG demarins obe SEVERINA AVG
*185 VENVS FELIX
Issuc II oins IMP AVRELIANVS AVG

* 186 ORIENS AVG

187 ORIENS AVG
188 ORIENS AVC
189 ORIENS AVG
190 ORIENS AVG obr. SEVERINA AVG
*191 CONCORDIAE MILITVM
demarii
wr. IMp AVRELIANVS AVG
*192 VICTORIA AVG


| Emperar and soldier : | $--/ / T$ | B1 | 142 | 56 | I | 3.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enperor and soldier I | $--/ / O$ | B1 | 155 | 56 | 1 | 3.53 |


Sol 4 .-//T Bi 308 - $24.71,4.00$

| Sol 4 | --1/5 | BI | 409 | - | 2 | 4.72, 3.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 4 | ../l ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | Bl | 444 | - | 1 | 3.41 |
| Sol 4 | - . 11 V | Bi | 506 | - | 1 | 3.63 |
| Sol 4 | - - \|| VI | Bl | 543 | - | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.39 .3 .52,3.58, \\ & 3.55,350,3.33 \end{aligned}$ |


| Sol 9 | $\cdots / / 5$ | B1 | 587 | 62 | 2 | 4.41,3.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol9 | - $1 / 0$ | Bl | 612 | 62 | 1 | 4.47 |
| Sol 9 | - $/ 1 / \mathrm{V}$ | B1 | 622 | 62 | 1 | 3.83 |
| Sol 9 | . . $/$ [ $\mathrm{VI}^{\text {I }}$ | BI | (64) | 62 | 1 | 4.32 |
| Sol 9 | --/\| $/ \mathrm{Vi}$ | BI | 643 | 62 | 3 | 4.02, 4.00, 3.68 |
| Sol 9 | -. // VII | BI | 672 | 62 | 1 | 3.15 |
| Sol 9 | . . /f VIII | BI | 686 | 62 | 2 | 4.58,4.38 |
| Sol 9 | $\cdots / / \mathrm{V} \\| \mathrm{III}$ | BI | 696 | 62 | 2 | 4.39.4.36 |
| Sol 9 | $\cdots \\|$ | B1 | 710 | 62 | I | 3.98 |
| Sol 9 | $\cdots / / \mathrm{VII}$ | B) | 735 | 61 | I | 3.82 |
| Sol 9 | - Q/f $/$ XI | Bl | 785 | 62 | 1 | 3.50 |
| Sol 9 | . .// AXXI | B) | 861 | 62 | 1 | 3.18 |
| Sol 9 | -. $/ 1 \pm x \mathrm{xx}$ | Bl | 913 | 62 | 3 | 4.26, 3.82, 3.78 |
| Sol 9 | - - //EXXI | BI | 933 | 62 | 1 | 3.44 |
| Sol 9 | -. // IXXI | B1 | 966 | 62 | 1 | 4.91 |
| Sol 6 | $\cdots \mid\{X X \mid s$ | Bl | - | 63 | , | 3.45 |


| Sol 60 | - // AXXIR | 81 | 1008 | 63 | 1 | 411 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 6 a | $\cdots / 1 /$ XXIR | B1 | 1049 | 63 |  | 2.69 |
|  | - $115 \times \times 18$ | E2 | 1082 | 3 |  | 4.41 |


| Venus 5 | $-\Gamma / / V S V$ | $E 2 *$ | 1103 | 6 | 1 | 2.95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Sol 12 | $1 \cdot / / X X X R$ | B1 | 1167 | 64 | 2 | 4.51. 2.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 12 | 1. $/ \\| \times X \mathbb{R}$ | B1 | 1204 | 64 | 1 | 4.46 |
| Sol 12 | If - / $/ \mathrm{XXIR}$ | BI | 1293 | 64 | 1 | 3.71 |
| Sol 12 | *. / XXXIR | B) | 1321 | 64 | 1 | 3.31 |
| Sol 1? | 1- $\\|$ XXIR | Bl | 1333 | 64 | 1 | 3.56 |
| Concordia 3 | - A / XXIR | E2 | 1356 | 4 | 2 | 4.27.3.31 |
| Vinory ic | - - 1 B | B1' | 1503 | 73 | 2 | 2.48 .2 .19 |


| Cat. No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | R/C | Qri | Wright |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ,hr: SEVERINA AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 193$ | VENVS FELIX | Venue 5 | . ${ }^{\prime \prime} 1$ | E2* | 1.154 | 6 | 3 | 2,83,2.78,1.71 |
| *194 | VENVS FEliX | Venus 5 | - /iє | E2* | 1510 | 6 | 1 | 2.48 |
|  | Milan (135) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | hare? <br> oh. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | CONCORD LEGI | Concordia 3 | . $/ 1 /[\mathrm{P})$ ? | Di | 1580 | 117 | 1 | 2.74 |
| * +195 | IOVI CONSERVATORI | Emperor and Jupiter io | -./l P | D | 1623 | 1.31 | 1 | 4.53 |
| 197 | IOVI CONSERVATORI | Enperor and Jupiter 1t | --."P | DI | 1630 | 131 | 1 | 4.14 |
| 198 | RESTITVT ORIENTIS | Emperor and female I | - // P | DI | 1637 | 140 | 1 | 3.77 |
| *i99 | CONCORDIA AVG | Emperor and Concordial | ..lis | DI | 1667 | 119 | 1 | 293 |
| 200 | VIRTVS MILITVM | Emperor and soldier ! | . . $/ 1$. | Di | 17.38 | 147 | 1 | 3.38 |
| ${ }_{*} \ddagger 201$ | VICTORIA AVG | Victory 8 | ... 1 - | DI | 1777 | 143 v | 1 | 354 |
| 202 | VICTORIA AVG <br> Issure 3 <br> ahi: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG | Victory 8 | . $/ 1$ T | DI | 1778 | 143 | 1 | 3.12 |
| 203 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortural | . $/ 1 / \mathrm{P}$ | BI | 1833 | 128 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.41 .4,34,3.10 \\ & 2.96 .287 .267 \end{aligned}$ |
| 304 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuna I | …1s | BI | 1902 | 128 | 4 | 4.04, 3.42,3.33.3.28 |
| 205 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortunal | - . ${ }^{\text {I } T}$ | BI | 1975 | 128 | 4 | 4.88.3.91.3.49.2.72 |
| 206 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuma | --"\% | BI | 3061 | 128 | ; | 4.24.3.93.299 |
| 207 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuna 1 | .-11? | BI | 183316 | 128 | 1 | 3.62 |
| * +208 | IOVI CONSERVATORI | Emperor and Jupier to | --.1P | BI | 2169 | 131 | 1 | 3.54 |
| 209 | IOVI CONSERVATORI | Emperor and Jupier 1b | - 11 P | B1 | 2178 | 131 | 3 | 3.90.3.15.3.14 |
| 210 | OVI CONSER | Emperar and Jopiter it | ...1) ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | B1 | 2220 | 129 | 1 | 4.44 |
| 211 | RESTITVT ORIENTIS | Emperor and femake I | " 1 P | Bi | 2272 | 140 | 4 | 3.60.3.60. 3.53.3.17 |
| *+212 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concurdia I | ...15 | B1 | 2426 | 120 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.73 .3 .67,3.05 \\ & 2.83 \end{aligned}$ |
| *213 | pIETAS AVG | Emperor and Pietas I | -.115 | B1 | 2625 | 138 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 482.357 .326 . \\ & 323.3 .05 .2 .43 \end{aligned}$ |
| 214 | VIRTVS MILITVM | Emperor and soldier I | -. $11 T$ | 81 | 2720 | 147 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 413,3.99,3.712) . \\ & 3.23,2.81,2.79 \end{aligned}$ |
| *215 | ROMAE AETERNAE | Emperor and Roma ! | - 110 | B1 | 2941 | 142 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 403,391.380 \\ & 3.1+.2 .95 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | fsuce 4 <br> abv IMP AVRELIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 216 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortura ! | .-./P | BI | 3082 | 128 | ? | 372.328 |
| $\pm 217$ | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuna 1 | .-/1/S | B1 | 3128 | 128 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & +50.3 .94,3.72 \\ & 3.68 .346 .3 .26 .3 .03 \end{aligned}$ |
| 218 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuna 1 | $\ldots / 3 \mathrm{~T}$ | B) | 3192 | 128 | 3 | 3.44, 3.42. 3.10 |
| 219 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortuna 1 | --110 | B1 | 3264 | 128 | 3 | 390.385,3.68 |
| 220 | FORTVNA REDVX | Fortura I | - /\|| $\mid$ ? | BI | 3388 | 128 | 1 | 3.58 |
| 221 | OVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter Ib | .../7P | B1 | 3441 | 129 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 420,410.405 \\ & 3.32,3.20,3,63, \\ & 3.62,3.61,3.58, \\ & 3.52 .330 .325 \\ & 3.19,2.65 \end{aligned}$ |
| 222 | RESTITVT ORBIS | Emperor and female I | $\cdots / 1 \mathrm{P}$ | BI | 3705 | 139 | ; | 3.45,3.38.295 |
| 223 | RESTITVT ORBIS | Emperor and female I |  | B! | $3 \times 15$ | 139 | 1 | 3 (197 |
| 224 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concorda I | $\cdots$ | BI | 3815 | 120 | - | 3.89, 3.48.3.37 <br> $3.25,3.11,301.27 \mathrm{~F}$, <br> 2.68 .2 .29 |
| 225 | PIETAS AVG | Emperor and Pictas I | ...i's | BI | 3956 | 138 | K | $\begin{aligned} & 4,17,3,95,3,68 \\ & 3,55,3,30,3,26 \\ & 3,06,2,79 \end{aligned}$ |
| 226 | VIRT MILITVM | Emperor and soldier ! | .- 11 I | B1 | 4121 | 146 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.54,3,66,3.60 \text {, } \\ & 3.57,3.55,3.54, \\ & 3.47,3,45,3.29 \text {, } \\ & 3.26,3.22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 227 | ROMAE AETER | Empentrand Roma 1 | . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a | BI | 4391 | 142 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.69 .3 .61,350 \\ & 3.16,3.12 \end{aligned}$ |

Issue 5
obr: AVRELIANVS AVG
228 ORIENS AVG
229 ORIENS AVG
Issum 6
obe IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
230 ORIENS AVG

* 231 ORIENS AVG

Ticinunu (55)
Issue 1
obv: IMP C AVREL LANVS AVG
232 ORIENS AVG
Issme 2
obv. IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
233 ORIEN5 AVG
234 ORIEN5 AVG
*235 SOLI JNVICTO
Issue 3
obv IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
236 ORIENS AVG
237 ORIENS AVG
238 SOLI INVICTO
Issue $A$
obv IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
239 PROVIDEN DEOR
240 PROVIDEN DEOR

241 PROVIDEN DEOR
242 PROVIOEN DEOR
OV: SEVERINA AVG
*243 PROVIDEN DEOR
244 PROVIDEN DEOR

Issues 5
obv SEVERINA AVG
\% 245 CONCORDIAE MILITVM
246 CONCORDIAE MILLTVM
247 CONCORDIAE MILITVM

* $\uparrow 248$ CONCORDIAE MILITVM

249 CONCORDIAE MILITVM

## Siscia (65)

ob: IMP CAES L DOM AVRELIANVS AVG

* 250 CONCORD[IA MILI]

| Concordia 7 | - $/ 110$ | D1 | - | 196 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

issur 2
obr: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
351 GENJV ILIVR
252 GENIVSILIVR
253 GENIVS ILIVR
Issuc 3
(obs. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
254 FORTVNA REDVX
255 FORTVNA REDVX
256 CONCORDIA AVG
Issute 4
obr. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
257 IOVI CONSERVATORI
Emperor and lupuer ih ..." P DI $6288 \quad 227 \quad 1320$
258 IOVI CONSERVATORI

Marks BHisi RIC Qg' Weght

| Sol 4 | $\cdots / / P$ | BI | 4581 | 135 | 3 | 3.99, 3.93, 3.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 4 | --//T | BI | 4638 | 135 | 4 | 4.01, 3.94, 3.93.3.2. |
| Sol 9 | $\cdots / 1 P M$ | BI | 4743 | 150 | 1 | 3.74 |
| Sol 9 | --/ITM | BI | 4773 | 150 | 3 | 4.20,4.15,3.31 |

Sol9 *.//axXI B) 4835 - 13.39

| Sol9 9 | $*-/ /$ SXXT | B1 | 4951 | 151 | 1 | 3.69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sol9 | ${ }^{2}-/ /$ TXXT | B1 | 5005 | 151 | 1 | 4.17 |
| Sol9 | $\approx / / /$ TXXT | B1 | 5249 | 154 | 3 | $4.27,4.25,3.93$ |


| Sol9 | - $/ /$ SXXT | B1 | 5350 | 151 | 2 | 3.95 .3 .35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sol9 | $--/ /$ QXXT | B1 | 5375 | 151 | 2 | 4.03 .3 .26 |
| Sol9 | -.// OXXT | B1 | 5424 | 154 | 14.12 |  |


| Fides and Sol I | - - // PXXT | B) | 5438 | 152 | 7 | 4.58,4.37,4.28. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $3.93,3.76,3.74,3.49$

Fides and Sol I $\quad$. // SXXT B1 5487 I52 II 4.42.4.23,3.96(2), 3.93,3.89.3.87, $3.68,3.62 .3 .54,3.28$

| Fides and Sol I | $--/ /$ TXXT | BI | 5548 | 152 | 2 | 3.58 .3 .54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fides and Sol I | $--/ /$ OXXT | BI | 5610 | 152 | 4 | $4.47 .4 .55,4.09,3.95$ |


| Fides and Sol I | ../IVXXT | E2 | 5675 | 9 | 4 | 5.59 .4 .51 .4 .29 .3 .31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Fides and Sol! $\quad . / /$ VIXXT E2 $5724 \quad 9 \quad 8 \quad 4.42 .4 .31 .398$,
3.96, 3.94, 3.74, 3.58.3.54

| Concordia 3 | $\cdots / /$ XXI | E2 | 5808 | - | 1 | 3.68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Concordia 3 | $\cdots / /$ SXXT | E2 | 5832 | 8 | 2 | 3.82 .3 .27 |
| Concordia 3 | $--/ /$ VIXXT | E2 | 5913 | 8 | 2 | 3.89 .330 |
| Concordia 3 | $\cdots / /$ IVXXT | E2 | 5918 | 8 | 2 | 4.07 .3 .73 |
| Concordia 3 | $\cdots / /[?]$ YXT | E2 | 5920 | 8 | 1 | 3.63 |


| Cat. No |  |  | Marks | Bus |  | RIC | Qty | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 259 | IOVI CONSERVATOR! | Emperor and Jupiter it | $\ldots \%^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | Dt | 6393 | 227 | I | 3.102 |
| 260 | VIRTVS AVG | Emperor and soldict 1 | $\cdots{ }^{\prime \prime} \times \mathrm{p}$ | D1 | 6409 | 2414 | 1 | 3.49 |
| 261 | VIRTVS MILITVM | Emperor and Mars 2 | --1"* | DI | 6416 | 242 | 1 | 3.96 |
| 262 | VIRTVS MIITVM | Emperor and Mars ? | ...1/*s | DI | - | 242 | 1 | 5.06 |
| 263 | VIRTVS MILITVM Issue 5 ob. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG | Emperor and Mars 2 | - "! ${ }^{*}$ | DI | 6428 ? | 242 | 1 | 3.28 |
| 264 | IOVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter Ib | ...7** | BI | 6448 | 225 | 4 | 4,84.3.63.3.60, 3,17 |
| * +265 | IOVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter lb | .-./i"s | B1 | 6584 | 225 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,20,4,13,4.05 . \\ & 3.86,3.74,3.53 \end{aligned}$ |
| +266 | IOVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter It | ...j* ${ }^{*}$ T | B1 | 6692 | 225 | 1 | 3.65 |
| $+267$ | LOVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter ib | $\cdots i *^{*} \mathrm{Q}$ | BI | 6826 | 225 | 3 | 3.40.3.34, 2.90 |
| * +268 | 1OVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiler It | .. $/ 1{ }^{+0}$ | BI | - | 225 | 1 | 3.91 |
| 269 | VICTORIA AVG <br> Issue 6 <br> ohv. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG | Victory 6 | - "IT $\%$. | BI | 6998 | 238 | 1 | 381 |
| 270 | IOVI CONSER | Emperor and lupiter it | $\ldots i \mid ?]^{*}$ | B1 | 7050 | 225 | 1 | 3.85 |
| 271 | IOVI CONSER | Emperor and Jupiter Its | -.!!" S | B1 | 7065 | 225 | 1 | 3.74 |
| 272 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia) | . . $/ 1 \mathrm{P} *$ | BI | 7201 | 216 | 1 | 3.64 |
| 273 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia 1 | $\cdots$ | B1 | 7293 | 216 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.49,3.40 . \\ & 2.32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 274 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia 1 | . . $/ 1 /{ }^{\text {/ }}$ T | B1 | 7440 | 216 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.99 .3 .84,3.80 \\ & 3.58,3,32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 275 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia 1 | $\cdots /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Q}$ | BI | 7526 | 216 | 2 | 4.22.3.70 |
|  | \|ssure 7 <br> obv. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 276 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia 1 | $\cdots{ }^{-11}$ | B1 | 7679 | 24 | 1 | 3.00 |
| 277 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia] | $\cdots / 1 T^{3}$ | Bl | - | 244 | 1 | 2.78 |
| 278 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | --1/*5 | BI | 7764 | 24 | 1 | 3.08 |
| 279 | ORIENS AVG | Sol 6 | 2. $/ 2 \mathrm{VI}$ | BI | - | 251 | 1 | 3.56 |
| 280 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | $\cdots{ }^{-} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{I}^{*}$ | BI | 8097 | 244 | 1 | 3.37 |
| 281 | ORIENS AVG | Sol 9 | *-ilp | BI | 8112 | 254 | 1 | 3.89 |
| 282 | ORIENS AVG | Sol 9 | *. $/ 1 \mathrm{~V}$ | BI | 8123 | 254 | 1 | 3.56 |
| 283 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOL I INVICTO } \\ & \text { Issue } 8 \\ & \text { nor:IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG } \end{aligned}$ | Sol 4 | *-110 | BI | 8026 | 257 | 1 | 284 |
| 284 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | 5/i XXIS | BI | 8176 | 244 | 1 | 3.59 |
| 285 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | S/IXXIT | BI | 8200 | 244 | 1 | 4.01 |
| 286 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | S// XXIQ | B1 | 8219 | 244 | 1 | 3.65 |
| 287 | ORIENS AVG <br> prsue 9 <br> obv, IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG | Sol 10 | 5-1/ XXIT | BI | 8283 | 255 | 1 | 3.70 |
| * 288 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | - /1/ XX. 1 | BI | 8303 | 244v | 1 | 3.16 |
| 289 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | - /1 XXIP | B1 | 8304 | 244 | 1 | 3.74 |
| 290 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordial | ../l XXIS | B1 | 8375 | 244 | 1 | 410 |
| 291 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperorand Concordia I | -. $/ 1$ XX $/ 15$ | B1 | 8441 | 244 | 1 | 380 |
| 292 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Emperor and Concordia I | -. // XXIV | B1 | 8595 | 244 | 1 | 3.93 |
| 29.3 | CONCORDIA MILITVM | Empetor and Concordia I | -- ${ }^{\text {V VIXX.1 }}$ | B1 | - | 244 | 1 | 3.75 |

Car No
294 ORIENS AVG
295 ORIENS AVG
'Balkan' mint (10)
Issue I
obv. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
*296 IOVI CONSERVATORI
$\dagger 297$ CONCORDIA MILITVM
Asme 2
oby: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
298 RESTITVT.ORBIS
299 VIRTVS NILTVM sic
*300 IOVI CONSER
Serdita (0)
issue 4 obr: IMP AVRELIANVS PF AVG
*301 IOVI CONSER
abr. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
302 IOVI CONSER
Issue 6 odn: IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
303 ORIENS AVG
obl. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
304 ORIENS AVG
Issue 8
ob: AVRELIANVS AVG
*30S RESTITVT ORBIS
Cyzicus (12)
Issue 5 obs: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
*306 CONCORD MILLT
307 RESTITVT ORIENTIS
Issuc 6
ObS: IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
308 IOVI CONSER
309 RESTITVT ORIENTIS issue 8 oby. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
310 RESTITVTOR ORBIS obv. IMP C AVRELIANVS AVG
311 ORIENS AVG
312 ORIENS AVG
Issine 10
obs. IMP AVRELIANVS AVG
313 RESTITVTOR EXERCITI
*:314 RESTITVTOR ORBIS
TACITUS
Lyon (486)
issue 1
obv: IMP CM CL TACITVS PF AVG

* $\ddagger 315$ AEQVITAS AVG

316 PAX PVBLICA
317 PROVID DEOR
3 IS VIRTVSAVG
obs. IMP C M CL TACITVS AVG

|  | Marks | Bust |  | R/C | 2 | Weigh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 10 | - - / XXIV | BI | 8738 | 255 | 2 | 4.49, 4.01 |
| Sol 10 | . - / $X$ X IV $^{\text {V }}$ | BI | 8811 | 255 |  | 3.48 |


| Emperor and Jupiter lb | // dolphin | B! | 8986 | 395 | I | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperor and | - - / [?] | B! | - | 392v? | 1 | . 64 |


| Emperor and female I | - // A | Bl | 9004 | 399 | 5 | 3,70, 3.48.3.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,45,2.67 |
| Emperor and soldier I | .// | BI | 9217 | 408 | 1 | 3.71 |
| Emperor and Jupiter I | - / $/$ B | B1 | 9488 | 394 | 2 | 4.35,3.46 |


| Emperor and Jupiter lb .-/t 9 |  | B) | 9827 | 262 v | 1 | 324 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperor and Jupicer lb | -./1s | B1 | 9834 | 260 | 2 | 3.60,3.13 |
| Soll 9 | --//8 | Bl | 8899 | 278 | 1 | 3.81 |
| Sol 9 | --//s | B1 | 9921 | 279 | 1 | 3.85 |
| Emperor and female I | * $/ 1 \mathrm{~K}$ | Bl | 9979 | 290\% | 1 | 4.12 |


| Emperor and Jupiter lb- $/ 1 * \mathrm{C}^{*}$ | B1 | 10168 | 342 | 1 | 4.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperor and Orient? $1 .-I^{*} C^{*}$ | BI | 10208 | 351 | 1 | 3.55 |
| Emperor and Jupiter Ib ..//C*P | BI | 10217 | 346 | 2 | 4.01.3.85 |
| Emperor and Orient(?) 1 --//C*P | BI | 10223 | 351 | 1 | 4.04 |
| Emperorand cemale ! --/I- | BI | 10464 | 348 v | 3 | 4.87, 400, 3,44 |
| Sol 9 --HBC | B) | 10601 | 363 | 1 | 3.00 |
| Sol 9 ..//ГС | BI | 1060S | 363 | 1 | 3.65 |
| Emperor and Mars ] B//XXI | BI | 10733 | 366 | 1 | 3.68 |
| Emperor and female $1 B / / X X I$ | D2 | - | 360 | 1 | 4.72 |

Cut. No
"319 AEOVITAS AVG
320 AEQVITAS AVG
321 VIRTVSAVG
nobr. IMP C CL TACITVS AVG
322 AEQVITASAVG

323 PAX PVBLICA

324 PROVID DEOR

325 VIRTVS AVG
ssue 3
no: $\operatorname{IMPCMCL}$ TACITVS AVG
326 SPES PVBEICA
327 PAXAVG obr IMPCL-TACITVS.AVG
*+328 SPES PVBLICA
shtu: IMP CI TACITVS AVG
329 FIDES MILITVM
*330 RESTITVTOR ORBIS
331 SPES PVBLICA

|  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qt) | Weishtr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquitas I |  | GII. | 18 | 1,3 | 1 | 3.75 |
| Aequitas I |  | DI | 24 | - | 4 | 4,51, +,44, 3.91,3.86 |
| Virrus. 1 |  | DI | 47 | 68 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45,4 \cdot 45,+25, \\ & 4.19,4.08 .3 .62 \end{aligned}$ |
| Acquilas I |  | DI | 26 | 14 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & +81,4,77,457 \\ & +1.5,4.11,397, i .59 . \\ & 3,58,3.64,3.36 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pax 1 |  | D1 | 34 | 45 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.57,4,40.4 .19 \\ & 4.12 .4 .04,3.49 \\ & 3.8+121.3 .75 .370 \\ & 3.66 .3 .6 .5 .357 \\ & 3.51 .552 .3 .31 .286 \end{aligned}$ |
| Providentia? |  | DI | 41 | 49 | 19 |  |
| Virtus 1 |  | DI | 48 | 69 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.72 .+59.4 .03 \\ & 401.3 .9+3.300 \\ & 3.70 .3 .5=.309 \\ & 3.02 .283 .2 .66 \end{aligned}$ |


| Spes 1 | i CA | DI | 62 | - | 1 | 330 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pax 4 | $\because D A$ | DI | 65 | - | 1 | 403 |
| Spes 1 | $\because C A$ | D1 | Sup. 630 | 61 | 2 | 4,39,358 |
| Fides 1 | \%BA | D1 | 57 | 27 | 17 |  |
| Emperor and female I | 1 'BA | D] | 60 | 55 | 2 | 3.70. 363 |
| Spes 1 | $\because C A$ | DI | 64 | 61 | 35 |  |

Issue 4 ohe IMP CMCL TACITVS PF AVG

* +332 FIDES MILITVM
whi IMP CM CL TACITVS AVG

333 TEMPORVM FELICITAS
*+334 SPES PVBIKCA ab: IMP CL TACITVS AVG
$\div 335$ SPES PVBLICA
Issue 5
abs IMP CMCL TACITVS AVG
3,36 TEMPORVM FELICITAS
337 TEMPORVM FELICITAS

| Fides 1 | $2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{~A}$ | D. | 70 | 25 | 1 | 3.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Felicitas 1 | \% $1 . \cdot A \cdot A \cdot$ | D) | 68 | 64 | 1 | 356 |
| Spes 1 | U.C.A. | D) | 75 | - | 2 | 4.41.3.42 |
| Spes 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \\|-C \cdot A \\ & (A-\Delta \mid A \\|= \end{aligned}$ | D1 | 76 | - | 1 | 3.33 |
| Felicitas I | A | DI | 77 | 64 | 3 | 343,674,573 |
| Felicitas I | $\Delta$ | DI | 84 | (64) | 1 | 433 |

## Caid No

oiv. MMP CL TACJTVS AVG
338 TEMPORVM FELCITAS
339 SALVS AVG

340 MARS VICTOR

341 MARS VICTOR
342 PAX AVG
343 TEMPORVM FELICITAS

Issue 6
obv: IMP C M Cl TACITVS BF AVG

## $\dagger 344$ TEMPORVM FELICITAS

345 TEMPORVM FELICITAS
obr: IMP CL TACITVS AVG
346 FLIICITAS SAECVLI
Issuc 7
obr. IMP C M CL TACITYS PF AVG
347
7 IEMPORVM FELICITAS

348 MARS VICTOR
349 PAX AETERNA

350 SPES PVBLICA
351 felicitas saecyil
*352 ELICITAS SAECIL,
353 TEMPORVM FELICITAS

Maks

Felicias I

## Salus 2

B
B

Brst
D) 78

RJC Qn' Weight

65

D1 80
D1 7930
13.80

65 5.34.5.21.5.07. 4.98.4.87.4.86, 4.67, 4.65, 4.62. 4.59, 4.54, 4.50(2), 4.48, 4.44.4.78. 4.37.4.36.4.32. $4.31,4.28,4.27(2)$, $4.25,4.24,4.21$, 4.17, 4.17, 4.16. 4.16(2).4.15(2). $4.13,4.06(2), 4.01$. 3.93,3.87(2), 3.86. 3.83, 3.79, 3.69. 3.58, 3.64, 3.64, 3.62(2), 3.61. .3.59. 3.57,3.54.3.5/(2). 3.49.3.39.3.36, 3.34(2), 3.09, 3.07. 3.01, 2.76, 2.70

26 5.II,4.91.4.90.4.57. 4.57,4.52.4.50.4.23, 4.08, 4.07. 4.06, 3.97. $3.88,3.87,3.86(2)$, 3.85, 3.71, 3.68. 3.66. 3.63,3.62, 3.58. 3.5112), 3.4

4 4.77.4.17.4.12.4.04
2 3.71,3.37 $40 \quad 4.85,4.65,455,4.41$. 4.40,4.39(2), 4.33, 4.25(2), 4.18, 4. 1 l . 4.10.4,03,3.99.3.95. 3.94(2), 3.87, 3.82, 3.82(2), 3.76.3.74, 3.73.3.70.3.67.3.66. 3.65(2), 3.04, 3.61. 3.59, 3.54,351.3.45, 3.23,3.20.3.18(2)
(A-1) $-/ 1 /$ -

| Felicitas 1 | A | D] | 86 | - |  | 4.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Felicitas 1 | S | D1 | 91 | - | 1 | 4.38 |
| Folicitas 6 | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & (A-1) \div \\| . \end{aligned}$ | D1 | 90 | - | 2 | 4.42,3.64 |
| Felicias 1 | A | DI | 92 | 63 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.33,4,09,3.90 \\ & 3,82,3.78,3.71 \\ & 3,42,3.06 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mars 2b | B | DI | 95 | 29 | 4 | 4.02.3.76.3.522) |
| Pax if | B | DI | 97 | 33 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.73 .3 .68 .3 .66 \\ & 3.55,3.40 \end{aligned}$ |
| Spes 1 | B | D1 | 99 | 60 | 2 | 4.45.4.19 |
| Felicitas 6 | c | D1 | 101 | 21 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.23 .4 .03,4.01 \\ & 3.95 .3 .87 .3 .86 \\ & 3.78 .3 .77 .3 .42 \end{aligned}$ |
| Felicias 6 | $c$ | Dl | 101\% | 214 | 1 | 3.47 |
| Felicitas I | 3 | D1 | 108 | 63 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 432,4.32,4.09,3.95 \\ & 3.902), 3,8,3.78 \\ & 3.75,355.353 \\ & 3.36 .3 .36,3.14 \end{aligned}$ |


| Cam No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | $R / C$ | Qro | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 354 | TEMPOR VM FELICITAS obi IMP CL TACITVS AVG | Felcilas I | [1] | DI | 92108 | 63 | 1 | 3.78 |
| 355 | TEMPOR VM FELICITAS | Felucitas 1 | A | D] | 93 | 65 | 35 |  |
| 356 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | B | D) | 96 | 30 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 451.450 .4 .4 . \\ & 4.35 .4 .29,4.20 .4 .11 \\ & 409.404 .402 \\ & 4.01 .393 .3 .76 . \\ & 3.69 .3 .63 .354 .311 \end{aligned}$ |
| +357 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | B | D1 | 100 | 30 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 405,394,391 \\ & 387.352 .328 \end{aligned}$ |
| -358 | MARS VCITOR | Mars 2b | B | DI | - | - | 1 | 3.84 |
| 359 | PAX AETERNA | Pax lo | B | DI | 98 | 34 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.40 .4 .18,4.12 \\ & 3.71 .366 .3 .39 \end{aligned}$ |
| 360 | Fllicitas saicluli | Felicitas f | C | DI | 102 | 2.4 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & +53 .+29 .+03 \\ & 3.81 .3: 88 \end{aligned}$ |
| 361 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | C | DI | 103 | 30 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.79 .478 .427 . \\ & 416,4,112,400, \\ & 396.3 .87,387 \\ & 3,81,380,362 \\ & 358,334,313 \end{aligned}$ |
| 362 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | C | D1 | 106 | 57 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.62,4+1,4, i 5 \\ & +24.385,383 \\ & 3.76,3.72,3.68 \end{aligned}$ |
| 363 | SALVS PVBLICA | Salus? | C | [1] | 107 | 58 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.69+19+08 . \\ & 385,119 \end{aligned}$ |
| -364 | TEMPORVM FtICITAS | Felicitas I | 1 | DI | 109 | 65 | 29 |  |
|  | Issue? <br> obv: IMP © TACITVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 365 | TEMPORVM FEICITAS <br> issue 8 <br> (h) IMP CM CL TACITVS PF AVG | Felictas 1 | \|!:il|| | D1 | $!$ | " | 1 | 3.64 |
| 366 | TEMPORVM FELICITAS | Felicitas I | I | D1 | 110 | 63 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 402,3.82,3.52 \text {. } \\ & 350.3,39 \end{aligned}$ |
| 367 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | II | D1 | III | 29 | 3 | 4.14.3.87.3.80 |
| = 368 | PAX AETERNA | Pax Ib | III | D1 | 115 | 33 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.81+30.4 .20 . \\ & 401.3 .80 \end{aligned}$ |
| 369 | SPES PVBLICA | Spes 1 | III | D1 | 118 | 61 | 1 | 5, <2 |
| 370 | PAX AVGVSTI walking | Pax 4 | 111 | D1 | 116 | 43 | 3 | 3.49.346.3.41 |
| 371 | pax avgysti <br> lasue 9 <br> obr. IMP CMCL TACITVS PF AVG | Pax I | IIII | D1 | 120 | - | 2 | 3.92.3.71 |
| **372 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax I | - -1/ IIII | DI | 123 | - | 1 | 339 |
|  | Rome (90) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Isstu? <br> whe IMP CMCL TACITVS AVG |  | /1/XXIIA) |  | Estiot | RIC |  |  |
| 373 | PROVIDENTIA AVG | Providenta 2 | A | D | 7 | 92 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 413.3 \times 8.364 \\ & 354.3 .39 .33 .328 \end{aligned}$ |


| Cal.No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qly | Weigh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 374 | VICTORIA AVG | Victory 1 | A | D] | 46 | 97 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.12 .3 .98 .361, \\ & 3.48 .3 .21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 375 | LAETITIA FVND | Laecilia 1 | B | D1 | 59 | 89 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 451,4.24,4.16 \\ & 3.95,3.89,3.78 \\ & 3.78,3.48,3.47,3.41 \end{aligned}$ |
| 376 | AEOVITAS AVG | Aequilas 1 | [ | D1 | 89 | 82 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.85, 4.79.4.70, } \\ & \text { 4.14, 3.98, 3.79, } \\ & 3.57,3.02,2.79 \end{aligned}$ |
| *377 | SALVS AVG | Salus 1 | $\Delta$ | DI | 128 | - | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.77.4.42.4.14, } \\ & 3.96,3.67 .3 .45 \end{aligned}$ |
| 378 | SPES PVBLICA | Spes 1 | E | D1 | 154 | 94 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.59.4.33, 3.88. } \\ & 3.79 .3 .35,3.28 \end{aligned}$ |
| 370 | SPES PVBLICA | Spes 1 | - E// XXI | DI | 185 | 94 | 1 | 2.79 |
| 380 | FIDES MILITVM | Fides ! | 5 | D1 | 190 | 87 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.76.4.25.3.98. } \\ & \text { 3.97.3.89.3.60. } \\ & 3.54 .3 .25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 381 | CLEMENTIA TEMP | Mars lb | 2 | DI | 225 | 83 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.03 .3 .87,3.82 \\ & 3.70,3.55,2.74 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\times 381$ A | QEMENTIA TEMP <br> issue 3 <br> obv. IMP C M CL TACITVS AVG | Mars Ib | $\begin{aligned} & -Z \\| X X I \\ & \\| X X \mid(A) \end{aligned}$ | DI | 251 | \$3 | 1 | 3.47 |
| 382 | PROVIDENTIA AVG | Providentia 2 | A | A3 | 334 | 92 | 4 | 4.61,4.15.3.71.3.53 |
| 383 | PROVIDENTIA AVG | Providentia 2 | A | DI | 260 | 92 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.17 .4 .05,4.00 \\ & 383.3 .57,3.54 \end{aligned}$ |
| 384 | LAETITIA FVND | Laetitial | B | DI | 360 | 89 | 4 | 4.72, 3.93, 3.77, 3.66 |
| 385 | AEQVITAS AVG | A Acquilas I | $\Gamma$ | DI | 503 | 82 | 3 | 4.67, 3.61, 3.58 |
| 386 | agovitas avg | Aequilas I | - Г / $/ \mathrm{XXI}$ | DI | 549 | 82 | 1 | 4.06 |
| *387 | salvs avg | Salus 1 | 1 | A3 | 817 | (93) | 1 | 357 |
| 388 | salvs avg | Salus 1 | $\Delta$ | DI | 619 | (93) | 2 | 4.07.3.95 |
| 389 | VBERTAS AVG | Uberas ! | E | DI | 869 | 95 | 1 | 3.61 |
| 390 | FIDES MRITVM | Fides 1 | $\varsigma$ | A3 | 1005 | 87 | 1 | 3.37 |
| 391 | FIDES M1IITVM | Fides 1 | s | B1 | 992 | 87v | 1 | 3.61 |
| 392 | FIDES MILITVM | Fides I | $s$ | DI | 939 | 87 | 4 | 5.44. 4.36, 4.29, 4.21 |
| 393 | CIEMENTIA TEMP | Clemenlia | 2 | A3 | 1080 | 84 | 1 | 3.91 |
| 394 | CLEMENTIA TEMP | Clementia 1 | 2 | D1 | 10.3 | 84 | 2 | 4.10, 3.84 |

Ticinum (66)
Issue I
obv: IMP C M CL TACITVS AVG
395 VICTORIA AVG
396 VICTORIA GOTTH
397 MARTI PACIF
$* 398$ SALVS AVG
399 SALVS PVBLI
400 PROVIDE AVG

401 ROMAE AETER
402 GELICIT TEMP
403 SECVRIT PERP

## Issue 2

obr: IMP C M CL TACITVS AVG
404 VICTORIA GOTTHI
$\times 405$ VICTORIA GOTTHI
406 PAX AVGVSTI

| 407 | MARTI PACIF |
| ---: | :--- |
| *408 MARTI PACIF |  |
| 409 | MARTI PACIF |
| $* 410$ | SALVS PVBEI |


| Cat No |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 111 | SALVS PVBLI |
| 412 | PROVIDE AVC |
| 413 | PROVIDE AVC |
| 414 | FELICIT TEMP |
| ${ }^{+}+415$ | FELLCIT TEMP |
| $+16$ | FELICIT TEMP |
| 417 | SECVRIT PERP |
| 418 | SECVRIT PERP |

Siscia (4)
ahn: IMP C M CLA TACITVS AVG
itly AEOVITAS AVG

- +420 PROVIDENTIA DEORVM
*+421 PAXAVG
obv: IMP CMCl TACITVSP AVG
* 422 PAX AVGVSTI

Serdica (3)
dov. IMP C M C TACITVS AVG
issue //2

* +423 CONSERVATOR MILITVM
issue 3
424 PROVIDEN DEOR
obv. IMP C M CI TACITVS P AVG
Issue 3
+425 PROVIDEN DEOR


## FLORIAN

Lyon 131)
lssuel
abv. IMP CM AN RLORIANVS PF AVG
426 TEMPORVM FELICITAS
*+427 VIRTVS AVGVSTI
428 PACATOR ORBIS
429 AETERNTAS AVG
isme 2
wh. IMP CM AN FLORIANVS PF AVG
430 TEMPORVM FELICITAS
43) VIRTVS AVGVSTI

432 PACATOR ORBIS
433 AETERNITAS AVG
trate 3
abr. IMP CM AN FLORIANVS AVG
434 TEMPORVM FEIICITAS
435 VIRTVS AVGVSTI
436 PROVIDENTIA AVG
*4TV VIRTVS AVGVST

|  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qut | Werght |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salus? | T | DI | 1642 | 160 | ? | 3.74121 |
| Providenta 1 | 0 | BI | 1794 | 152 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 480.415 .4 .18 \\ & 387.3 .65 \end{aligned}$ |
| Providentia 1 | Q | DI | 1708 | 152 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 461,4.55 .4,11,3.99 \\ & 387.360 \end{aligned}$ |
| Felicitas 5 | V | BI | 1880 | 1411 | 3 | 423.395.330 |
| Felicitas 5 | V | B1 | 1923 | 140 | $!$ | 4.43 |
| Felicitas 5 | V | D1 | 1854 | 140 | 4 | 4.52,402, ;80,292 |
| Sccurtas 2a | V1 | BI | 1950 | 163 | 3 | 461.427.354 |
| Securitas 2a | VI | DI | 1928 | 16.3 | 1 | 342 |


| Acquitas 1 | - P/i | DI | 2012 | 181 | I | 3.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providemat 2 | $\cdots$ | DI | 2101 | - |  | 388 |
| Pild 1 | $\ldots$ | D 1 | 2304 | - |  | 419 |
| Pax 1 | Q $/ 1$. | D1 | 2228 | - | I | 409 |


| Emperorand Mars 1 | S.! KA | Cl | - | - |  | 3.99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fides and Soll 1 | - /\%KAS | A3 | 2403 | - | 1 | 339 |
| Fides and Sol I | $\cdots$. ${ }_{\text {K }}$ KAd | DI | 2404 | - |  | 4 |

## Rome (5)

|  |  |  |  |  | Estiot | RIC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ob) IMP C FLORIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43x | PROVIDENTIA AVG | Prowidentia 2 | - /f XXIA | DI | 2439 | 37 | 1 | 3.81 |
| 439 | LAETITIA FVND | Lactula 1 | - // XXIB | D2 | 2475 | 34 | I | 3.71 |
| -40 | Salvs avg | Salus 1 | .. 11 XXIJ | D1 | 2518 | 40 | I | 395 |
| 441 | FIDES MILIT | Fides 2 a | $\cdots$ - ${ }^{\text {I }}$ XXIE | DI | 2554 | 30 | 1 | 3.68 |
| 42 | FIDES MIIT | Fides 211 | $\cdots$ - $/ 7$ XXIE | D2 | 2563 | 30 | I | 2.94 |

Siscia (4)
obv IMP C M AN FLORIANVS P AVG

| T443 | Fllcit |
| :---: | :---: |
| * $\dagger 444$ | PROV |
| 广 445 | FELI |
|  | PROVIDE AVG |

## PROBUS

## Lyon (856)

Issue 1
odv. IMP CMAVR PROBVS AVG
*447 TEMPORVM FLICITAS

* 448 VIRTVS AVGVSTI
*449 PROVIDENTIA AVG
450 VIRTVS AVGVSTI
issue 2
obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG
*45! ORIENS AVG
*452 SECVRITAS ORBIS
453 MARS VICTOR

454 MARTI PACIFERO
455 FIDES MILITVM

456 ORIENS AVG
*457 PROVIDENTIA AVG
438 LAETITIA AVGVSTI
lisue 3
obr. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG
*459 TEMPORVM FELICITAS

Marks Bust RTC Qiy Weight

| Felicilas 6 | $-/ / / \mathrm{P}$ | C2 | $27 I \mathrm{I}$ | - | I 3.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fides and Sol I | $--/ / \mathrm{T}$ | B1 | - | - | 13.92 |
| Fellcitas6 | $--/ / \mathrm{V}$ | D1 | 2843 | 61 | 13.90 |
| Providentia I | $--/ / \mathrm{V} \mid$ | D1 | 2885 | 82 | 13.74 |


| Felicitas de | --/\| | B1 | 151 | 52 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.67 .4 .33,4.28 \\ & 4.24 .3 .74,3.72,3.38 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mars 2b | -- //II | B1 | 152 | 58 | 6 | 4.29.4.18.4.09, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.97.3.86. 3.84 |
| Providentia 2 b | - - \|| III | B1 | 153 | 47 | 2 | 4.07.4.00 |
| Emperor 7 | . \|| ||III | B1 | 155 | 56 | 4 | 3.97, 3.63, 3.47, 3.42 |

Securilas 2 $\quad . / / / 1 \quad$ Bi $165 \quad 4$

| Mars 2b | .$- / / I l$ | Bl | 106 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

12 5.72.5.57,5.07. 4.31.4.30.4.12. 3.95,3.83. 3.50 . 359,3.56,2.93
4.22.4.12.3.95, $3.86,3.75$
20 4.71.4.66.4.55, 4.49, 4,43.4.24. 4.21.4.19.4.15, 4.13.4.03.4.01, 3.92, 3.89, 3.82, 377,3.75, 358. 3.49.3.01

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Fides } 1 & -\boldsymbol{l} 1 \mathrm{lil} & \mathrm{BI} & 168 & 28 & 19 & 504,4.70 .4 .68 .\end{array}$ 451.4.39.4.37. $436,4.10 .390$. $389,3.86 .385$, 384, 3.79, 3.77 . 3.76,3.54.3.24,3.17

| Sol 12 | - - // $/$ II | B] | 169 | 45 | 4 | 4.39.4.31.3.99, 3.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providenitia la | -- \|] ||i] | BI | 171 | 46 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 432,4.2 \mathrm{~S}, 4.21, \\ & 3.57 .3 .70 \end{aligned}$ |
| Laetitia | . . / $/$ III | BI | 172 | 31 | 22 | 454.4.45, 434, 4.20 <br> 4.15.414.406.4.02 <br> 4.01.4.00.3.99.3.97 <br> 3.93.3.85.3.86.3.76 <br> 3.75(2). 3.65, 3.63. <br> 3.62.353 |

Felicitas Ic
-.//I
BI 176
52
16 562.5.05.4.45. 4.35.4.11.4.11.4019. 404.3 .95 .3 .93. 386.3.81.367. 3.58.3.52.3.52

460 MARS VICTOR

Mars 2b
.- // II
8) 179 37

24 5.56.4.70.4.47.4.55. $+29.427 .4 .18 .4 .17$ 4.09.4.05.4.04.3.97. 3.856).3.83.3.82. 360.3.77.373.36 3.58.354.3.52.3. 19

THE ROGIET HOARD

bsuce 4 oh IMP C PROBVS.PF.AVG

| $* * 464$ | ORIENS AVG |
| ---: | :--- |
| 465 | TEMPORVM FELICITAS |


*466 TEMPOR FELICI
Felictas ic $\quad \cdots / 1 /$ B1 188 104
44 554, 4,74, 4.65. $454,458,+51$. $+14121 .+38.4 .26$. +2112 r. 4.20 .408 . 4.03,401,3.93.
 3.80.3.78, 3.73. $3.71,3.67,3.66$, 3.62.357(2). 352. 3.4737, 3.4. 344. 327,325.334. 3.30, 3.27,.3.23, 3.10,2.95

467 MARS VICTOR

Mars 2b
$\cdots\|\|$
B) 190

38
468 MARS VICTOR
*469 FIDES MIITVM
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Mars 2b } & \cdots i i[1] & \mathrm{BI} & 190 / 4 & 38 \\ \text { Fidel } & \cdots i l l & \mathrm{BI} & 192 & 29\end{array}$
Fides $1 \quad$.- ii ill $\quad$ BI $192 \quad 29$

470 MARS VICTOR
Mars 2b
. . $/ 1 \mathrm{III}$
Bi 194
38
4.66.4.61.4.57
4.56(2), 4.54, 4.48.
4.47.4.42, 4.40, +38.
$+30(3), 428,428$
$425,42+3), 4.14$.
414, 4.10,406.
$\left.4.041^{2}\right),+.02 .4 .00$.
399.394.391(2).
$390.390(2), 3.37$.
3.8612), 3.84 .3 .83 ,
$3 \mathrm{sOR} 21,3.78,5.73$.
3.72, 3.69. 368 .
3.64 (2), 3.63.3.62.
3.62, 3.61, 360,
$35622,355.352$
$3.48,3.45,3.40,3.36$
3.17 .33322 .329 .
224.315.3/4
$2456,3.93$
20 4.62. 449.4.4.
4.43.425.411.419
+01.3.91. 390.
$3.89,3.58,383$.
3.71 .3 .69 .364.
3.63.361.3.42(2)
$285.02 .5 .02 .+84.4+1$
4.34,4.29, 425. +14
+106.405. 403.344
$387,386,3.72,17 ?$
1.71.3.65.356.334
353.351.3.44.3\&i
3.39 .330 .3 .13 .25

| Cal. No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Q 0 | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * 471 | ABVNDANTIA AVG | Abundanlia | - - // IIII | BI | 195 | 17 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.97,4.87,4.68 . \\ & 4.62,4.58,4.56(2) . \\ & 4.51,450,4.49 \\ & 4.47,4.37,4.33 \\ & 4.29,4.29,4.28 \\ & 4.27,4.25,4.25 \\ & 4.23,4.06,4.4, \\ & 4.03,4.02,4.01, \\ & 4.00,4.00,3.93 \\ & 3.92,3.91,3.88 \\ & 3.86,3.83(2), 3.82, \\ & 3.81 .3 .78(3), 3.71 \\ & 3.69,3.68,3.68 \\ & 3.67,3.63,3.51 \\ & 3.49,3.47,3.39 \\ & 3.38 .325 \end{aligned}$ |
| 472 | LAETITIA AVGVSTI | Laecitial | . . $/ 1$ IIII | B) | 198 | 32 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.51. 4.12, 4.00. } 3.99 \\ & \text { 3.75. 3.74, 3.70.3.63. } \\ & 3.54,3.47 .3 .25 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Issue 5 <br> obs: IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * +473 | ADVENTVS PROBI AVG | Emperor la | $\ldots /$. | Hd\|. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sup.ll. } \\ & 202 \alpha \end{aligned}$ | - | 1 | 4.10 |
| 1474 | MARS VICTOR | Mas 26 | -. // \|| | Fl | 218 | 83 | 1 | 4.00 |
| 81475 | ABVNDANTIA AVG | Abundanial | -- /f III) | Fl | 249 | 59 | 1 | 3.72 |
| $广 476$ | VIRTVS AVG <br> ObV. IMP C PROBVSPFAVG | Virus 7a | -- // III] | H41. | 257 | 111 | 1 | 3.91 |
| i 477 | MARS VICTOR ob: VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Mars 26 | --//1/I | H4]. | 241 | 84 | 1 | 3.69 |
| *T478 | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas Ic. | --/\| 1 | H41. | 209 | 106 | 2 | 4.69, 4.22 |
| ${ }^{*} 479$ | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas Ic | .-/\|| | H51. | 210 | 106 | 1 | 4.33 |
| * 4480 | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas ic | --/\|| | G11. | 211 | 105 | 1 | 4.37,2.77 |
| * 1481 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | - - // II | H41. | 220 | 85 | 1 | 3.66 |
| * 1482 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 26 | . ./ $/ 1 \mathrm{II}$ | G1 | Sup.II. 244a | - | E | 4.36 |
| \% $\% 483$ | Mars victor | Mars 2b | - - // III | H4I. | 242? | - | 1 | 3.76 |
| * 1484 | MARS VICTOR <br> Issue 6 <br> obr: IMP CMAVR PROBVS PF AVG | Mars 2 b | $\cdots / 111$ | H71. | (243) | $86 v$ | 2 | 4.64, 3.49 |
| ${ }^{*} 485$ | FIDES MILITVM <br> obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Fides 1 | . . // III | D2 | 276 | 78 | 1 | 3.32 |
| * +486 | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas Ic | .. 11 I | BII. | 267 | - | 1 | 4.55 |
| 487 | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas ic | - $/ 1 / 1$ | BI | 266 | 103 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 434,4.17 .3 .96 . \\ & 3.78 .3 .55 .3 .42 \end{aligned}$ |
| 488 | MARS VICTOR | Mass 2 b | . -//li | D2 | 271 | 83 | 2 | 4.01.2.82 |
| ${ }^{4} 489$ | Mars VICTOR | Mars 26 | . ./4III | D2 | 284 | 83 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.49, 4.05, 4.02, } \\ & 3.95,3.81 .372 \\ & 3.40,3.34 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\div 490$ | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | - - /f III | BI | 285 | 83 | 1 | 368 |
| 491 | FIDES MILITVM | Fides 1 | . . $/ 1111$ | D2 | 278 | 79 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.79 .4 .35 .356 . \\ & 3.39,3.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 492 | ABVNDANTIA AVG | Abundantia 1 | -. $/ 1111$ | B1 | 291 | 50 | 2 | 3.88.3.69 |
| 493 | VIRTVS AVG obr: IMP C PROBVS.PF.AVG | Virus 7a | -- /fllili | B | 296 | 111 | 2 | 3.92, 3.70 |
| -494 | TEMPOR FEIICI | Fclicitas Is | -. $/ 1 /$ | Bl | 269 | 104 | 32 |  |

THE ROGIET HOARD

| Cat. $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ |  |  | , Mark's | Bust |  | RIC | Qi) | Weright |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 495 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2h | - /ill | BI | 274 | 84 | 2 | 3.96. 3.95 |
| 496 | FIDES MILITVM | Fides I | -. $/ 1$ III | BI | 281 | 80 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.97,4.62,4.27 . \\ & 4.16 .4 .0222,3.99 \\ & 3.85,3.37,3.31 .3 .31 \end{aligned}$ |
| 497 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | - /i'lli | D2 | 286 | - | 1 | 3.91 |
| 498 | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | - . $/ 1 / 111$ | BI | 287 | 84 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.48,4+55.4 .31, \\ & 4.29,+69,+60, \\ & 3.95,3.94,3.83 \\ & 3.82 .375 .3 .66 \text {, } \\ & 3.62 .359,358 . \\ & 354,3.30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 499 | ABVNDANTIA AVG | Abundantia | - in illil | BI | 293 | 64) | 2 | 3.55.3.51 |
| 4500 | VIRTVS AVG | Virtus 7a | . . /illl\| | BI | 298 | 112 | 26 |  |
| **501 | VIRTVS AVG obe: IMP C PROBVS P F AVG | Virtus 73 | -. /i ill | B2 | 300 | - | 1 | 4.07 |
| 502 | TEMPOR FELICI | Felicitas Ic | $\cdots i 1$ | BI | 270 | 104 | 2 | 4.76, 3,74 |
| * 50.3 | TEMPR FELICI sic | Felicitas k | . . $/ 11$ | BI | 270 | 104 | 1 | 3.67 |
| * $\% 504$ | MARS VICTOR | Mars 2b | -. $/ \\|$ | BI | 275 | 84 | 2 | 3.68 .291 |
| 505 | MARS VICTOR <br> Issuc 7 <br> obv. IMP C PROBVS.P.F.AVG | Mars 2h | . . $/ 1$ III | BI | 288 | 84 | 3 | 3.83,3.35,3.18 |
| * +506 | MARS VICTOR with captive | Mars 2d | -./1. | BI | 312 | - | 3 | 4.33, 4.03, 3.63 |
| *507 | COMITI PROBI AVG <br> tssue 8 <br> obv: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Minerval 4 | .. $/ 11$ | BI | 315 | 69 | 4 | 4.35, 4.35, 3,39,3.27 |
| 508 | FELICIT TEMP obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Fclicitas 5 | -. / 11 | D2 | 345 | 73 | 1 | 3.23 |
| * 509 | FELICIT TEMP | Felicitas 5 | --mil | D2 | 346 | 74 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.13, 4.06.3.79, } \\ & 3.77 .3 .76 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | whe IMP C PROBVSPPFAVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 510 | COMES AVG | Minerva 4 | -. 111 | BI | 342 | 6.5 | 2 | 3,50, 3.73 |
| 511 | FELICIT TEMP | Felicitas 5 | -- $i$ ill | BI | 347 | 75 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 5.01,+38,+20, \\ & 4.10 .3,87,3.80 . \\ & 3.75,3.74,3.67 \end{aligned}$ |
| 512 | TEMPOR FELICIT | Felicitas Ic | -. iil 1 | BI | 350 | 107 | 2 | 3.73.3.29 |
| *513 | PIAETAS AVG | Pietas 4 | -- 71 III | B1 | 354 | 93 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.82, +.22, 4.06, } \\ & 3.97 .3,38,3.32 .311 \end{aligned}$ |
| 514 | PIETAS AVG | Pietas 4 | -- if III | B1 | 359 | 96 | , | 4.74.3.97,3.67 |
| 515 | PAX AVG | Pax 1 | --.i. IIII | B1 | 366 | 91 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.82,4.66,+14 . \\ & 3.88,3.86,3.84,3.87 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ohv. IMP CPROBVS P F AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * +516 | FELICIT TEMP | Felicitas 5 | - - 4111 | B1 | 348 | 75 | 3 | 4.15.4.01, 3.88 |
| 4517 | FELICT TEMP sic <br> Isstite 9 <br> wh. IMP CM AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Felicilas 5 | --ill | B1 | 348v | 75v | 1 | 3.54 |
| 518 | FELICIT TEMP | Felicitas 5 | B- $/ 1$. | D2 | 380 | - | 1 | 3.55 |
| *519 | SALVS AVG | Salus 1 | -B!!. | D2 | Sup.II. <br> $391 \alpha$ | 122 | 1 | 3.52 |
|  | obr. IMP CM AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 520 | COMES AVG | Minerva 4 | A - [1. - | D2 | 373 | 115 | 3 | 4.19.3.87,3.82 |
| 521 | SALVS AVG | Salus 1 | - B/I- | D2 | 392 | 123 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.64 \cdot 4,61,4.17 \\ & 4.12 .4 .10 .4,07 \\ & 3.88,3.83 .3,69.3 .56 \end{aligned}$ |
| * 522 | SALVS AVG | Salus I | see note | D2 | - | - | 1 | 3.84 |
| $\times 523$ | SPES AVG | Spes la | C. ${ }^{\prime}$ - | D2 | 402 | 127 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.26 .3 .87 .3 .85 \\ & 3.80,3.77 .3 .61 \\ & 3.56 .3,53.351 .3 .11 \end{aligned}$ |

## THE ROGIEI HOARD

| Cat No |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 524 | SPES AVG |
| $\dagger 525$ | SPES AVG |
| 526 | SPES AVG |
| $* 527$ | SPES AVG |
| 528 | PAX AVG |
|  | Ohr. IMP C PROBV |
| *529 | COMES AVG |
| 530 | COMES AVG |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 531 | FELICIT TEMP |
| 532 | TEMPOR FELICIT |

Spes 1
Spes 1
Spes 1
Spes 1
Pax 1
Morks Bust RIC Qig Weigh

Minerva 4
Minerya 4
C. $/ 1 /$

D2 402
1274 4.29,3.98(2).3.93.
sec note D2 405
-C/I. D2 414
see note D2 419
127
14.00
14.20

D-/1/ D2 422
118
$43.85,3.69,3.48,328$
A./I.

D1 374
116
116
6 4.61.4.28,4.09. 4.06.357.3.09
A. // -

Bl 375
39 4.82, 4.73. 4.66.4.65. 4.56.4.51.4.46.4.14, 4.09, 4.07,4.06.4.02, 401(2). $4.00(2) .4 .00$ $3.95,3.93,3.92,3.89$. $3.85,3.80,3.79,3.77$. 3.77.3.74, 3.71, 3.70. 3.68,3.63, 3.62, 3.61 355,354.3.47,3.45. 3.24, 2.97

Felicias 5
Felicitas Io
B-II. B1 381
3.92

B-/f. Bl $386 \quad 129$
33 5.68.5.61, 4.91,4.72. 453.4.48,4.44.436. 4.34, 426, 4.19.4.13. 4.12.4.II. 4. 10.4 .08 , 4.07.4.05, 4.01. 3.94(2), 3.87.3.84, 3.75, 3.72.3.66,356, 3.52(3), 3.47, 335. 3.27
533 SALVS AVG
534 SALVS AVG

535 SPES AV
536 SPES AVG
537 SPES AVG
$\div 540$ PAXAVG
*541 PIAETAS AVG

## " 542 PIETAS AVG

543 PIETAS AVG

544 PAXAVG
545 PAXAVG

Salus 1
Salus 1
Spes Ia
Syes I
Spes I

Spes I
Spes 1
Pax 1

Pax
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { D }-\beta \cdot & \text { Di } & 423 \\ \text { D. } / / . & \text { BI } & 424\end{array}$
19
119
4.28.3.97.3.55. 3.47.3.28
$54.19,4.10,3.53$. 3.31. 3.04
$44.29,3.92,3.85 .3 .83$
2 4.27.359
$104.41,4,28,4,26$. $4.25,4.15,3.99$. $3.97 .3 .85,3.49,3.33$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { see note DI } & 406 & 128 & 433\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { see note } & B 1 & 407 & 128 & 1 & 3.97\end{array}$
$-C / /$ B1 $408 \quad-\quad 13.54$
$C / /-\quad$ B1 $\quad 410 \quad 120$
19 4.69, 4.48, 4. .6
4.32(2).43).430. 427.4.18.4.15.4.06. 4.04, 4.00,381,357. 353.3.44.3.40.3.36
Pielias 4 .C/. DI 412 -
3.69.3.38
$121 \quad 18 \quad 4.40,4.17(2), 4.08$. 405,4.02, 3.98.3.97. 3.85, 3.73, 3.71, 3.69. 3.53.3.52, 3.45, 3. 半( 2 ), 3.04 4.88. 3.82
5.99,4.80, 4.73, 4. 69 . 4.51.4.36.4.28.4.24. 4.24. 4.23, 4.22, +.17. 4.16.4.13.4.08.4.04 $4.03(2) .4 .01(2) .4 .01$ 3.99. $3.94 .3 .93(2)$. 3.92.3.91.3.88.1.87. 3.86.3.82.3.82.3.74. 3.76(2). $3.75(2) .373$ 3.68. 3.65 .363 3.91(2). 3.57(2).3.4. 3.46.3.35.3.25.3.177

| Car No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qty | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 546 | pax avg | Pax 1 | - D $/ 1 /$ | DI | 431 | - | 2 | 4.00.3.51 |
| * 54.4 | PAX AVG wh: IMP C PROBVS PF AVG | Pax 1 | see note | B1 | 438 | - | I | 3.69 |
| 548 | COMES AVG | Minerva 4 | A-11- | B1 | 376 | 116 | I | 4.16 |
| *549 | TEMPOR FELICIT abv: IMP.PROBVS AVG | Felicitas lc | B- $/ 1$ - | B1 | 386 | 129 | 1 | 3.13 |
| * +550 | TEMPOR FELICIT | Felicitas Ic | B-il - | BI | 389 | - | 1 | 3.76 |
|  | Rome (199) |  |  |  |  | RIC |  |  |
|  | Issue i <br> obv IMP CM AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +551 | PROVIDENTIA AVG | Providentia 2 | ...il XXIA | BI |  | 726 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.73,4.13,3.66 \\ & 3.48,3.32,3.09 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7552 | CONSERVAT AVG | Sol 7 | - B// XXI | BI |  | 673 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.55 .4 .16 .3 .75 \\ & 3.64 .3 .45 \end{aligned}$ |
| * $\uparrow 553$ | CONSERVAT AVG | Sol 7 | -B/: ${ }^{\text {PXI }}$ | DI |  | 673 | 1 | 3.90 |
| $\dagger 554$ | CONSERVAT AVG | Sol 7 | --// XXIB | BI |  | 673 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.85.4.49. } 4.40 . \\ & \text { 4.33.422.4.06. } \\ & 3.61,3.37 .3 .32,2.98 \end{aligned}$ |
| 555 | AEQVITAS AVG | Aequitas 1 | $-\Gamma / / X X I$ | B1 |  | 150 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.07 \cdot 3.79 .3 .69 \\ & 3.63 .351 .3 .37 .3 .08 \end{aligned}$ |
| 556 | AEQVITAS AVG | Aequilas I |  | D2 |  | 150 | 1 | 4.14 |
| 557 | AEOVITAS AVG | Acquitas I | -. $/ 1$ XXIT | B1 |  | 150 | 2 | 3.81.3.23 |
| +558 | SALVS AVG | Salus 1 | --/4 $\pm \times \mathrm{Xl}$ | B1 |  | 744 | 3 | 4.42.4.00, 3.54 |
| ${ }^{+}+559$ | SALVS AVG | Salus 1 | -- $/ 1 \pm X X 1$ | D2 |  | 744 | 3 | 4.10.3.72.3.68 |
| * +560 | FIDES MILIT | Fides 6 | .-./ XXIE | B1 |  | 151 | 4 | 4.14, 4.04, 3.72, 3.57 |
| $\dagger 561$ | VIRTVS AVG | Emperor ? | --// XXIs | B1 |  | 801 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.24,4,43,4.41 . \\ & 4.25,3.68 \end{aligned}$ |
| 562 | IOVI STATORI | Jupiter 2 | -2 II XXI | B1 |  | 152 | 3 | 4.18.304, 2.88 |
| 563 | IOVI STATORI | Jupiter 2 | .-.1/ XXIZ | B1 |  | 152 | 1 | 3.18 |
|  | 1ssue 2 <br> wbe. IMP CM AVR PROBVS PF AVG |  | i R,RA |  |  |  |  |  |
| *564 | SOLI INVICTO | Sol in quadriga 1 | $\cdots / / \mathrm{R}$ | K41. |  | - | 1 | 4.06 |
| *565 | ROMAE AETERNAE obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Temple 2 | $\cdots / / R \Delta$ | BI |  | 191) | 1 | 3.95 |
| *566 | VIRTVS AVGVSTI | Emperor 13 | $\cdots / / \mathrm{R}$ | DI |  | - | 1 | 3.38 |
| * 5567 | VIRTVS AVGVSTI | Emperor 13 | ...! $/$ RB | DI |  | + | 1 | 3.89 |
| *568 | VIRTVS AVGVSTI | Emperor 13 | $\cdots / / R \Delta$ | Fl |  | - | 1 | 4.30 |
| *569 | VIRTVS AVG ohv. VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Vinus 1 | - //RJ | DI |  | - | 1 | 3.64 |
| * +570 | ROMAE AETERNAE <br> Issue 3 <br> obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Temple 2 | - /\|/RA <br> ii Rstul A | H41. |  | 196 | 1 | 4.25 |
| *571 | ADVENTVS PROBI AVG | Emperor la | $\Delta$ | Bl |  | 160 | I | 4.09 |
| 572 | soll invicto <br> obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Sol in quadriga 1 | B | K4I. |  | 204 | 1 | 3.59 |
| 573 | ROMAE AETERNAE | Temple 2 | 5 | BI |  | , | 1 | 4.11 |
| * 1574 | ROMAE AETERNAE ohi. IMP PROBVS AVG | Temple 2 | Z | BI |  | - | 1 | 3.67 |
| 575 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | $\Gamma$ | B1 |  | 157 | 1 | 4.47 |
| 576 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | $\Delta$ | BI |  | 157 | 1 | 3.63 |
| 577 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | 5 | BI |  | 157 | 1 | 3.86 |
| 578 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | 5 | H41. |  | 157 | 2 | 4.88.3.36 |
| 579 | ROMAE AETER | Temple 2 | I | B1 |  | 185 | 2 | 3.88, 3.81 |
| 580 | ROMAE AETER | Temple 2 | $\Gamma$ | H41 |  | 185 | 1 | 3.72 |
| 581 | ROMAE AETER | Temple 2 | [? | K41. |  | 185 | I | 352 |
| 582 | SOLI INVICTO | Sol in quadriga 2 | E | K4I. |  | 202 | 1 | 3.60 |
| 83 | VICTORIA GERM trophy | Trophy I | A | BI |  | 222 | I | 3.28 |
| *584 | VICTORIA GERM <br> Issue + <br> obr. IMP PROBVS AVG | Victory 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Z} \\ & \text { increscentA } \end{aligned}$ | B1 |  | 219 | 1 | 3.11 |
| 585 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | 5 | B! |  | 157 | 1 | 3.33 |
| *586 | ADVENTVS AVG | Emperor la | 5 | H 41. |  | 157 |  | 3.97 |




| Cal No |  |  | Marks | Brest | RTC | Qry | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 673 | CONSERVAT AVG | Sol 3 | - - // TXXT | H4]. | 351 | 1 | 4.10 |
| 674 | VIRTVS AVG | Vinus 7a | $\cdots / /$ OXXT | F2l. | 437 | 1 | 4.00 |
| *675 | VIRTVS AVG | Virlus 7 a | - - 1 axxt | H41. | 437 | 1 | 3.75 |
| *\%676 | VIRTVS AVG | Virus 7 a | - //axxt | LAI. | c1437 | 1 | 4.90 |
| 677 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Emperor and Jupiter ib | - - $/ / \mathrm{VXXT}$ | GII. | 388 | 1 | 3.75 |
| 678 | FIDES MLITT | Fides 1 | - - // VIXXT | G31. | 366 | 2 | 3.64.3.19 |
| $\times 679$ | FIDES MILIT | Fides 1 | - // VIXXT | H41. | 366 | 1 | 4.04 |
| * ${ }^{6} 680$ | FIDES MILJT | Fides 1 | - // VIXXT | H41. | 366 | 1 | 3.07 |
| *681 | FIDES MILIT | Fides 1 | $\cdots / /$ VIXXT | HSt. | 366 | 1 | 3.71 |
|  | issue 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | First mhase obr IMP.C.PROBVS.P.FAVG |  | (2lmm dies) |  |  |  |  |
| *682 | conservat avg | Sol 3 | - /// TXXT | B1 | 349 | 2 | 4.11,2.82 |
| *683 | FIDES MIUIT Obv: IMP C PROBVS.PF.AVG | Fides 1 | - // VIXXT | B1 | 365 | 1 | 4.11 |
| *684 | CONCORD MILIT | Emperor and Concordia! | --// PXXT | B1 | 333 | 2 | 4.63.3.91 |
| * 68.5 | CONCORD MILIT | Emperor and Consordial | - -//PXXT | K41. | 333 | 2 | 4.17,4.01 |
| 686 | FELICITAS SEC | Feliciess I | - -// SXXX | BI | 360 | 1 | 4.10 |
| 697 | CONSERVAT AVG obr. IMP C PROBVSPFAVG | Sol 3 | - -// TXXX | BI | 349 | 1 | 3.84 |
| *688 | ERCVLI PACIF <br> Second phase wh: IMP C M AVR PROBVSP F AVG | Hercules 1 | - - /l VIXXT <br> \{20mm dies) | BI | 375 | 1 | 3.92 |
| * 6689 | FIDES MILIT <br> obv: IMP C PROBVS PFAVG | Fides 1 | $\ldots / / \mathrm{VIXXT}$ | BI | 363 | 1 | 3.67 |
| $\times 690$ | VIRTVSAVG | Mars 2b | - . // PXXT | BI | 428 | 1 | 3.64 |
| *691 | HERCVLI PACIF | Hercules 1 | $\cdots / / \mathrm{SXXT}$ | K4]. | 375 | 1 | 3.55 |
| 692 | CONSERVAT AVG | Sol 3 | . .// TXXT | BI | 349 | 3 | 4.20, 3.62, 3.43 |
| 693 | VIRTVS AVG | Vinus 73 | $\cdots$ II OXXT | B1 | 436 | 2 | 4.67 .3 .36 |
| 694 | VIRTVS AVG | Virtus 7 a | - ./IOXXT | K4l. | 436 | 1 | 3.45 |
| 69.5 | IOVICONSERVAT | Emperor and Jupiler lb | -.// VXXT | K 41. | 387 | 1 | 4.22 |
| 696 | HERCVLI PACIF | Hercules I | . $/ 1 / \mathrm{VXXT}$ | K4I. | 375 | 2 | 3.73.3.04 |
| *697 | paxavg | Pax | $\cdots / / \mathrm{VXXT}$ | BI | - | 1 | 3.73 |
| 698 | FIDES MILIT | Fides 1 | - / $/ 1$ VIXXT | BI | 365 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.40 .3 .69 .3 .52 . \\ & 3.41 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | dow. VIR TVS PROBI AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 699 | VIRTVS AVG Mais | Mars 2 b | $\cdots$ P PXXT | Lal. | 4.0 | 1 | 3.68 |
| $\times 1700$ | HERCVLI PACIF | Hercules 1 | - - // SXXT | L31. | - | 1 | 3.97 |
| 1701 | VIRTVS AVG | Virtus 7a | - /laxxt | F2]. | 437 | 1 | 3.96 |
| ${ }_{*}^{*} 702$ | FIDES MILIT | Fides 1 | - - $/ 1 \mathrm{VIXXT}$ | GII. | 366 | 1 | 4.13 |
| 703 | FIDES MILIT <br> Issue 5 <br> oder. IMP C PROBVS AVG | Fides 1 | - - // VIXXT <br> (20mindies) | H I . | 366 | 1 | 3.90 |
| *704 | CONSERVAT AVG <br> assue 6 | Sol 3 | . ./ TXXT | B1 | 350 | 1 | 3.72 |
|  | Series wish Greek off marks a): mo mark of weine oh: IMP C PROBVS P F AVG |  | dies) |  |  |  |  |
| *705 | PAXAVG <br> obr. IMP C PROBVS AVG | Pax 1 | $\cdots, \\| \in(?)$ | K4], | - | 1 | 3.70 |
| *706 | MARTIPACIF | Wars [13 | d- $/ 1$ | B1 | - | 1 | 3.74 |
| * 707 | SECVRIT PERPE <br> b): with XXI obs: IMP C PROBVS PF AVG | Sccuritas 2 a | $\varepsilon-/ /-$ <br> (20mm dies) | BI | - | 1 | 3.93 |
| 708 | CONCORD MIIIT | Concordia 3 | - - / $/$ AXXI | BI | 531 | 2 | 4.48.4.12 |
| 709 | Salvs avg | Silus 5 | -- \|/ AXXI | BI | 552 | 2 | 4.57.3.92 |
| 710 | PROVIOENT AVG | Providential | - // $/$ BXXI | K4i. | 531 | 1 | 406 |
| 711 | Salvs avg | Salus? | $\cdots$-./[ $/$ [X] | BI | 556 | 1 | 4.9) |
| 712 | Salvs pvblic | Salus ? | -- / $/$ TXX | B1 | 567 | 1 | 3.76 |
| 713 | MARTI PACIF | Nars lb | $--\mid 1 \pm x \times 1$ | BI | 54. | 3 | 4.29.3.74, 3.53 |
| 714 | SECVRIT PERP | Securias 2 a | . . ! - XXI | BI | 572a | 1 | 291 |


| Car. No |  |  | Marks | Bust | RIC | Qt | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ohv. IMP C PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 715 | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia 3 | -- II AXXI | BI | 532 | 1 | 3.34 |
| * 716 | SALVS AVG | Salus 5 | -- \|i AXX| | BI | 563 | 3 | 4.48,3.70(2) |
| 717 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providential | -- \|| BXXI | B1 | 552 | 1 | 3.44 |
| 718 | SALVS PVBLLC | Salus 2 | $\cdots$ - $/$ I XXX | BI | 568 | 3 | 4,33,3.74, 3.25 |
| 719 | PAXAVG | Pax 1 | -- $/ / \in X X I$ | BI | 547 | 1 | 3.69 |
| 720 | SECVRIT PERP <br> obv. VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Securitas 2a | $\cdots \\| \times X I$ | B1 | 573 | 2 | 3.64, 3.55 |
| * 721 | SALVS PVBLIC 1 1ssic 7 | Silus 2 | - ${ }^{\prime \prime} 1$ I'XXI | GII. | 569 | 1 | 3.80 |
|  | 'AEQVTT' series obr: VIRTVS PROBI AVG |  | (20nundics) |  |  |  |  |
| *722 | MARTI PACIF <br> Issites 8-9: 'EQVITT'series <br> Issue 8 obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG CONS III | Mars lb | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}-/ / \mathrm{axXI} \\ & \text { (20mm dics) } \end{aligned}$ | H41. | 472 | 1 | 3.73 |
| *723 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | V. 11 TXXI | K 41. | 502 | 1 | 4.55 |
| $\bigcirc 724$ | SECVRIT PERP <br> abr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS P F AVG | Securitas 2 a | -1//VIXXI | K 41. | (528) | 1 | 3.68 |
| * 2725 | SECVRIT PERP obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Securitas 20 | -1/ VIXX] | K 41. | (522) | 1 | 4.6? |
| 726 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providentia 1 | Q- $-1 / 5 \times X 1$ | K 4 ! | 488 | 2 | 4.68, 4.27 |
| +727 | SECVRIT PERP <br> Issue 9 <br> ohv. IMP C PROBVS AVG CONS IIII | Securitas 2.1 | - 1ii VIXXI | K41. | (523) | 1 | 4.61 |
| 728 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | V./I TXXI | K41. | 521 | 1 | 3.47 |
| 729 | MARTI PACIF obv. IMP C PROBVS P F AVG | Mars lb | 1. $1 /$ axX\| | K41. | 513 | 1 | 4.15 |
| 730 | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia 3 | E. $/ \\|$ PXXI | K41. | 479 | 2 | 4.00.3.78 |
| 731 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providential | Q. $/ 1 / 5 \times X \mid$ | K41. | 489 | 3 | 4.19,4117,351 |
| 732 | SECVRIT PERP <br> obv. IMP C PROBVS AVG | Securitas 2 a | 1.// VIXXT | K 41. | 524 | 1 | 3.57 |
| 733 | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia 3 | E- /i PXXI | K4I. | 480 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.41,4.23,+18 \\ & 4.07,3.67 .3 .50 .316 \end{aligned}$ |
| 734 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providentia 1 | O. 113 SXX\| | K 41. | 490 | 3 | 4.71.3.98.3.67 |
| 735 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 |  | B1 | 499 | 1 | 3,76 |
| 736 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | v- /I TXXI | K41. | 499 | 4 | 4.19.4.11,3.77,3.59 |
| 737 | MARTI PACIF | Mars lb | 1-1/ OXXI | K41, | 508 | 3 | 3.71, 3.39, 3.32 |
| 738 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax 1 | T- $/ 1 \mathrm{~V} \times \mathrm{XI}$ | K4]. | 516 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.24,4,10,3.58 . \\ & 3.37,3.28 \end{aligned}$ |
| 739 | PAX AVG | Pax 1 | T. / V VXXI | K4]. | 516 | 1 | 4.76 |
| $\dagger 740$ | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 2a | 1-/\| VIXXI | B1 | - | 1 | 3.81 |
| 741 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 2a | - \|// VIXXI | K 41. | 52.5 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.37 .4 .22 .4 .12 \\ & 3.95 .3 .85 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ubv. VIRTVS PROBI INVICTI AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *742 | MARTI PACIF obr: VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Mars lb | 1-// axx $^{\text {I }}$ | HII. | 482 | 1 | 3.10 |
| 743 | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia 3 | E- $\\| 1 / \mathrm{PXXI}$ | H41. | 481 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.26 .405 .4 .01 \\ & 3.94 .3 .69 .3 .01 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{8} 744$ | PROVIDENT AVG | Providentia 1 | Q./ISXXI | H41. | 491 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,83,3.81 .3 .50 . \\ & 3.68,3.63 .3 .62 .3 .51 \end{aligned}$ |
| 745 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | V-// TXXI | H4l. | 500 | 4 | +34.3.81.3.76.3.6. |
| 746 | MARTI PACIF | Mars lb | 1.1! QXXI | $\mathrm{H}+1$. | 509 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.35,531.5 .24 \\ & 4.21 .3 .84 .3 .82 . \\ & 3.80 .3 .62 \end{aligned}$ |
| 747 | PAX AVGVSTI | $\operatorname{Pax} 1$ | T- // VXXI | H 4 . | 317 | 3 | 4.45.4.24.3.85 |
| 748 | PAXAVG | Pax 1 | T- / $/ 1 \mathrm{VXXI}$ | H4]. | 517 | 1 | 3.14 |
| 749 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 2a | -1/. VIXXI | H4l. | 326 | 2 | 3.84.3.55 |
|  | 'Issac 10: 'EQVITI' series with star obr: IMP C PROBVS AVG |  | (20mm dies) |  |  |  |  |
| \$750 | CONCORD MILIT | Concordia 3 | E* $/ 1$ PXXI | K41. | 480 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.48.4.34.3.86. } \\ & 3.73 .3 .63,3.48,3.46 \end{aligned}$ |


| Cor. No |  |  | Marks | Brus | $R / C$ | Q4 | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 751 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providenial | Q*/15XXI | K4I. | 400 | 1 | 3.52 |
| 752 | SALVS AVG | Salus 2 | $v * / 1$ TXXI | K4I. | 499 | 3 | 455,4.08, 3 |
| 753 | MARTI PACIF | Mars lb | 1*110xx | KAI. | 308 | 1 | 3.54 |
| 754 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | T*/IVXXI | K4I. | 516 | 2 | 4.00.3.44 |
| 755 | SECVRIT PERP <br> odv. VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Securitas 2a | * I/ V VIXXI | K41. | 525 | 3 | 3.38.330,2 |
| 756 | PROVIDENT AVG | Providentia 1 | Q*/35XXI | H4t. | 491 | 2 | 4.01 .3 .52 |
| *757 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax 1 | T*/\\|VXXI | H41. | 517 | 2 | 4.45.3.79 |
| 758 | SECVRIT PERP | Securilas 2a | * I/IVIXX | H4I. | 526 | 1 | 3.86 |
|  | Siscia (44) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issute I <br> obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 759 | Flicitas avg | Felicilas 1 | - $4 / / / \mathrm{XXI}$ | D2 | 682 | 1 | 3.80 |
| *760 | gelicitas avg | Felicias 6 | - $\in \\| X X X$ | D2 | 675 | 1 | 3.81 |
| 761 | CONCORD MILIT | Emperor and Concordia |  | D2 | 651 | 2 | 3.80.3.65 |
|  | Issue 2 A <br> obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 762 | ADVENTVS PROBI AVG | Emperor la | [/\| XXX | K $\mathbf{I I}^{1}$ | 632 | 1 | 3.74 |
| $\times 1763$ | SOLI INVICTO <br> Issue $2 B$ <br> nob: IMP PROBVS INV AVG | Sol in quadriga ! | I//XXI | K4I. | - | 2 | 3.74, 3.62 |
| * 764 | FELICITAS AVG | Felicitas 6 | - A// XXI | BI | - | 1 | 4.09 |
| 765 | PROVIOENTIA AVG N issue 3 obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Providentia 2 | - $/ 1 \times X X$ | BI | 727 | 1 | 3.54 |
| 766 | SOLI INVICTO <br> issue 4 obv. IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Sol in quadtigal | $\cdots / 1 / \times 18$ | K4]. | 776 | 1 | 3.33 |
| * 1767 | VIRTVS PRO8I AVG obr: IMP CM AVR PROBVS P AVG | Trophy I | - - /\| XXIT | J71. | c1820 | 1 | 3.86 |
| *768 | PM TR P COS PP | Emperor 14 | $\cdots / 1 / \mathrm{XIIS}$ | G2l. | 609 v | 1 | 480 |
|  | issue 5 <br> on: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 769 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Mars 2 b | - // XXIVI | Gll. | 810 | 1 | 380 |
| 770 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG obv: IMP C M AVR PROBVS P AVG | Mars 2 b | $\cdots / X^{\text {PXIVI }}$ | K4. | 810 | 1 | 4.18 |
| 771 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Mars 2b | - - /1 $\times \times 10$ | K 4 I. | 816 r | 1 | 3.79 |
| 772 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG ob: IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG | Mars 2b | $\cdots / 1 \mathrm{XXIVI}$ | K41. | 816 | 1 | 3.99 |
| 773 | CONCORD MIIIT | Enperor and Concordia 1 | $\cdots$ P ${ }^{\text {PXIS }}$ | B1 | 651 | 1 | 3.76 |
| 774 | CONCORD MILIT | Emperor ind Concordial | $\cdots$ - 11 XXIV | B1 | 651 | 1 | 3.79 |
|  | obr. IMP C PROBVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 775 | RESTITVT ORBIS | Emperot and feriale 1 | $\times 1 / 8 \times 10$ | $B 1$ | 733 | 1 | 4.17 |
| 776 | RESTITVT ORBIS obv. IMP PROBVS PF AVG | Emperor and female 1 | */13XIV | B1 | 73 | 1 | 3.67 |
| 877 | CONCORO MILIT | Emperor and Concordia I | $\cdots \mathrm{A}$ | K4I. | - | 1 | 42.4 |
| *778 | laEtitia avg | Laetut | $--1 / \mathrm{XXIV}$ | BII. | - | 1 | 4.07 |
| 779 | PAX AVGVSTI <br> Issue 6 <br> obv IMP CMAVR PROBVS AVG | Pax 1 | --/IXXIVI | H 4 ]. | 713 | 1 | 3.89 |
| 780 | SOLI INVICTO <br> obv. IMP PROBVS PF AVG | Sol in quadriga 2a | $\ldots / / \mathrm{x} \times 10$ | D? | 368 | 1 | 3.38 |
| 781 | CONCORDIA MILIT | Emperor and Concordia | - - /1/ $\times \times 10$ | B1 | 660 | 1 | 4.65 |
| 782 | CONCORDIA MILIT | Emperor and Concordal 1 | - - $17 \times 10$ | D2 | 666 | 1 | 4.30 |


| Cat. No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Q | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 783 | CONCORDIA MILIT | Emperor and <br> Concordial | $\cdots$ - XXIO | H51. |  | 666 | 1 | 4.06 |
|  | Insue 7 <br> wh: IMP CM AVR PROBVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78.4 | CONCORD MILIT | Emperor and Concordal | $\mathrm{P} / / \mathrm{XXI}$ | K4I. |  | 650 | 1 | 3.71 |
| 785 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Emperor 15 | Tii XXI | K4I. |  | 818 | 1 | 3.37 |
| 786 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS P AVG | Mars 2b | -V: XXI | BII. |  | 810 | 1 | 3.64 |
| $\dagger 787$ | PROVIDENT AVG | Providentia 1 | $-5 / / X X \mid$ | F21. |  | 72.3 | 1 | 4.03 |
| -788 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG ohn: IMP C PROBVS PF AVG | Mars 2b | -S// XX\| | K41. |  | 816 y | 1 | 336 |
| 789 | PAX AVG | Pax 1 | $-P / .10 \times 1$ | B! |  | $71 \% 6$ | 1 | 4.01 |
| 790 | PAX AVG | Pax I | -T $/!$ XXI | B1 |  | 706 | 1 | 3.65 |
| 791 | PAX AVGVSTI obr: IMP PROBVS PF AVG | Pax 1 | -Q:" XXI | B1 |  | 712 | 2 | 3.84, 3.74 |
| 792 | CONCORDIA AVG | Concordial 2 | -P:IIXXI | B1 |  | 661 | 1 | 4.26 |
| 793 | CONCORDIA MILIT | Emperor and Concordial | T $/: \times \mathrm{XI}$ | D2 |  | 666 | 1 | 4.14 |
| 794 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax 1 | - SII XXI | BI |  | 713 | 1 | 2.78 |
| 795 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax I | -T\IXXI | BI |  | 713 | 1 | 385 |
| 796 | PAXAVGVSTI | Pax I | -V /i XXI | K41. |  | 713 | 1 | 4.17 |
| 797 | PAX AVGVSTI | Pax 1 | - V1 1 i XXI | H4I. |  | 713 | 1 | 4.20 |
| 798 | SALVS AVG obs: IMP PROBVS AVG | Salus ? | - O.il XXI | BI |  | 748 | 1 | 4.75 |
| $\times 799$ | VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Mars 2b | - Q $1 / \mathrm{XXI}$ | BII. |  | - | 1 | 3.82 |
|  | Serdica 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|ssue 2 <br> ahy IMP C M AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * 1800 | RESTITVT ORBIS <br> Issure + <br> ahy: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Emperor and female I | * \#KA | D2 |  | - | 1 | 3.00 |
| * 801 | VIRTVS PROBI AVG | Emperor 9a | $\cdots 3 \mathrm{KA} \cdot \mathrm{S}$. | D 3 |  | 877 | 1 | 407 |
|  | Cyzicus (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issuel (b): IMP CM AVR PROBVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2} 802$ | CLEMENTIA TEMP <br> Issue 3 obr: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Emperor and lupiter it | P: $\mathrm{XXX}^{*}$ | D2 |  | 905 | 1 | 3.36 |
| 803 | SOLI INVICTO <br> Issue 4 obv. IMP CM AVR PROBVS PF AVG | Sol in quadriga lc | CMiiXXIT | K +1. |  | 911 | 1 | 3.73 |
| *804 | SOLI INVICTO | Sol in quadriga is | $C M / X X I \perp$ | K+1, |  | 411 | I | 3.57 |
|  | Antioch (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issuc ? <br> ols: IMP C M AVR PROBVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *805 | RESTITVT ORBIS | Emperor and female I | E $/$ XXI | D2 |  | 925 | 1 | 3.97 |
|  | CARUS AND FAMII.Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lyon (51) |  |  |  | Bastion | $R 1 C$ |  |  |
|  | Issue 1 wh: IMP CM AVR CARVS.P.F.AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 806 | VICTORIA AVG <br> lasme 2 <br> wh: IMP CM AVR CARVS.PF.AVG | Victory 3a | ../1- | D1 | 449 | 20 | I | 3.39 |
| * 817 | VICTORIA AVG <br> fasuc. 3 obv: IMP CM AVR CARVS PF AVG | Vietory 3 a | A - :1: | D | - | 20 | 1 | 3.74 |
| * +808 | PAXAVGG | Pax 1 | B-II. | HI | 478 | 12 | 1 | 3.91 |

issue 4
obv. IMP CM AVR CARVS P F AVG
809 PAX AVGG
obv. IMP C M AVR CARVS AVG
810 AFQVITAS AVG
811 VICTORIA AVGG
812 PAX AVCG
obv. M AVR NVMERIANVS NOB C
813 PRINCIPI IVVENTVI
obv: CARINVS NOBIL CAES
814 SAECVLI FELICITAS
$\$ 815$ SAECVLI FLICITAS
Issue 6
obv. IMP CM AVR CARINVS AVG
816 AEQVITASAVG
817 SAECVLI FELICITAS
obv: IMP C M AVR NVMERIANVS AVG
818 MARS VICTOR
obv: IMP C NVMERIANVS AVG
819 PAX AVGG
820 MARS VICTOR
obr: IMP NVMERIANVS AVG
:821 PAXAVGG
Issue 7
obr IMP CNVMERIANVS AVG
822 Flicitas avg
Issuc $\delta$
obv. IMP C NVMERIANVS AVG
823 FIIICITAS AVGG
824 PIETAS AVGG
obv. IMP NVMERIANVS AVG
825 PIETAS AVGG

ISsue 9
obr: IMP CARINVSPFFAVG
$\$ 26$ VICTORIA AVGG obr: IMP C NVMERIANVS AVG
827 PACATOR ORBIS oll: MACNIA VRBICA AVG
s22 VENVS CENETRIX
Issuc 10
obs. DIVO CARO PIO
829 CONSECRATIO
*830 CONSECRATIO
SEI CONSECRATIO
S12 CONSECRATIO
Rome (29)
obr: M AVR CARINVS NOR CAES
$\$ 33$ PIETAS AVGG
obr. M AVR CARINVS NOB CAES
834 PIETAS AVGG
obv. IMP CMAVR CARVSP FAVG
835 IOVI VICTOR
836 PROVIDENT AVGG
obr: M AVR CARINVS NOB CAES
837 PRINCIPI IVNENTVT
obl: M AVR NVMERIANVS NOB C
838 PRINCIPI IVVENTVT

Marks
RIC QIy Weight

| Pax! | $8 \cdot / 1$. | B1 | 508 | 12 | 1 | 3.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aequitas 1 | - All | B1 | 506 | 8 | 1 | 431 |
| Victory 13 | A. 11 - | Bi | 502 | 24 | 2 | 4.10.4.08 |
| Pax 1 | B- $/ 1$. | Bl | 509 | 13 | I | 3.31 |
| Prince 1 | C.fl | D2 | 513 | 356 | 1 | 323 |
| Emperor 2 | - D $/$ - | D! | 522 | 152 | 1 | 351 |
| Emperor 2 | D $/$ - | D) | 523 | 152 |  | $4 / 4$ |


| Aequitas ! | - A/f. | DI | 533 | 212 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.13,4.12,3.82 \\ & 3.68 .3 .64,3.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperor 2 | - D// | DI | 548 | 214 | 2 | 4.02, 3.46 |
| Mars 2b | - C/I | D2 | 543 | 386 | 1 | 3.64 |
| Pax 1 | B-// - | B] | 539 | 394 | 3 | 4.70, 3.94.3.20 |
| Mars 2 b | - C/I. | B) | 544 | 388 | 3 | 4.19.4.04,3.58 |
| Pax 1 | - 11 | Gli. | 557 | 395 |  | 3.22 |

Felicilas 4 B-/IVG BI $579 \quad 384 \quad 24.33 .3 .35$

| Felicitas 4 | B.11. | B) | 595 | 384 | 1 | 4.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pjelas 7 | - $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{f}$. | B1 | 596 | 396 | 2 | 4.05.3.67 |
| Pietas 7 | -C/I - | B1 | 598 | 397 | 6 | 4.76.4,38, 4,22. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $4.12,374,3.59$ |


| Victory 3 | A. $/ 1$. | D1 | 612 | 220 | 1 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eraperor 16 | $\cdots \mathrm{HC}$ | BI | 613 | - | I | 3.43 |
| Venus 5 | D-/]. | E2 | 617 | 337 |  | 4.07 |


| Eagle 2 | . $/ / / \mathrm{I}$ | Ai | 622 | 29 | 2 | $3.87,3.68$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eagle 2 | $--/ / I I$ | Al | 623 | 29 | 3 | 4.03 .3 .65 .3 .50 |
| Eagle 2 | $-\quad / / I I$ | Al | 624 | 29 | 2 | 4.02 .3 .38 |
| Eagle 2 | - // IIII | Al | 625 | 29 | 1 | 3.65 |

$$
/ / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{Z})
$$

| Sacrificial impl. 2 | 2 | DI | 1638 | 155 | 1 | 4.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Sacrificial impl. 2 a | Z | D1 | 1652 | 155 | I | 3.39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

// (A-Z)KA

| Jupter 9 | B | B1 | 1721 | 38 | J | 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providemia 2a | 3 | B1 | 1805 | 42 | 2 | 4.2 |
| Prince 1 | $\varsigma$ | D] | 1857 | 161 | 1 | 40 |
| Prince : | ¢ | D2 | 1939 | 363 | I | 3.7 |



| Cat. No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qn | Weigh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| obve MAGNIA VRBICA AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *870 | VENVS VICTRIX obv, IMP M AVR CARINVS P F AVG | Venus it | - - /1 SXXIT | E2 | 1504 | 347 | 3 | 4.22.3.90,3.76 |
| * 871 | fortvna redvx | Fortuna la | . .// TXXIT | D1 | 1530 | 299 | 1 | 4.01 |
| 872 | FORTVNA RED | Fortuna la | . ./] TXXIT | D1 | - | 299 | 2 | 4.06.3.90 |
| 876 | VICTORIA AVG <br> obr IMP NVMERIANVS P F AVG | Victory 3 | .. // axx | D1 | 1553 | 305 | 1 | 4.02 |
| 874 | ROMAE AETERN | Roma 2 | -- // VIXXIT | D2 | 1582 | 449 | 2 | 4.39.3.86 |
| Siscia (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 875 | obr M AVR CARINVS NOB CAES PRINCIPI IVVENTVT | Prince 2c | *-//XXIT | D2 | - | 198 | 1 | 4.09 |

DIOCLETIAN\& MAXIMIAN
Lyon (230)

Bastien RIC
Issuc la
obv. IMP C C VAL DIOCLETIANVS P F AVG
876 VICTORIA AVG
877 FELICITAS AVG
878 SALVS AVG
879 PROVIDENTIA AVG
880 PROVIDENTIA AVG
$\$ 881$ PROVIOENTI AVG
882 FELICITAS AVG
IsHIE Ib
ObV. IMP C C VAL DIOCIETIANVS PF AVG

883 IOVI CONSERVAT AVG

884 IOVI CONSERVAT AVG
885 IOVI CONSERVATORI
¡886 IOVI CONSERVATORI
887 IOVI CONSERVATORI
issur?
obr: IMP C C VAL DIOCIETIANVS P F AVG
$\$ 88$ IOVI CONSERVAT AVGG

889 IOVI CONSERVAT AVGG

Jupiter 1
A-/1- D2 18

| A-/1- | D2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| B-1]- | D2 |
| - B// $/$ | D2 |
| C/I. | D2 |
| see note | D2 |
| - C/I. | D2 |

Felicitas 4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Providenlion } 4 & -C / I- & \text { D2 } & - \\ \text { Felicitas } 4 & \text { D.// } & \text { D2 } & 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Providenlia } 4 & -C / /- & \text { D2 } & - \\ \text { Felicitas } 4 & \text { D }-/ /- & \text { D2 } & 16\end{array}$
Felicitas 4 B- $/ 1-\quad$ D2 11

Salus ? $\quad-\mathrm{B} / / /-\quad$ D2 10
Providentia $4 \quad$-C/I. D2 13
Providentia 4 see nole D2 15

Jupiter $1 \quad$ B- $/ 1-\quad$ D2 21
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Jupiter I } & \text { C./I. } & \text { D2 } 24\end{array}$
sce nole D2 26
D./I- D2 27

3 3.70.354,2.91
14.28

2 3.71,359
3 3.84, 3.78.3.77
1.13
14.07

2 3.64,3.55

41
IS 5.08.4.85,4.27.
4.20.4.11.3.99.3.95.

382,3.70,3.62.
359.358, 3.50,
3.05.2.91

5 4.49, 3.93.3.91. 3.87,2.85
$47 \quad 6 \quad 4.05,3.85 .3 .84$.
3.75,3.60.3.54
4.07
$4.90 .4 .48,4.09,3.56$

A- 1 l - D2 50

B-II -
D2 54
4.3

Jupiter I
14 4.42.438.434, 4.26.4.15.4.04. 4.00.3.66.3.62, 353.3.47.337. 3.36.3.31

17 4.81.4.44,439. 4.34.4.21.4.06. 4.01.3.95.3.91, 3.75.3.72.371, 3.69.3.68.353. 3.49.3.47

Jupiter and Hercules 1 C $/ 1$. D2 61 $930 \quad 14.21$

| Jupiter 1 | C. 11. | D1 | 60 | $388 \%$ | 2 | 4.46.4.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jupiter 1 | sce note | D1 | 64 | 388 | 4 | 406,3.91, 3.88, 3.51 |
| Salus? | C. 11. | D1 | 56 | 417 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.14 .4 .12,4.01 \\ & 3.73 .3 .63,336(2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hercules I | C- $/ 1 /$ | D) | 59 | 437 | 2 | 3.58.3.20 |
| Jupiter and Hercules 1 | C/I- | DI | 62 | 432 | 2 | 4.67.3.64 |
| Jupiter 1 | D - //- | DI | 70 | 388 | 2 | 4.59.3.19 |
| Hercules I | D. $/ 1$. | DI | 67 | 437 | 4 | 5.10 .392 .3 .64 .361 |


| Cul.Nu |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | R/C | Qty | Werght |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *898 | VIRTVS AVGG | Jupier and Hercules | D $\mathrm{K}^{\text {- }}$ | D1 | 71 | 432 | 4 | 3.92.3.81.354.3.46 |
| 899 | VIRTVS AVCG <br> Lssute 273 <br> wh. IMP C C VAL DIOCLETIANVS P F AVG | Jupter and Hercules I | $\cdots / 1$. | D1 | 74 | - | 1 | 423 |
| * +400 | IOVI CONSERVAT AVGG <br> Issies 3 <br> wh: IMP C C VAL DIOCLETLANVS PF AVG | Jupiter Ic | A. II. | D2 | - | - | 1 | 4.30 |
| 901 | IOVI CONSER AVGG | Jupiter Le | A. $/ 1$. | D2 | 76 | 35 | 1 | 4.03 |
| 902 | IOVI CONSER AVGG | Jupiter IC | B-1/- | D2 | 83 | 35 | ? | 3,88,3.54 |
| 903 | IOVI CONSER AVGG on: IMP C VAL MAXIMIANVS PF AVG | Jupiter lo | - B/I - | D2 | 89) | 35 | 1 | 3.97 |
| 904 | HERCVLI PACIFERO | Hercules 3 | [. 11. | DI | 122 | 371 | 4 | 393.378.365.339 |
| 905 | HERCVLI PACIFERO obs: IMP C VAL MAXIMIANVS AVG | Hercules 3 | 1-11- | DI | 95 | 379 | 2 | +.42.3.44 |
| 906 | HERCVLI PACIFERO <br> Issuef <br> Dbr: IMP C C VAL DIOCLETIANVS PF AVG | Hercules? | $\Gamma-\\|$. | DI | 94 | - | 1 | 4.12 |
| 907 | IOVI CONSER AVGG obr: IMP C VAL MAXIMIANVS PF AVG | dupiter to | - A//SML | D2 | 98 | 35 | 1 | 3.15 |
| 908 | HERCVLI PACIFERO atn: IMP C MAXIMIANVS P AVG | Hercules 3 | B. $/ /$ SML | DI | 116 | 371 | 1 | 4.13 .379 |
| *909 | HERCVLI PACIFERO <br> Issue 5 <br> an: IMP C C VAL DIOCIETIANVS P AVG | Hercules 3 | B-//SML | B1 | - | - | 1 | 378 |
| 910 | IOVI TVTATORI AVGG obw. IMP C DIOCLETIANVS PF AVG | Iupiter 9 | - /1/ $p$ | D2 | 1+1 | 51 | 1 | 3.44 |
| 911 | IOVI TVTATORI AVGG | Jupiter 9 | $\ldots / 1 / p$ | D2 | 143 | 53 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.95 .431 .+099 \\ & 4.04 .4 .00 .3 .16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ob: IMP C DIOCLETIANVS P AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 912 | IOVI TVTATORI AVGG | Jupiter 9 | --1/ $/$ P | D2 | 145 | 54 | 1 | 4.15 |
| 913 | IOVI TVTATORI AVGG oby: IMP C MAXIMIANVS P F AVG | Jupiter 9 | $\cdots / 1 / \mathrm{P}$ | GII. | 147 | 54 | 1 | 4.18 |
| 914 | VIRTVTI AVG | Hercules and lion : | $\cdots 11$. | B! | 214 | 454 | 2 | 3.82.3.31 |
| 915 | VIRTVII AVG ohv. IMP C MAXIMIANVS AVG | Hercules and lion I | -. $1 /$. | DI | 213 | 454 | 2 | 4.50.438 |
| *916 | VIRTVTI AVG <br> lssue 6 <br> obs. IMP DIOCLETIANVS AVG | Hercules and lion I | --11. | HI | 222 | - | 1 | 4.14 |
| *917 | IOVI AVGG <br> oh: IMP C MAXIMIANVS PF AVG | Jupiter Ic | $\cdots \mathrm{l}$. P | D2 | 246 | 28 | 3 | 4.95,3.86, 3.44 |
| 918 | PAX AVGG Issme7 abv. IMP DЮCLETIANVS P AVG | Pax 7 | --115 | BI | 277 | 396 | 3 | 4.55,403.392 |
| 919 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiter IC | $\cdots / 1 / A$ | K41. | 312 | 27 | 1 | 3.83 |
| 920 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 4 |  | D) | 345 | 82 | , | 4.22 |
| *+921 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | $\cdots / 1 \mathrm{C}$ | B31. | Sup. 1. <br> 3960 | - | 1 | 3.89 |
|  | abv. IMP DIOCLETIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 922 | IOVI AVGC | Jupiter Ic | $\cdots$ | B1 | 35 | 28 | 3 | 4.55,4,38,3.52 |
| 923 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiter Ic | $\cdots / 1 / A$ | D1 | 314 | 28 | 2 | 4.05.3.4) |
| *924 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiter lc | $\cdots{ }^{*} A$ | B31. | 316 | 28 | 1 | 3.61 |
| *925 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiter Is | --l\| A | G11. | 319 | 28 | 1 | 4.18 |
| *926 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiler Ic | -./1A | KII. | 321 | 28 | 1 | 3.68 |
| 927 | IOVI AVGG | fupiter Ic | --11 A | KH. | 323 | 28 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.61,4,19, \neq 16, \\ & 3.96,3,87,3.78, \\ & 3.74,372,3.68, \\ & 3.58,355,3.54, \\ & 3.50,3.24(2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 928 | IOVI AVGG | fupiter Io | --/4 A | K 51. | 322 | 28 | 1 | 3.42 |
| *929 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 4 | --/ A | B1 | $347 a$ | - | 1 | 4.14 |
| 031 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 4 | $\cdots / 1 / \mathrm{A}$ | K41. | 348 | 83 | 1 | 4.27 |
| 931 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | .-."C | K41. | 402 | 89 | 4 | 3.86, 3.80, 3.55.3.42 |
| *932 | SECVRIT PERP | Securitas 4 | - \# 6 | Di | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sup.II. } \\ & 417 a \end{aligned}$ | - | 1 | 4.54 |


| Cmino |  |  | Marks | Busf |  | RIC | Qin | Weighr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obr. IMP MAXIMIAVS P F AVG sic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * $¢ 933$ | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | --//C | KSI. | - | - | 1 | 4.44 |
|  | whr: IMP MAXIMIANVS P AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 934 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | . .// C | B1 | 406 | 421 | 1 | 3.70 |
| 935 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | - - 11 C | K41. | 408 | 421 | 1 | 4.58 |
|  | Db: IMP MAXIMIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 936 | IOVI AVGG | Jupiter ls | ..// A | D1 | 330 | 384 | 2 | 4.09,3.71 |
| 937 | IOVI AVGG | Jupicer Is | $\cdots / 1 /$ | K 4 ]. | 338 | 384 | 2 | 4.02, 3.66 |
| 938 | FELICIT PVBL | Felicias 4 | $\cdots$ | B1 | 357 | 360 | 2 | 3.84, 3.74 |
| 939 | SALVS AVGG | Salus 2 | -./1C | B1 | 410 | 422 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.91,3.80,3.73 \\ & 3.65,3.61 \end{aligned}$ |
| 940 | SALVS AVCG | Salus 2 | ..//C | D) | 409 | 422 | 2 | 4.22, 3.68 |
| 941 | Salvs avgg | Salus 2 | ../lc | K1]. | 414 | 422 | 2 | 3.52,3.32 |
| 942 | Salvs avgG | Salus 2 | ..//C | K41. | 416 | 422 | 4 | 4.31, 4.06, 401, 3.73 |
| 943 | SAlVS AVGG | Salus 2 | - /1C | K51. | 415 | 422 | 1 | 3.54 |
|  | Issues 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | obv. IMP DIOCLETIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 944 | PaX avgg | Pax 7 | $\ldots / / B$ | B1 | 365 | 67 | I | 4.15 |
| 945 | PAX AVGG | Pax 7 | - / //B | DI | 364 | 67 | 1 | 4.39 |
| $\dagger 946$ | PAXAVGG obr: IMP MAXIMIANVS P AVG | Pax 7 | $\cdots / / B$ | K41 | 369 | 67 | 1 | 3.82 |
| 947 | PaXaVGG | $\operatorname{Pax} 7$ | . .//B | B1 | 374 | 398 | 1 | 3.67 |
| 948 | PAXAVGG <br> ob: IMP MAXIMIANVS AVG | Pax 7 | - ///B | K41 | 378 | 398 | 2 | 4.00, 3.40 |
| 949 | PAX AVGG | Pax 7 | $\cdots / / B$ | B1 | 380 | 399 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.78,4.58,4,25 \\ & 4.12,4.02,3.94, \\ & 3.91,356,3.36,3.30 \end{aligned}$ |
| 950 | PAX AVGG | Pax 7 | - - // B | D1 | 379 | 399 | 3 | 4.12,3.78,3.54 |
| 951 | PAX AVGG | Pax 7 | $\cdots / / B$ | H. | 381 | 399 | 2 | 3.55 (2) |
| 952 | PAXAVGG | Pax 7 | - -/ $/ 1$ B | K41. | 387 | 399 | 9 | 4,34, 4.03, 4.03. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.01,3.98,3.75 \\ & 3.57 .3 .44,3.47 \end{aligned}$ |

Issuc 8
obr: IMP DIOCLETIANV AVG
*953 IOVI AVGG
lssue 10
obr IMP MAXIMIANVS AVG
**954 VOTIS $X$
*i955 VOTIS $X$
Rome (5)
obv. IMP DIOCLETIANVS AVG
956 VICTORIA AVG
*957 MARTI PACIF
958 IOVI CONSERVAT AVG
959 IOVI CONSERVAT AVG
obv: IMP MAXIMIANVS PF AVG
960 IOVICONSERVAT AVGG

| Jupiter 6 | - //A | BI | 436 | 34 |  | 4.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emperors (1wo) 2 | - - /1/ | K4 | 531 | 467 | 1 | 3.56 |
| Emperors (iwo) 2 | -./\| | K41 | 5314 | 467 | 1 | 3.64 |

Gricourr RIC

| Victory 1 | - . $/ \mathrm{XXXIA}$ | D2 | 6979 | clis3 | 1 | 3.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mars 4 | - . $/ 1$ XXIE | D2 | 7127 | 173 | 1 | 396 |
| Jupiler 1 | - / $/ 1 \times x \mathrm{xIT}$ | D2 | 7629 | 161 | 1 | 3.33 |
| lupiter 1 | -- // XXIE | D2 | 7326 | 161 | 1 | 2.78 |
| Jupiler 1 | $\ldots 8 \mathrm{CXIZ}$ | D] | 7551 | 506 | 1 | 3.35 |

Ticinum (41)
obv. IMP C C VAL DIOCLETIANVS PF AVG
First phase
961 VICTORIA AVG
962 CONSERVAT AVG
963 FORTVNA RED
964 VIRTVSAVG
965 PROVIDENT AVG
9966 MARS VICTOR
Second and later phases
967 IOVI CONSERVAT
968 IOVI CONSERVAT
969 IOVI CONSERVAT

Gncomy R/C

| Victory? | . . //PXXXIT | D1 | 4499 | 242 | 1 | 3.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol 7 | -. $/ / 5$ SXIT | DI | 4574 | 206 | 2 | 4.51,2.73 |
| Forluna la | - -// TXXIT | DI | 4636 | 209 | 1 | 4.73 |
| Virus 1 | - /laxait | DI | 4684 | 244 | 2 | 4.12.3.81 |
| Providentia | $\cdots / / \mathrm{VXXIT}$ | DI | 4775 | 240 |  | 3.00 |
| Mars 26 | $\cdots$ - 1 YIXXIT | DI | 4864 | 239c | 2 | 4.19.3.47 |
| Jupiter la | - - // $/ 5 \times \mathrm{XXIT}$ | B1 | 5276 | 220 | 1 | 3.56 |
| Jupier la | . .//5xXIT | DI | 5212 | 220 | 1 | 3.53 |
| Jupiter la | - Maxxis | DI | 5681 | 220 | 1 | 4.20 |


| Cal. No |  |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qn | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 970 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter lia | .-. ii VXXIT | Bl | 5836 | 220 | 1 | 3.61 |
| 971 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 1 | .../PPXXIT | BI | 5008 | 221 | 3 | 4.27,4.15,3.50 |
| 972 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Juputer I | - /" TXXIT | B1 | 5427 | 222 | 3 | 4.55.402,2.75 |
| 473 | LOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter I | ../1 TXXIT | D1 | 5357 | 222 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.75 \cdot 459,4 \cdot 16 \\ & 3.83 .380 \end{aligned}$ |
| 97. | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 1 | ...-3) VIXXIT | D1 | 5845 | 222 | 2 | 4,95,4,63 |
| *-475 | HERCVLI CONSER VAT | Hercules 1 | . . $/ 1$ SXXIT | D1 | 5944 | 212 | 1 | 3.90 |
| \%976 | HERCVLI CONSERVAT <br> whe, IMP CC VAL DIOCLETIANVS AVG | Hercules 1 | .-.17PXXIT | BI | 6273 | 212 | 1 | 3.79 |
| 977 | ЮVI CONSERVAT <br> bhy: IMP C VAL DIOCIETIANVS AVG | Jupiter 1 | =-JPXXIT | BI | 5159 | 223 | 2 | 4.40.3.97 |
| 978 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter I | .-.//PXXIT | BI | 6076 | 225 | 3 | 4.36.3.74.3.52 |
| 979 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 1 | . . iis SXXIT | BI | 6377 | 225 | ? | 3.71.3.65 |
| obr: IMP C M A VAL MAXIMIANVS PF AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 980 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 1 | .-717 PXXIT | DI | (203 | 558 | 2 | 3.65.3.52 |
| ${ }^{8981}$ | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 1 | -. $/$ TXXIT | DI | 6777 | 558 | 3 | 4.22.3.68.347 |
|  | ohn. IMP CMA VAL MAXIMIANVS AVG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *982 | IOVI CONSERVAT | Jupiter 10 | .. 11 SXXIT | BI | - | 560 | 1 | 3.66 |

D: 'BRITISH' EMPIRE, c.287-96
CARAUSIUS
Unmarked and uncertain coins (3)
obv. VIRTVS CARAVSI
*983 VICTORIA AVG
ah: IMP CARAVSIVS P AVG
984 PAVXAVG [?

* 7985 SALVS AVG

London (3)
obw IMP CARAVSIVS PF AVG
*986 LEG XXX VLPIA

* 9987 PAX AVG
obv: IMP C CARAVSIVS PF AVG
*988 PAX AVGGG
C mint (10)
कhu IMP CARAVSIVS PF AVG
989 MONETA AVG
*990) SALVS AVG
obv: IMP C CARAVSIVS P AVG
* 1991 PROVIDENTIA AVG
* 9992 SPES PVBLICA
obv. IMP C CARAVSIVS AVG
993 PAXAVG
*+994 SPES PVBLIC
whe IMP C CARAVSIVS PF AVG
095 PAX AVGGG
*996 PAX AVGGG
abr: IMP C CARAVSIVS AVG
*99? PAX AVGGG Pax Ib
DIOCLETIAN, MAXIMIAN
London (5)
obv: IMP C DIOCIETIANVS PF AVG
* +998 IOVI CONSERVAT AVGGG

1999 PAX AVGGG
wi. IMP C MAXIMIANVS PF AVG

* 1000 PAX AVGGG

Nepturle ?
Pax Ib(?)
Pax Ib

| Moneta 1 | $\ldots / C$ | DI |  | 287 | 1 | 4.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salus 5 | -. $1 / \mathrm{CXXI}$ | D) |  | 401 | 1 | 5.14 |
| Providentia la | SCIIC | DI |  | 374s | 1 | 4.54 |
| Spes 1 | SPI/C | DI |  | - | 1 | 4.59 |
| Pax If | SP//C | DI |  | 302 | 1 | 4.39 |
| Spes 1 | Splic | DI |  | - | 1 | 4.26 |
| Pax Ib | SPI/C | DI | 11 | 334 | 1 | 4.48 |
| Pax I | SPMC | DI | 27 |  |  | $4.52 .$ |

SP//MC
DI
ef. 336
3.70

SPi/MIXXI DI 8
141 | 3.58

SPI/C DI 27 - 2422421

SP/IMC D1 ——or en

| Jupiter 11 | $S P / / M L X X I$ | $B 1$ | - | - | 4.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $S P / / M L X I I$ | $D 2$ | - | 9 | 14.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PaxI | $S P / / M L X X I$ | $B 1$ | 18 | 34 | 4.34 |

Carson RIC

| Victory 3 | ..il. | HII. | - | 13.56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pax Ib | $5 ? . /$ III | DI | $1096 ?$ | +5.68 |
| Pax Ib | $\ldots i l$. | DI | as 996 | 4.25 |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SPI } & 18 & 34 & 434\end{array}$

```
Cat.No
    *1001 SALVS AVGGG
*个1002 obverse brockage
    C mint (3)
    obr. IMP C DJOCLETIANVS AVG
*+L003 MONETA AVGGG
    I004 PROVID AVGGG
    obv CARAVSIVSET FRATRES SVI
*H005 VICTORIA AGGG sic
```

    ALLECTUS
    Anerehomi (3)
    Landon (1)
    obv. IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG
    $\begin{aligned} * 1006 & \text { ORIENS AVG } \\ & \text { C mint (2) } \\ & \text { obv. IMP C ALLECTVS P F I AVG } \\ *+1007 & \text { LAETITIA AVG }\end{aligned}$
obv. IMP C ALIECTVSP F AVG

* 1008 FIDES MILIT
Q-radiates (757)
London (295)
obv. IMP C ALLECTVS PF AVG
rev. VIRTVS AVG
1009 1. Galley to left, no mast
* 1010 2. Galley to right, no mast
$* 1011$
$*+1012$
* 11012
* +1013
*1014 3 Galley to left, with mast and ram
*1015
*1016
*1017

Q-radiates (757)
London (295)
obv. IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG rev. VIRTVS AVG
1009 1. Galley to left, no mast
¡1010 2. Galley to right, no mast
*1015

Salus 2

| Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Weigh |  |  |  |  |
| SP/fMLXXI | BI | - | 38 | 1 |
|  | BI | - | - | 1.13 |
|  |  |  | 4.80 |  |


| Monetal | SP//C | BI | 7 | - | 14.26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Providentia I | SP//C | BI | - | - | 14.34 |
| Viclory 8 | $S P / / C$ | N1. | -9 | - | 14.59 |

$\begin{array}{llll}S P / / M L & B 1 & 9 & 26\end{array}$
13.33

| Laetilia i | SP//C | D. | 136 | - | 13.97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fides 1 | SP//C | D. | - | - | 14.26 |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\cdots / \\ \cdots l & \mathrm{BL} & 108 & 55 & 2 & 2.82,2.71\end{array}$
../lol
$\begin{array}{llllll}--/ 11 \mathrm{OL} & \mathrm{BI} & 110 & 55 & 2 & 2.68,2.27\end{array}$
2 2.65,2.63
$\begin{array}{lllllll}--/ / O L & B 1 & 110 & 55 & 1 & 2.97\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}--/ / Q L & \text { BI } & 110 & 55 & 12.70\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}--/ / \mathrm{OL} & \mathrm{DI} & \mathrm{L} 2 & 55 & 25 & 3.43,3.40,3.38,\end{array}$
$3.35,3.25 .3 .23$,
3.18, 3.17, 3.15,
3.12. 3.10, 3.09,
3.07.3.05.2.97,
2.96.2.89.2.88,
2.85, 2.31(2), 2.75.
2.70(2). 256
..//QL
D2 $113 \quad 55$
15 3.86. 3.58.3.46,
3.32.3.22, 3.02,
3.02,2.59, 2.73.
2.70.2.63, 2.60,
2.57. 2.49, 2.39
$\begin{array}{llllll}-1 / & 113 & 52 & 113 & 3.13\end{array}$

- //al BI 11155
3.98.3.85, 3.77(2),
3.67,3.65,3.63,
$3.62,3.58,3.55$.
3.54, 3.48, 3.46 (2),
3.44, 3.43, 3.41.
3.40(2). $3.39(2)$,
3.38.3.36(2), 3.32.
3.31(5), 3.26(2).
3.25(5), 3.23(5).

322(2).3.21, 3.20.
3.19.3.17.3.66(2).
3.15.3.14.3.13.
3.12(4). 3./1, 3.10. 3.08(3), 3.07 .

| CIII. Ne, |  | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qty | Werght |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * $\div 1018$ |  | - $/ 1 . \mathrm{av}$ | BI | - | - | 1 | 3.19 |
| ${ }^{*} 1019$ | 4. Galley to right, with mast and ram | $\cdots / / \mathrm{al}$ | DI | - | 55 | 1 | 2.59 |
| *1020 |  | . $/ / \mathrm{QL}$ | BI | - | 55 | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.89 .3 .87,3.70 . \\ & 3.66 \cdot 3,45,3.16(2), \\ & 3.12,3,11(2), 3.08, \\ & 3.05,2.94(2), 2.88 . \\ & 2.85,2.78 .2 .76 \\ & 2.69 .266,2.62 \\ & 257.2 .52 .2 .50(3) \end{aligned}$ |
| +1021 | 5 Boat to lett | $\cdots 11 \mathrm{OL}$ | BI | (108) | 55 | 1 | 3.55 |
| + +1022 |  | - //IOL | B1 | (111) | 55 | 1 | 354 |
| * +1023 |  | . $/ 1 / \mathrm{OL}$ | BI | (III) | 55 | 1 | 2.91 |
| + +1024 |  | $\cdots / / \mathrm{al}$ | BI | (111) | 55 | , | 2.76 |
| *1025 | 6. Light craff to right, with mast | $\cdots / / \mathrm{CL}$ | B1 | - | 55 | 1 | 2.89 |
|  | C mint (462) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rev: LAETITIA AVG | $\cdots{ }^{\prime} O$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ia. Galley to leff, no cabin; waves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * 1026 | abv: IMP C AlLECTVS PFI AVG |  | B1 | - | - | 1 | 3.14 |
| +1027 | obv. IMP C Allectivs P AVG |  | Bl | 214 | 124 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16,3.10,3.07 \\ & 3.04,2.78 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{*} 1028$ | obv IMP C ALLECTVS P AVG <br> If Galley to right, no cabin: waves |  | B1 | - | 125 | 2 | $3.10,3.104$ |
| *1029 | obre IMP C ALLECTVS PI FE AVG |  | B1 | - | 127 | 2 | 3.33,3.31 |
| ${ }^{6} 1030$ | obv. IMP C ALLECTVS P FI AVG |  | BI | 212 | - | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.07,3,01,2.99 . \\ & 297,273 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{4} 1031$ | ohv IMP C ALLECTVS PF AVG |  | BI | 210 | 124 | 35 |  |
| *1032 | div. IMP C ALLECTVS P AVG |  | B) | 211 | 125 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,46,3,34,3.23, \\ & 3,21,316,3,12 \\ & 3.07,3.04,3.03(2) \\ & 3.01,2.96,279 \\ & 2,76,273(2), 2.42 \end{aligned}$ |

Cn. No
1033 ofv. IMP ALLECTVS P AVG
2. Galley to right, no cabin: no waves
*1034 obr. IMP CALLECTVS P F AVG
*1035 obs: IMP C ALLECTVS P AVG

* 1036 obv: IMP C ALLECTVS AVG
* 1037 obr. IMP ALLECTVS P AVG

3. Galley to right, with cabin; no waves
*1038 obv. IMP C ALLECTVS AVG
rev. VIRTVS AVG
Galley to left, with cabin; no waves

* 1039 obr. MP C ALECTVS P FEL AVG
* 1040 obv. IMP C ALLECTVS PFI AVG
* 1041 dive IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG

Marks

B1 210

B1 211

125

126

Qy Weigh
1226
8 3.53.3.34, 3.33. 3.24.3.14(2). 3.13(2), 3.10, 2.98. 2.96. 2.93.2.86, 2.83,2.81.2.76. 2.65,2.58

35 3.69.3.43,3.42. 3.40.3.35(2),3.32. 3.30, 3.22, 3.20 3.14, 3.09(2), 3.08. 3.07,3.04, 3.02. 3.01.3.00(2).2.97, 2.93.2.92.2.91, 2.89.2.86.2.85(2). $2.84,2.81,2.80$. $2.79 .2 .74,2.72,2.62$
$183.71,3.45,3.32$ 3.28, 3.18.3.14, $3.06,3.05,2.94(2)$, 291.2.88(2), 2.74, 2.73,2.64, 2.61, 2.51

1 2.60
3.20
$-1 / a c$

Bl - - $23.21,2.97$
$\mathrm{Bl}-\quad$ - $\quad 23.24 .3 .02$
Bl $\quad 215 \quad 128 \quad 206 \quad 3.92,3.63,359$ 3.52.3.51.350(2).
3.45, 3.43.3.42.
3.40,3.39, 3.37,
3.36, 3.34, 3.34(2).

330,3,29, 3.28 .
3.27(3), 3.26
3.26(2), 3.25(2),
324. 3.24.3.23(2),

322(4). 3.20.3.19.
3.19(2), 3.18, 3.17.
3.16(3). 3.15.
3.15(2), 3.14.
3.14(5), 3.13,
3.13(2), 3.12(3).
3.11,3.10, 3.10(3).
$3.09(2), 3.08$.
308(5), 3:07
3.07(2), 3.06(2),
3.05(4), 304.
$3.04(6), 303(2)$
303(3). $3.01(2)$
$3.00(2), 2.99(2)$
2.99(5). 2.98(3)
2.97(2), 2.96(2)
2.95(5). 2.94(2),
2.93.2.92(2).2.91.
2.91(5), 2.94
$2.90(2), 2.89,2.88$
(2). 2.87(5). 2.86(4).
$2.85(2) .285,2.84$.
2.83.2.81(2).

| Cat. $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | Marks | Bust |  | RIC | Qu | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * +1042 |  | BI | 219 | - |  | 2.79 |
| ${ }^{*} 1043$ whr IMP C ALLECTVSP AVG |  | BI | 216 | - | 86 |  |
| **1044 |  | BI | - | - | I | 2.41 |
| * +1045 |  | BI | - | - | 1 | 2.80 |
| + +11246 |  | Bi | - | - | 1 | 2.76 |
| *1047 nor: IMP C Allectvs avg |  | BI | 217 | 129 | 29 |  |
| * 1048 |  | B1 | - | - |  | 3.42.2.89 |

## E: COUNTERFEITS \& MISCELLANEOUS

Counterfeits (II)
Gordian Iti'
(d): IMP GORDIANVS PIVS FEL AVG

* 1049 VICTORIA AVGG

Victory I
D2
(RIC)
'Claudins Il'
obv: IMP C CLAVDIVS AVG

* $\% 1050$ Blundered; Pax type?

D2?
2.17


## Notes to caralogre

| 11 | Reverse proper to Valerian I | 248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | $Z$ reversed | 250 |
| 77 | Reversed epsilon. I. field | 265/2 |
| 85 | $X$ in r. field, not I . |  |
| 88 | Obverse puncuated | 266 |
| 97 | Two pellets below busi on obv. | 267/2-3 |
| 98 | One pellet below bust, obv. | 268 |
| 119 | Globe to left, by Aesculapius's right foor | 288 |
| 130 | Dies XVIL/9, XXIX/36 and XXXJIL/36 | 297 |
| 138 | $\=$ branch symbol | 314 |
| 139 | ${ }^{\prime}=$ leaf symbol | 315 |
| 140 | I $=$ branch symbol | 328 |
| 141 | ${ }^{2}=$ leaf symbol | 332 |
| 142 | Obverse. bust of Marius | 334 |
| 180 | Z reversed | 335 |
| 196 | No sceptre | 344 |
| 201 | Same dies as Estiot 1777 | 357-8 |
| 208 | No sceptre | 364 |
| 212 | Obv. die link 212/3 $=213 / 3$ | 372 |
| 245 | Style appears to be Ticinum; cf. La Venèra 5808 | 415 |
|  | and BnF 659 , both different dies, atributed to | 419 |
|  | Ticinum by Estios | 420 |


| 421 | Engraver $\beta$ | 611 | Misprint in R/C: obv. 8 for 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 422 | Engraver $\beta$ | 612 | Misprint in RIC: $\$$ for 5 |
| 423 | 'Hybrid' rev, marks? | (a) 4 | Officina vatant of 184 |
| 425 | Same dies as E. 2404 | 615 | Offieina variant of 201 |
| 427 | Different rev die from B. 128 | 628 | Misprint in $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C}^{\text {? }}$ |
| 443 | Engraver $\beta$ | 644 | Reverse legend variant |
| $1+4$ | Engraver $\beta$ ? | 645 | Bust variant |
| 44.5 | Engraver $\beta$ | 651/3 | One will 21 mm dies |
| 4.6 | Engraver $\beta$ | 6522 1 | 19 mmorev die |
| 16.4 | Mule with reverse of [ssue 2 | 654 | 19 mon rev. die |
| 473 | Obv, type as B 206, new dic? Sane rev die as B: Sup II, 202a | 658 | 21 mm dies: the form of bust ( $\mathrm{B} \mid$ with aegis) suggests that this may belong with the 'special |
| 47.4 | Sirme aby, die as B. $2180-\mathrm{d}$ |  | busi coins of 1ssue 3 |
| 475 | New obve dic? | 667 | 22 mm dies |
| 476 | Same obv. die as B.257:-b? | 669 | 22 mm dies? |
| 477 | Same dies as B.241a and c | 672 | Shield with geometric decoration |
| 478 | New ohv. dies? | 676 | Nude bust: spear, aegis: helmet |
| 479 | Same dies as B.210a | (880) | Shiedd: emperor riding t., leadng troupy |
| $480 / 1$ | Same dies as B.211c | 689 | Bust and lettering place this here, not in issue ? |
| $480 / 2$ | Same obv. die as B.2Ild-e | 700 | Cf RIC 376. bust variant |
| 481 | New obv, die? | 701 | Shield: troops |
| 482 | Same obv die as B.235a-c (FIDES MILITVM): | 702 | Shicld: troops |
|  | same dies as Ste-Pillaye hoard no, 2294 (now B. | 724 | I in r. liekd. nax I. |
|  | Sup.11, 244 ) $^{\text {a }}$ | 725 | 1 in r tield, not 1. |
| 483 | New obve dic? Formi of curass is as lssuc 6 | 727 | I in r. lield , nor I |
| 484 | Bust dr \& cuir from rear (corrects Bastien): | 740 | I in I ficid |
|  | same obv. dic as B.243 | 763 | Variant mark |
| 486 | Same dies as B 267C | 764 | Cl RIC 679 but caduceus |
| 490 | Same obv. dic as B,285a | 76.7 | Radiate. cuir bust I.. whth pugzo held ins, hand |
| 494 | 14 and 118 from same obverse die: noke arrange- | 768 | Bust variant for R/C 609 |
|  | ment of 'wieath ties', Bastien 269a and c | 787 | With aegis |
|  | illustrates two further dies of this nature. unusual | 788 | - S $/ /$ XXI not in R $/ C$ |
|  | for Lyon | 799 | Bust vatiety |
| 500 | /24: obv unpunctuated ' $=$ B, 299 ? | 800 | Obv legend variant in Aufbau |
| 501 | Obv. punctuated: same dic as B 300 and Stc- | 807 | Punctuated ohv: legend: correets B.455bis |
|  | Pillaye no 3017 | 808 | New obv. die? |
| 503 | Same rev, die as B.270b? | 815 | Reversed D in r. field |
| 504 | A. same dies as B.275b: 12 same obv die as | 868 | Same dies as G. $1+77$ |
|  | B. 275 b ? | 880 | Reversed C in r. field |
| 506 | Same rev die as B 301 and 311-12 | 881 | Engraver's error |
| 516 | Three from same obv die | 886 | Reversed C in l. field |
| 522 | B reversed in r. lield; same rev, die as 13,400-1 | 892 | Reversed C in 1. field |
| 525 | Creversed in I. field | Y(0) | Legend of issue 2/ype of issue 3 |
| 527 | $C$ reversed in r. field | 921 | Bust variant for officina |
| 538 | Creversed in 1. field | 933 | Obv. engraver's error and variant bust/legend |
| 534 | Creversed in I. held |  | combination |
| 540 | Same dies as B.408? | 946 | Same obv. dic as B 369d? |
| 542 | Two from same obv. die | 954 | Diocletian holds short seeptre |
| 547 | D reversed in r field | 955 | Diocletian holds eagle-headed seeptre |
| 550 | Same rev, die as B. 389 a | 966 | Mars ra not |
| 551 | (RIC: Siscia) | 975 | Same dies as G. 5944 |
| 552 | (R/C: Siscia) | 976 | Same rev die as C. 6283 |
| 553 | (RIC: Sisclia) | 985 | Overstruck on Gallienus. Rome issue 6 |
| 554 | (RIC: Siscia) | 987 | Overstruck on Tacitus. Lyon, B. 121 |
| 558 | (R/C: Siscia) | 991 | Rev. legend variant |
| 559 | (R/C: Sisctia) | 992 | Reve as RIC 413; obv variant |
| 500 | $R / C$ gives off [ in error | 994 | Rev, as RTC 412; obv variant |
| 561 | (RIC: Siscla) | 998 | New rev. type for series |
| 567 | Bust variant of 243 | 1000 | C. 27 cites RIC 38 in error |
| 570) | Medusa head on shaeld | 1002 | Style is London |
| 574 | Variant of 190 | 1003 | Same dies as specimen in Langtoft A hoard |
| 590 | Pellet in crescent |  | (Tteasure Anhual Report 2000, Fig. 255, 7) |
| 594 | Misprint in R/C: obv, 8 for 4 | 1005 | Sarme obv. die as Carson 2\%) C. triple portrait |
| 60.5 | Die llaw? |  | series. 7: same rev type but different die |
| 610 | RIC gives oft $A$ : misprint? | 1007 | Variaut obv. Iegend for type |


| 1008 | Variant tev. legend | 1040 | Obv. legend new for Virtus type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1010 | Galley with ram | 1042 | Victory on prow |
| 1011 | With ram; amned crew | 1044 | Bird orr mastlead |
| 1012 | No ram; obv. 20 mm die | 1045 | Animal head prow? |
| 1013 | No ram, amned crew | 1046 | Victory on prow |
| 1016 | VITRVS AVG | 1048 | Ram-headed prow? |
| 1018 | Variant mark | 1049 | Rev. proper to Valerian I: cast? |
| 1021 | Rowing boat. hide? Twin steering oars: crew | 1050 | Silvered |
|  | armed | 1052 | Silvered |
| 1022 | Hide? Mast, win steering oars: ciew armed; no | 1053 | Silvered |
|  | waves | 1054 | Silvered |
| 1023 | Hide? Mast, crew with shields | 1055 | Silvered |
| 1024 | Hide? Mast, twin steering oars: shields | 1056 | Silvered |
| 1039 | Unreconded obv. legend? | 1057 | Same dies as E.1H17: silvered |

## APPENDIX B: Q-RADIATES OF ALLECTUS

The following table provides the classification of 749 Q-radiates of Allectus, used as the basis of pp. 62-80 of this paper. Weights are given in grams, followed by the letter ' $u$ ' if the coin remains uncleaned, ot by ' $c$ ' if the specimen is significantly affected by corrosion.

Cas. NMW Obv ype Bust Revope Oars Cres Burnell RIC Weigh(g) Die limss Notes
ALLECTUS: Q-radiates
London
Oby. IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG
Rev: VIRTVS AVG:--//OL
I. Gallicy to left, no mast

| 1009 | *3003 | B | B1 | L- | 7 | 4 | 108 | 55 | 2.82 |  | waves. blobs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * 3004 | C | B1 | L- | 5 | 4 | 108 | 55 | 2.71 |  |  |
| 2. Galley 10 right, no mast: waves blobs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1010 | $\times 3005$ | C | BI | R . | 6 | 5 | 110 | 55 | 2.65 | $\begin{aligned} & =3008 \\ & =3007 \end{aligned}$ | galley with ram with ram |
|  | 3006 | D | Bl | R. | 6 | 4 | 110 | 55 | 2.65 c |  |  |
| 1011 | 3007 | D | BI | R- | 8 | 5 | 110 | 55 | 2.68 |  | with ram: amped crew |
|  | 3008 | D | BI | R - | 8 | 5 | 110 | 55 | 2.27 |  |  |
| 101? | *3009 | B | BI | R. | 7 | 7 | 110 | 55 | 2.97 |  | no ram: obv. 20 mer die no ram: armed cress |
| 1013 | *3010 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | BI | R- | 6 | 6 | 110 | 55 | 2.70 |  |  |
|  | 3. Galley to teft, with mass and ram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1014 | $\times 3011$ | A | D1 | (19) | 5 | 5 | 112 | 55 | 3.12 | 03012 | waves. blobs <br> later state of obv. die |
|  | $\times 3012$ | A | DI | 1 | 9 | 5 | 112 | 55 | 2.56 | 93011 |  |
|  | 3013 | A | DI | 1 | 9 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 2.85 c | 03014 |  |
|  | 3014 | A | DI | 1 | 7 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.09 | 0.3013 |  |
|  | *3015 | A | DI | 1 | 6 | 6 | 112 | 55 | 3.07 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{3} 3016$ | A | D1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 112 | 55 | 3.25 |  |  |
|  | *3017 | A | D1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 112 | 55 | 2.96 | r3049 |  |
|  | * 3018 | A | Di | (3b) | 5 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.43 | 03019 |  |
|  | . 3019 | A | D1 | (3b) | 6 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.05 | 03018 |  |
|  | 3020 | A | D) | (3b) | 5 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.10 |  |  |
|  | 3021 | A | D. | (3b) | 5 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.40 |  |  |
|  | 3022 | AC | D1 | 3b | 6 | 5 | 112 | 55 | 3.35 | $=3023$ |  |
|  | *. 3023 | A/C | DI | 36 | 6 | 5 | 112 | 55 | 2.70 | $=3022$ |  |
|  | 3024 | A | DI | 3 b | 6 | 6 | 112 | 55 | 2.75 |  |  |
|  | *3025 | $A / C$ | DI | 36 | 6 | 5 | 112 | 55 | 2.81 |  | (beses) |
|  | *3026 | A | DI | 3 c | 5 | 3 | 112 | 55 | 3.23 | r3256 |  |
|  | 3027 | B | D. | (I) | 5 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 2.88 | 03028.9 | 17 mm dies |
|  | 3028 | B | D! | (1) | 5 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 2.70 | B30127,29 | 17 mmobv de |
|  | * 3029 | B | D1 | (3b/c? | 6 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 3.18 | 93027-8 | 17 mmobr dic: rev. decoraced Hem |
|  | *3030 | B | DI | 216? | 5 | 0 | 112 | 55 | 3.15 | 0303/2 | reva version of 2 ? |


| Crit. | NMW | Obr trpe | Buss | Revorye | Oms | Crew | Burnerl | RIC | Weight (g) | Die' limks | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -3031 | B | DI | 3 c | 6 | 4. | 112 | 55 | 289 | 23030.12 |  |
|  | -3032 | B | DI | 3 | 6 | 4 | 112 | 55 | 2.97 | c.030-1 | 3c var: doubled stays, four waves |
|  | -3033 | $C^{\prime}$ | DI | 1 | 8 | 4 | 112 | 35 | 281 |  | 17 mm obve die |
|  | 33034 | $C^{\prime}$ | DI | 3 c | 5 | 6 | 112 | 55 | 317 |  | 17 mmiobv die |
| 1015 | ${ }^{+} 3035$ | $A$ | D2 | (1) | 4 | 4 | 113 | 55 | 260 | $=3036$ |  |
|  | 3036 | A | D2 | (1) | 4 | ; | 113 | 55 | 322 c | $=30135$ |  |
|  | -3037 | A | D2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 113 | 55 | 3.52 | 03088.76 |  |
|  | 30.38 | A | D2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | It3 | 55 | 2.49 | a.3037.46 |  |
|  | 3039 | A | D2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 113 | 55 | 3.02 |  |  |
|  | -3040 | A | [2 | (3) 30 ) | 4 | 3 | 113 | 55 | 3.86 |  |  |
|  | 3041 | A | D2 | (2) | 5 | 4 | 113 | 55 | 2.39 c |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{3} 3142$ | A | D2 | (3a) | 4 | 4 | 113 | 55 | 2.73 |  | Oars doubled |
|  | 3045 | A | D2 | 7a | 5 | 0 | 113 | 55 | 2.57 |  |  |
|  | -3044 | A | [2 | 36 | 7 | 4 | 113 | 55 | 2.70 |  |  |
|  | 3045 | A | D2 | 3b | 5 | 5 | 113 | 55 | 302u |  |  |
|  | 3047 | B | D2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 11.3 | 55 | 2.89 |  |  |
|  | . 3048 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | D2 | 3 c | 6 | 5 | 113 | 55 | 2.63 | $33049$ |  |
|  | -3049 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | [2] | 2 | 7 | 0 | 113 | 55 | 3.58 | $03048: \text { r } 3017$ |  |
|  | 43050 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | D2 | (u) | 4 | 4? | 113 | 55 | 3.46 |  | curved badk prow of. 3/23: 3125-6.1262 (QV) |
| 1016 | - 3040 | A | D2 | 3h | 4 | 4 | 113 | 55 | 3.13 | 0.3037.8 |  |
| 1017 | *305) | A | BI | (1) | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.04 | $\square 3006$ |  |
|  | , 3052 | A | B1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 111 | 35 | 3.41 c |  |  |
|  | 3053 | A | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 320 |  |  |
|  | 3054 | $A C$ | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | [1] | 55 | 2.99 |  | (beard) |
|  | 3055 | A | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 35 | 292 |  |  |
|  | 3066 | A | B) | ? | 7 | 0 | III | 55 | 2.86 | ${ }^{13062}$ |  |
|  | *3057 | A | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.75 | 03064 |  |
|  | 3058 | A | B1 | 3 a | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.89 |  |  |
|  | 3059 | A | BI | 33 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 246 |  |  |
|  | 3060 | A | BI | 36 | 5 | 43 | 111 | 55 | 3.03 |  |  |
|  | 3061 | A | BI | 36 | 5 | 6 | ill | 55 | 2.93 u |  |  |
|  | *3062 | A | B1 | 3b | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.67 | 03056 |  |
|  | 3063 | A | B1 | 36 | 6 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.67 c |  |  |
|  | -3064 | A | B1 | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.62 | 03057 |  |
|  | -3065 | A | B1 | 3b | 5 | 5 | III | 55 | 2.39 |  |  |
|  | *3060 | A | BI | (3c) | 5 | 0 | III | 55 | 2.82 | 0.3054 |  |
|  | 83067 | A | B1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | III | 55 | 275 |  | rev 3e but piain stert |
|  | ${ }^{-3} 3068$ | 8 | B1 | (3) | 5 | 0) | 111 | 55 | 3.25 |  |  |
|  | 3069 | B | B1 | (1) | ? | 6 ? | 111 | 55 | 2950 |  |  |
|  | -3070 | B. | B1 | (1) | $?$ | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2,99 |  |  |
|  | 3071 | B | BI | (1) | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.84 | (3095 |  |
|  | 3072 | B | BI | (I) | 4 | ? | III | 55 | 2.82 |  |  |
|  | 3073 | B | B1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.98 |  |  |
|  | 3074 | sec after 3 | 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 83075 | B | BI | 1 | 5 | D | 111 | 55 | 311 |  | 20 mm ebre die |
|  | 3076 | B | BI | 1 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 303 |  |  |
|  | 3077 | B | BI | ! | 8 | 3 | 111 | 55 | 2.9 hu |  |  |
|  | 3078 | B | BI | 1 | 7 | 0 | III | 55 | 283 |  |  |
|  | 3079 | B | BI | 1 | 9 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 275 |  |  |
|  | 3080 | B | B1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 269 |  |  |
|  | 3081 | B | BI | 1 | 7 | 4 ? | 111 | 55 | 2.66 u |  |  |
|  | 3082 | B | BI | (2) | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 285 |  |  |
|  | - 5083 | $\mathrm{Br} C$ | BI | 2 | 8 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 4.48 |  | (beard) |
|  | 3084 | B | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | (11) | 55 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | -3085 | A | BI | 2 | 6 | 5 | III | 55 | 3.46 | $=3086$ |  |
|  | 3086 | 8 | BI | 2 | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.23 | $=3085$ |  |
|  | 3087 | $B$ | BI | 2 | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 131 |  |  |
|  | 1088 | B | BI | 2 | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.91 |  |  |
|  | 3089 | B | BI | 2 | 6 | 4 | III | 55 | 267 |  |  |
|  | *3000 | B | BI | 2 | 7 | 4 | III | 55 | 260 | a3317 |  |

Car.

| 3091 | B | Bl | 2 | 7 | ? | 111 | 55 | 250 c |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3092 | B | B) | 2 | 6 | 0 | 11) | 55 | 2.30 |  |  |
| 3093 | B | B. | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.09 |  |  |
| 3094 | B | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.08 |  |  |
| *3095 | B | BI | (3a) | 6 | 0 | Ifi | 55 | 3.07 | 0.0071 | oars doubled |
| *3096 | B | BI | (3a) | 6 | 4 | 11) | 55 | 2.79 |  | but single waves |
| 3097 | B | B) | 3 a | 7 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 3.26 |  |  |
| 3098 | B | BI | 32 | 8 | 4? | III | 55 | 3.03 |  |  |
| 3099 | B | BI | 3 a | 7 | 4 ? | III | 55 | 2.99 |  |  |
| \%3100 | B | BI | 3 a | 6 | 6 | \11 | 55 | 2.95 |  | note beard al neck |
| 3101 | B | BI | 3 a | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.81 |  |  |
| 3102 | B | B1 | 3 b | 5 | ? | 111 | 55 | 302 |  |  |
| *310. | B | BI | 3 b | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.36 | 03107 |  |
| 3104 | B | Bl | 3 b | 5 | 4 ? | 111 | 55 | 3.08 |  |  |
| 3105 | B | BI | 36 | 5 | 7 | 111 | 55 | 3.03 |  | oars doubled |
| *3106 | B | Bl | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.98 |  | no waves |
| *3107 | B | BI | 3b | 5 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.92 | 03103 |  |
| 3108 | B | BI | 3b | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.85 |  |  |
| 3109 | B | BI | 3 b | 5 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.79 |  |  |
| $3 H 0$ | $B^{\prime}$ | BI | 36 | 5 | $6 ?$ | 111 | 55 | 2.69 |  |  |
| *3/II | B | BI | (3c) | 5 | ? | 11) | 55 | 2.94 |  | (3c), but single waves |
| $3 / \mathrm{L}$ | B | BI | (3c) | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.91 |  | ( 3 ab ): slays doubled, single waves |
| *3/13 | B | BI | (3c) | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.64 | r3243 | stays doubled: waves, blobs |
| 3114 | B | BI | 3 c | 5 | 6 ? | 111 | 55 | 3.38 | r3118 |  |
| 3115 | B | BI | 3 c | 5 | 4 ? | III | 55 | 3.23 |  |  |
| 3116 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | BI | 3 c | 5 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.03 |  |  |
| 3177 | B | BI | 3 c | 7 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.86 | 03090 | oars doubled |
| 3118 | B | BI | 3 c | 5 | 6 ? | II] | 55 | 2.19 | 13H4 |  |
| *3119 | B | BI | 3 c | 6 | (3) | II] | 55 | 2.69 | =3/20 | oas doubled: mo stecnng our |
| $3 / 20$ | B | BI | is | 6 | 3 ? | 111 | 55 | 299 c | $=3179$ | oas doubled: no steering oar |
| *312.3 | B | B1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | III | 55 | 325 |  | 3c, but curved back prow |
| 3124 | B | B1 | 36 | 5 | 5 | III | 55 | 2.79 |  |  |
| 3121 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | B1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | III | 55 | 2350 | = 3122:0,074 | stays sipled |
| *3122 | B' | B1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | IJI | 55 | 2.49 | $=3121: 03074$ | stays lcipled |
| 3074 | $B^{\prime}$ | B1 | 1 | \$ | 4 | I31 | 55 | 355 u | o3l2l-2 |  |
| 3128 | $B^{\prime}$ | B1 | 3b | 7 | 4 ? | III | 55 | 4.07 |  |  |
| *3125 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | B1 | (u) | 4 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.89 | 03126 | curwed back prow |
| *3120 | $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ | Bl | (u) | 4 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 331 | 03125 | curwed back prow |
| 3127 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | III | 55 | 269 |  |  |
| *3129 | $\mathrm{B}^{\text {n }}$ | BI | 3 a | 7 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.79 | 03130.31 | crew fonvard of mast |
| 3130 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | BI | 3a | 6 | 3 | 111 | 55 | 2.87 | 03129.31 | creve fonvard of mast |
| 3131 | $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ | B1 | 3 a | 6 | 4? | 111 | 55 | 3.06 | a1129-30 |  |
| 3/32 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | BI | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.81 |  |  |
| *3133 | B ${ }^{\prime}$ | Bi | 3b | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.31 | r.3158 |  |
| 83134 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ ? | BI | 2 | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.96 u |  | decorated cuirass: rev. furled sails: |
| 3135 | $B^{\prime}$ ' | B1 | 3ab? | 8 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.13 u |  |  |
| *3136 | C | B1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 131 | 55 | 3.19 | =3137 | hair sideways, oars doubled |
| 3137 | C | B1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 131 | 55 | 2.76 | $=31.36$ | hair sideways: Dars doubled |
| 31.38 | C | B1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.40 |  | oars doubled |
| 3139 | C | BI | 1 | S | 0 | 111 | 35 | 3.16 |  | oars doubled |
| 3140 | C | B! | ! | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.48 |  |  |
| 3141 | C | BI | 1 | 7 | 0 | III | 55 | 2.76 | $=3142$ | oars doubled |
| 3142 | C | B1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.678 | =314 | oars doubled |
| 3143 | C | B1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.84 c |  | vars doubled |
| * 3144 | C | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.77 |  | furled sails? |
| 3145 | C | B1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | I] 1 | 55 | 358 |  |  |
| 3146 | C | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.54 c |  |  |
| 3147 | $c$ | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 131 | 55 | 3.43 | $=3154$ |  |
| 3148 | C | B1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 131 | 55 | 3.25 |  |  |
| *3149 | C | B1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 131 | 55 | 3.23 |  |  |
| 3150 | C | BI | 2 | 5 | 0 | (1] | 55 | 3.14 |  |  |


| (ai. NMIS | Obstipe | Bust | Revtupe | Oars | Cres | Burnern | $R C$ | Weight (\%) | DIE ituks | Netres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3151 | C | B1 | 2 | \% | ? | [11 | 55 | 5.106 |  |  |
| 3152 | C | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.08 |  |  |
| 3154 | C | B1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.95 | $=3147$ |  |
| 3155 | c | 81 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 243 |  | cres amed |
| 31.56 | $C$ | BI | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.36 |  |  |
| .1153 | C | BI | 3 b | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 30010 |  |  |
| 3157 | $C$ | BI | . 3 b | 5 | 5 | 11. | 55 | 3.25 |  |  |
| *3158 | c | B1 | 36 | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 302 | n3167:r333 |  |
| 3159 | C | B1 | 3 b | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.74 |  |  |
| 3160 | c | B) | 36 | S | 0 | 111 | 55 | 274 |  |  |
| 3161 | C | B1 | 3 b | 5 | $\because$ | 111 | 55 | 2745 | $=3165$ |  |
| 3162 | C | B) | 3 b | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.7 |  |  |
| 3108 | C | B1 | 36 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 262 |  |  |
| 3164 | C | BI | 36 | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.56 c |  |  |
| 3165 | C | BI | 3b | 5 | ? | 111 | 55 | 246 c | $=3161$ |  |
| 3100 | c | B) | 36 | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 236 |  |  |
| *3167 | C | BI | 3 c | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.63 | 13.158 |  |
| * 2168 | C | BI | 3 c | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 323 | $=1169$ |  |
| 3169 | C | BI | 3 c | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.87 | $=3168$ |  |
| 83/70 | C | BI | 3 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.93 | $=3171 \cdot r 3259$ | 3kechy lentering. rel. |
| 3171 | c | B1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.75 | $=3170 ; r 3259$ | sketchy lettering tev, |
| 3172 | C | BI | 3 | 5 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.79 |  |  |
| *3173 | C | BI | (4) | 7 | 3 | 111 | 55 | 3.62 |  | galley similar to L-bur with mast: waves.blobs |
| 43174 | C | 81 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.43 |  |  |
| 3175 | C' | B1 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 3.26 | $=3176$ |  |
| 3176 | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | BI | 2 | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.51 | $=3175$ |  |
| $317 \%$ | $C^{\prime}$ | BI | 2 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.99 | 03185 | 17 momobv die |
| 3)78 | (') | B1 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.88 | 133179 |  |
| 3179 | $C^{\prime}$ | BI | 36 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.65 | $\omega^{31778}$ |  |
| 3180 | C | BI | 3 b | 4 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 331 | $=3181$ |  |
| 3181 | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | BI | 3b | 4 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.79 c | $=3180$ |  |
| 31.82 | C' | BI | 3 b c | 4 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.83 |  | 17 mm obr die |
| 3183 | C | B1 | 3 c | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.40 | $=3184$ | 17 mmu obe dic |
| 43184 | $C^{\prime}$ | Bt | 3 c | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.80 | $=3183$ | 17 mmo obv die |
| 3185 | C' | BI | 3 c | 6 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.72 | 03177 | 17 mm mbor die |
| 3186 | C' | BI | 3 | 4 | 5? | 111 | 55 | 2.69 |  |  |
| 3187 | $C^{\prime}$ | BI | 3 c | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.54 |  | 17 mm obvide |
| 31884 | see befor | 3218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3189 | D | B1 | (1) | 5 | $?$ | III | 55 | 280 c | n3229 | oars doubled |
| 31919 | see before | 3230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7197 | D | B1 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.926 | $=3192.03211 .20$ |  |
| 2392 | D | BI | 1 | 9 | 5 | Iil | 55 | 2.34 | $=3197 . a 3211.20$ |  |
| 319.3 | D | BI | 1 | 9 | 0 | III | 55 | 304 |  |  |
| 319.4 | D | B1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | III | 55 | 2.30 |  |  |
| 3745 | D | B1 | 1 | 9 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.87 |  |  |
| 3196 | D | BI | 1 | 9 | 0 | III | 55 | 2.49 |  |  |
| 3147 | 1) | Bi | 1 | 8 | 4 | III | 55 | 3.25 | $=3,98$ | crew armed |
| *1/98 | D | Bi | 1 | 8 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.83 | $=3197$ | trew armed |
| 3199 | D | B1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | [II) | . 55 | 3.54 | $=3200.1$ |  |
| 320 | D | Bi | 1 | 8 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.34 | $=3 / 99.3201$ |  |
| 3201 | D | B1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | III | 55 | 2.94 | $=3199.3200$ |  |
| 3202 | D | B] | 1 | 8 | 4 | I11 | 55 | 2.91 |  |  |
| 3203 | D | B1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 I | 55 | 3.17 |  |  |
| 3204 | D | B1 | 1 | R | 0 | III | 55 | 2.33 |  |  |
| 3205 | D | B) | 1 | 7 | 0 | III | 55 | 4.05 | $=3206$ |  |
| 3206 | D | B1 | 1 | 7 | II | I! 1 | 55 | 3.67 | $=3205$ |  |
| 1307 | D | B1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | III | 55 | 3.08 c |  | crew ammed |
| "3208 | D | B1 | 1 | ? | 4 | III | 55 | 283 |  | crew untied |
| . 3209 | D | B1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | III | 55 | 2.64 |  |  |
| 3210 | D | B1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | III | 55 | 3.91 |  |  |
| 3211 | D | B1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 1 H | 55 | 3.15 | n3191-2:3230 |  |
| 1212 | D | B1 | 2 | ? | 4 | [1] | 55 | 2.72 |  |  |


| Car. | NMIV | Obv type | Bust | Rev type | Oars | Crew | Bumen | RLC | Weight (g) | Die finks | Noles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *32/3 | D | BI | 2 | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.82 | 0.1249-50,3260 |  |
|  | *3214 | D | B! | 2 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.46 |  | no waves |
|  | 3215 | D | Bi | 2 | 6 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.22 |  |  |
|  | 3216 | D | BI | 2 | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.92 |  |  |
|  | *3217 | D | BI | 2 | 6 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.88 |  |  |
|  | 3188 | D | B1 | (3a?) | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.82 c |  | oars doubled |
|  | 3218 | D | B1 | 3 a | 7 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 276 | 03219 |  |
|  | 3219 | D | BI | 3 a | 7 | 0 ? | 11] | 55 | 250 c | 03218 |  |
|  | 3220 | D | B1 | 3 a | 7 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 2.42 | 01191-2;3211 |  |
|  | 3221 | see after 3 | 242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3222 | D | BI | 3 a | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | 8.323 | D | B) | 3 a | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.91 | = 3224 |  |
|  | 3224 | D | B) | 3 a | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 273 | $=3223$ |  |
|  | 3225 | D | Bl | 3a | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.80 c |  |  |
|  | 3226 | D | B1 | 3 a | 5 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | 3227 | D | B1 | 3 a | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.83 |  |  |
|  | *325? | D | BI | 3 n | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 283 |  | decorated cuirass |
|  | *328 | D | BI | (3?) | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.21 |  | no waves |
|  | *3229 | D | BI | (3b?) | 4 | 4 | 11.1 | 55 | 3.16 | 03189 | no waves |
|  | 3190 | D | BI | (3b) | 5 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.32 c |  | oars doubled |
|  | 3230 | D | BI | 3 b | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.36 |  |  |
|  | 3231 | D | BI | 36 | 6 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.97 c |  |  |
|  | 3232 | 0 | BI | 3 b | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.96 c |  |  |
|  | 3233 | D | 81 | 3b | 6 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 2.87 |  |  |
|  | . 3234 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 5 ? | 111 | 55 | 2.80 |  |  |
|  | 3235 | D | BI | 3b | 6 | 1 ? | 111 | 55 | 2.64 |  |  |
|  | 3236 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.44 |  |  |
|  | 3237 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.39 |  |  |
|  | 32.38 | D | BI | 3b | 5 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.78 u |  |  |
|  | 3239 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 4 | IH) | 55 | 2.65 |  |  |
|  | 3240 | D | BI | 3b | 5 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.68 |  |  |
|  | 3241 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.6 ? |  |  |
|  | 3242 | D | BI | 3 b | 5 | 0? | 111 | 35 | 2.53 |  |  |
|  | 3221 | D | BI | 3b/c | 6 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.22 |  |  |
|  | *3243 | D | BI | (3) | 6 | 4 | III | 55 | 2.89 | [31] 3 | waves, blobs |
|  | 3244 | D | BI | (3) | 4 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 3.39 | $=1245$ |  |
|  | *3245 | D | BI | (3) | 4 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.78 | $=3244$ |  |
|  | * 21246 | D | BI | (1/30 ? | 4 | 4 | III | 55 | 3.23 |  |  |
|  | 3247 | D | B1 | (3c) | 5 | 4 | II] | 55 | 2.64 |  |  |
|  | -3248 | D | Bi | (3c) | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 2.62 |  |  |
|  | -3249 | D | BI | 3 c | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.85 | =3250,032/3,3260 |  |
|  | 3250 | D | BI | 3 c | 5 | 5 | 111 | 55 | 3.11 u | $=3249,03213,3260$ |  |
|  | 3251 | D | B1 | $x$ | 6 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.91 |  | no stcering oar |
|  | 3252 | see alter 3 | 227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3253 | D | B. | 3 | 6 | 3 | II] | 55 | 2.47 |  |  |
|  | 3254 | D | B1 | 3 c | 6 | 4 | II] | 55 | 2.44 |  |  |
|  | . 3255 | D | B1 | 3 c | 5 | 6 | 111 | 55 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | 3256 | D | B1 | 3 c | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 306 | r3026 |  |
|  | 3257 | D | B1 | 3 c | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 3558 | D | B1 | ic | 5 | 4 | 111 | 55 | 2.74 |  |  |
|  | 3259 | D | BI | 3 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 55 | 3.32 | r3170.71 |  |
|  | *3260 | D | BI | 3 | 4 | 5 | III | 55 | 3.12 | 03249.50,3213 |  |
|  | 3201 | D | B1 | 3 | 4 | ? | 111 | 55 | 2.63 u |  |  |
| 1018 | *3262 | D | B1 | (L) | 4 | 5 | - | - | 3.19 |  | watiant with mark / $/ \mathrm{OV}$ : no waves |
| 4. Galley to right, with mast and ram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1019 | \$326. | A | D1 | R | 6 | 6 | - | 55 | 2.59 |  |  |
| 1020 | 3264 | B | B] | R | 7 | 7 | - | 55 | 2.88 |  |  |
|  | * 3265 | B | BI | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | $\underline{2.94}$ |  |  |
|  | -3260 | B | Bi | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | 2.85 | =3267 |  |


| Cit. | NMH' | Obr nope | Bist | Reinper | Oars | Cry | Burnelt | RIC | Weight (g) | Die links |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3267 | B | BI | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | 2.57 c | $=3266$ |
|  | 3268 | B | B1 | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | 2.66 |  |
|  | 3269 | $B^{\prime}$ | BI | R | 6 | 5 | - | 55 | 3.45 c | $=3270$ |
|  | -3270 | $\mathrm{B}^{-}$ | BI | R | 6 | 5 | - | 55 | 305 | $=3269$ |
|  | 327) | B' | B1 | R | 8 | 6) | - | 55 | 3.11 |  |
|  | 3172 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ | Bl | R | 7 | 5 | - | 55 | 3.70 |  |
|  | 3278 | $B^{\prime \prime}$ | BI | R | 5 | 5 | - | 55 | 3.16 |  |
|  | .3274 | C | BI | R | 7 | 7 | - | 55 | 3.11 |  |
|  | 3275 | C | BI | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | 3.87 |  |
|  | 3276 | C | BI | R | 7 | $6{ }^{3}$ | - | 55 | 2.69 |  |
|  | 43777 | C | BI | R | 7 | 6 | - | 55 | 250 | 03278.79 |
|  | 5 3278 | C | BI | R | 6 | 5 | - | 55 | 2,62 | 0.1277.74 |
|  | 3279 | C | BI | R | 6 | ? | - | 55 | 250 C | (1)3277.78 |
|  | $32 \mathrm{y})$ | C | B1 | k | 6 | 6 | - | 55 | 3.89 |  |
|  | * 3281 | C | Bl | R | 6 | 5 | - | 55 | 3.08 |  |
|  | 328? | C | BI | R | 0 | 6 | - | 55 | 250 |  |
|  | 3283 | C | B1 | R | 5 | 0 | - | 55 | 2.78 |  |
|  | 3284 | C' | BI | R | 7 | 5 | - | 55 | 294 |  |
|  | $32 \times 5$ | D | B1 | R | 7 | $4{ }^{4}$ | - | 55 | 3.11 |  |
|  | 3286 | D | Bl | R | 7 | 5 | - | 55 | . 3.66 | 0.1287 |
|  | 3287 | D | BI | R | 6 | 5 | - | 55 | 3.16 | a2286 |
|  | 3288 | D | BI | R | 6 | ? | - | 55 | 251 c |  |
|  | 3289 | D | BI | $R$ | 6 | 6 | - | 55 | 276 c |  |
| 5a. Boat to left, no mast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1021 | *3290 | C | BI | L' | 5 | 5 | (108) | 55 | 355 |  |
| St Boal to left, mast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1022 | *3291 | D? | B1 | L' | 5 | 6 | (11]) | 55 | 354 |  |
| 1023 | -3292 | D | Bl | $L^{\prime}$ | 5 | 5 | (111) | 55 | 2.92 |  |
| 1024 | 4297 | D | BI | L' | 5 | 5 | (11) | 35 | 2.760 |  |
| 6. Light craft tonght. with mas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1025 | 3324 | B'? | BI | $\mathrm{R}^{+}$ | 6 | 5 | $-$ | 55 | 289 |  |

Nores
waves blobs
waves. blobs
waves. blobs
rowing boal. hude?. Iwin stecring oark crew armed
hide?. Iwin steering oars: crew armed, ho waves hide?, crew wath shields hide?.: twin steering ears: shields
oars doubled; lugh-xhouldered bust
oars doubled oan doubied, beard on neck oars doubted, beard on nech oars doubled, "vesi' pars tweibied; "vest"

Obr: IMP C ALLECTVSPAVG: BI

| 1028 | -3301 | $\beta$ | L | 4 | 0 | - | 125 | 3.10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -3302 | $\delta$ | L | 5 | 4 | - | 125 | 304 |

oars doubled, no pernges oars doubled; tall thin head
oais doubled; culier statc of obv.
wars doubled. fater state of obs

CaI. NMSY
Obv lype
Obr: IMP C ALLECTVS PFI AVG; Bl

1030 |  | $\div 3305$ | $\beta$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\div 3306$ | $\beta$ |
|  | $\div 3307$ | $\gamma$ |
|  | 3308 | $\gamma$ |
|  | $* 3309$ | $\gamma$ |

3
3
$2 a$
$4 a$
$2 a$
5
4
4
5
4

Obv. $\operatorname{IMP} \subset$ ALLECTVS P F AVG; B1
1031
$* 3310$
$* 33 / 1$
$33 / 2$
$* 3313$
3314
$* 33 / 5$

3316
3317
$33 / 9$
$* 3319$
3320
$* 3321$
3322
$* 3323$
3324
$* 3325$
3326
$* 3327$
3329
$* 3329$
$* 3330$
3331
3332
3333
3334
$\alpha$
$\alpha$
$\alpha$
$\alpha$
$\alpha$
$\alpha$
$\beta$

$\beta$
$\beta$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\delta$
$\delta$
$\delta$
$\delta$
$\epsilon$
$\epsilon$
$E$
$1 b$
$2 b$
$4 a$
$5 a$
$5 a$
$1 b$

| 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 4 |
| 8 | 6 |
| $5 ?$ | 4 |
| $5 ?$ | 4 |
| 7 | 4 |


| 210 | 124 | 2.99 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 210 | 124 | 2.93 |
| 210 | 124 | 3.31 |
| 210 | 124 | 3.14 |
| 210 | 124 | 3.00 |
| 210 | 124 | 2.79 |

$$
=3314 ; r 3317
$$

$$
=3313: 13317
$$

03356
13313.14
$\begin{array}{ll}124 & 3.06 \\ 124 & 3.15\end{array}$
$124 \quad 2.76$
$=3.32$
$=3.321$
$\begin{array}{ll}24 & 3.02 \\ 24 & 3.2\end{array}$
3.07

2430
o3320
$24 \quad 3.16$
24
1243
3.12

03329
0332 S
r 3309
0.299-3300
0.3334

03333; 3333
ObV IMP C ALLECTVS P AVG; BI

1032
$* 3335$
$* 3336$
3337
3338
3330
3340
3347
3342
$* 3343$
3344
3345
3346
$* 3347$
$\times 3348$
3349
$* 3350$
3403
$a$
$a$
$\beta$
$\beta$
$\beta$
$\beta$
$\beta$
$\gamma$
$\gamma$
$\beta$
$\epsilon$
$E$
$E$
$E$
$\epsilon$
$\epsilon$
$\epsilon$
$1 a$
$5 b$
$1 a$
3
$2 b$
3
3
$4 a$
$2 b$
3
3
3
3
$5 c$
50
$5 e$
$4 b$
51
50
6
4
211$125 \quad 3$.13337

$$
r 33.4
$$

$$
3.04
$$

$$
r, 3335
$$

$$
125 \quad 3.23
$$

$$
2.73
$$

$$
125 \quad 2.42
$$

$$
25 \quad 2.79
$$

$$
03301
$$

$$
25 \quad 3.12
$$

$$
25 \quad 3.46
$$

$$
25 \quad 334
$$

$$
35 \quad 2.73
$$1253.03

$033+7$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
25 & 3.21 \\
25 & 3.07
\end{array}
$$

$$
03346
$$

$$
25 \quad 2.96
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
25 & 3.03 & =3303 \\
25 & 3.16 \mathrm{u} & =3350
\end{array}
$$

2. Galley to right. no cabin; no waves

Ob: IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG; BI
1034

| $335 /$ | $a$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3352 | $\alpha$ |
| 3353 | $\alpha$ |
| $\times 3354$ | $\beta$ |
| 3355 | $\beta$ |
| $\times 3356$ | $\beta$ |


| 210 | 124 | 3.34 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 210 | 124 | 3.33 |
| 210 | 124 | 2.81 |
| 210 | 124 | 2.96 |
| 210 | 124 | 2.83 |
| 210 | 124 | 3.3 |

3.13
obv limk 10 group Ib: later state

THE ROGIET HOARD

| CHI | NMW | Obs sipe | Rev npe | Oars | Crw | Burnelt | RIC | Werght g ) | Die links |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3357 | $\beta$ | 5 | 8 | 4 | 210 | 127 | 3.14 |  |
|  | 3358 | $y$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 210 | 124 | 2.76 | 03559-60 |
|  | 3359 | $y$ | ; | 5 | 4 | 210 | 124 | 258 | 0.3358 .60 |
|  | 3360 | y | 5 | 7 | 4 | 210 | 124 | 2.97 | 03358.59 |
|  | 3361 | $\gamma$ | 3 | 6 | $t$ | 210 | 124 | 3.14 | $=3.62 .0336 .1-6.5$ |
|  | 3362 | $\gamma$ | 3 | 6 | 4 | 210 | 124 | 265 | $=3301: 132663-65$ |
|  | \$3363 | $\gamma$ | 3 | ¢ | 4 | 210 | 12.4 | 353 | 03361-62:3364.0.5 |
|  | 33 H | $\gamma$ | 5 | 7 | $+$ | 310 | 124 | 3.13 | $=3.365 ; 133361-63$ |
|  | - 3365 | $\gamma$ | 5 | 7 | 4 | 210 | 124 | 3.10 | $=3364 ; 03361.03$ |
|  | 3860 | E | 3 a | 7 | 4 | 210 | 124 | 2864 | $=3.367$ |
|  | 3367 | $\epsilon$ | 2 a | 7 | $+$ | 2111 | 124 | 3.24 | $=3360$ |
|  | 3368 | E | 5 | 8 | 6 | 210 | 124 | 298 |  |

Natis

Onn IMP C ALLECTVS P AVG: BI

| 1035 | *3369 | - | 2 h | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.04 | 03370 13375 | srew armed? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3370 | $\alpha$ | 2 b | 5 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.62 | 83.369 |  |
|  | 3371 | a | 3 b | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.84 |  |  |
|  | 3322 | $\alpha$ | 1 | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 301 | $=3,773$ |  |
|  | 3373 | a | 1 | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.09 | $=3152$ |  |
|  | 3374 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 8 | 5 | 211 | 125 | 3.22 |  |  |
|  | *3375 | a | 63 | 4 ? | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.09 | 03,376 |  |
|  | +3376 | $\alpha$ | 6 b | 5 | 6 ? | 211 | 125 | 285 | 113375 + 3401 |  |
|  | 1337\% | $B$ | 4 | 4 | 5 | 211 | 125 | 3.42 |  |  |
|  | - 1378 | $\beta$ | 2 b | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.91 | 13,399 | crew arned? |
|  | 3374 | $\beta$ | 5 | 7 | 3 | 211 | 125 | 3.00 |  |  |
|  | 3,380 | $\gamma$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 302 | $=3381.03383$ |  |
|  | -3381 | $\gamma$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 330 | $=35800,03,162$ |  |
|  | "3382 | $y$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.69 | 03380.81 |  |
|  | 3383 | $y$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 340 | t,i390.7 |  |
|  | 3304 | $y$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.74 | a3385 |  |
|  | , 3385 | Y | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 279 | $03384$ |  |
|  | 5386 | $y$ | 1 il | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.08 |  | oars doubled |
|  | 3387 | $\gamma$ | 5 | 7 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 272 |  |  |
|  | 53.38 X | $y$ | $6 c$ | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 3.35 |  |  |
|  | 3389 | $\delta$ | 5 | 7 | 5 | 211 | 125 | 3.43 |  |  |
|  | 3390 | ¢ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.93 | $=3391.15383$ |  |
|  | " 3391 | ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.89 | $=3390.1338$ ? |  |
|  | 1342 | f | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.80 |  |  |
|  | 3339 ? | $\epsilon$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.97 |  |  |
|  | 3394 | € | $d$ | 4 | 6 | 211 | 125 | 3.07 |  |  |
|  | 3395 | t | 3 | 5 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.86 |  |  |
|  | 1346 | e | 1 | 8 | ? | 211 | 125 | 335 c |  | Gais doubled |
|  | 3397 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 7 | 6 | 211 | 125 | 3.14 |  | oars doubled |
|  | 3198 | $\epsilon$ | 1 | 7 | 7 | 211 | 125 | 2.85 | 03399 | oars duoubied |
|  | 3399 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 6 | 6 | 211 | 125 | 3.30 | $a^{2398}$ | oars doubled |
|  | $34(0)$ | $\epsilon$ | 5 | 6 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 2.92 |  | oars doubled |
|  | $3+101$ | \& | $6 b$ | 5 | 6 ? | 211 | 125 | $3(0)$ | r3376 |  |
|  | 4340 | ¢ | $6{ }_{6}$ | 4 | 4 | 211 | 125 | 332 |  | oars doubled |

Ob, IMP C ALLECTVS AVG: BI
1036

| 3 H 1 H | $a$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3405 | $\alpha$ |
| - $3+06$ | $\alpha$ |
| -3407 | a |
|  | $\beta$ |
| * 3410 | B |
| $=2+10$ | $B$ |
| * 3111 | $\beta$ |
| $=3+12$ | $\beta$ |
| 3413 | $B$ |
| $3+14$ | $\beta$ |
| 1415 | 8 |


| 3 | 4 | 4 | $=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | - |
| 1 | 7 | 7 | - |
| 3 | 6 | 4 | - |
| $2 a$ | 6 | 7 |  |
| $3 a$ | 4 | 0 | - |
| $2 a$ | 0 | 4 | - |
| $7 a$ | 7 | 4 | - |
| 1 | 7 | 6 | $=$ |
| 1 | 7 | 6 | $=$ |
| 1 | 7 | 6 | - |
| 1 | 6 | 6 | - |


| 126 | $2.88 u$ | 03405 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 126 | $371 u$ | 03404 |
| 126 | 2.73 |  |
| 126 | 3.28 |  |
| 126 | 2.88 | 0.3409 .11 |
| 126 | 2.91 | $0.3408,10-11$ |
| 126 | 3.15 | 0.3408 .9 .17 |
| 126 | 2.64 | 03.340 .10 |
| 126 | 2.94 |  |
| 126 | 3.45 | $=341.4$ |
| 126 | $2.74 u$ | $=341.1$ |
| 126 | 3.18 |  |

cars doubled

4/5 B

| Car. | NMW | Obr ape | Revope | Ofirs | Crew | Burnen | $R / C$ | Weight (g) | Die liuks | Noics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3416 | $\gamma$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | 126 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 3417 | $\gamma$ | 2 a | 5 | 4 | - | 126 | 3.14 u |  |  |
|  | 3418 | $\gamma$ | 5 | 6 | 4 | - | 126 | 3.32 |  |  |
|  | * 3419 | $\delta$ | $2 \pi$ | 6 | 4 | - | 126 | 3.06 |  |  |
|  | $\cdots 3420$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 4 | - | 126 | 2.51 |  |  |
|  | 3421 | $\epsilon$ | 5 | $7 ?$ | 4 | - | 126 | 2.51 c |  |  |
| Obv. IMP ALLECTVS P AVG; B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1037 | *3422 | $\gamma$ | Ge | 4 | 7 | - | - | 2.60 |  | obv. added rivets; no 'rest' |
|  |  | 3. Galley to right, wilh cabin: no waves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Obr IMP C Allectvs avg: B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1038 | *3423 | $\delta$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | - | - | 3.20 |  | rev is Virtus lype 1, but to right |
|  |  | Rey. VIRTVS AVG; - - //ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Galley to left. with cabin; no waves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Obr. IMP C Allectvs P FEL AVG: B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1039 | 3424 | $\delta$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 3.21 | $=3425$ | unrecorded obv legend? |
|  | *3425 | $\delta$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | - | - | 2.97 | $=3424$ | unrecorded obv legend? |
|  |  | Obv. IMP C Allectus Pf I AVG: BI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1040 | * 3426 | $\cdots$ |  | 7 | 5 | - | - | 324 |  | obv. legend new for Vinus typc |
|  | $\times 3427$ | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | - | - | 3.02 |  | obr legend new for Virus lype |
|  |  | Obr. IMP C ALLECTVS P F AVG; 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1041 | * 3428 | $\alpha$ | 1 | 9 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.99 | 03476 |  |
|  | 3429 | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ | 1 | 7 | , | 215 | 128 | 2.60 c | 12342 |  |
|  | $\times 3430$ | $\beta$ | 1 | 9 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.80 | 03455 |  |
|  | * $3+31$ | $\beta$ | 1 | 7 | 5? | 215 | 128 | 2.67 | 035073578 |  |
|  | 3432 | $\delta$ | 1 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 3.12 | r3429 |  |
|  | 3433 | $\delta$ | 1 | 8 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.08 |  |  |
|  | 3434 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 215 | 128 | 2.76 |  |  |
|  | $\times 3435$ | a | 2 | 6 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.89 | 344 |  |
|  | 3436 | $\beta$ | 2 | 8 | 6 ? | 215 | 128 | 2.78 |  | no steering yar |
|  | 34.37 | $\beta$ | 2 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 3.06 |  |  |
|  | 3438 | $\beta$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.98 c |  |  |
|  | 3439 | $\gamma$ | 2 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.5? |  |  |
|  | 3440 | $\delta$ | 2 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.78 u |  |  |
|  | 344 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 | $r 3435$$r 3637$ |  |
|  | *3442 | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 3.00 |  |  |
|  | 2343 | 6 | 2 | 3 | $+$ | 215 | 128 | 3.00 | o. $3444.48 .36 / 4$ : 36640 no sterring onr: earliest state of obs,$\begin{aligned} & =3+45 \cdot+60: \\ & 0.443 .47-48: 3614 \\ & =3444.46 ; \\ & 0.144 .47-48 ; 3614 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 344,4 | ¢ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.85 u |  |  |  |
|  | 3445 | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.90 |  |  |  |
|  | * 3446 | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 257 | $\begin{aligned} & =3: 44+45 ; \\ & 0344.47 .48 ; 3614 \\ & 03443 \cdot 41.48 ; 3614 ; \\ & r 3654.6 \end{aligned}$ | latest cane of obv |
|  | * 3447 | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 6 | 3 | 215 | 128 | 3.27 |  |  |
|  | 3448 | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 0 | ? | 215 | 128 | 251 c | 103443.47 .3614$03612-13$ |  |
|  | $\geqslant 3+49$ | $\epsilon$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | * 3450 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.27 | $=3451$ | prow sarics prow saries |
|  | 3451 | $\delta$ | 2 | 6 | 4 | 21.5 | 128 | 2.91 | $=3450$ |  |
|  | * 3452 | a | 3 | 6 | 7 | 21.5 | 128 | 3.22 | (130] |  |
|  | 3453 | a | 3 | 7 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.96 | $=3454$ |  |
|  | 3454 | $\alpha$ | 3 | 7 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.75 u | $=3453$ |  |
|  | 3455 | $\beta$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 21.5 | 128 | 3.27 | 034.30 |  |
|  | * 3456 | $\beta$ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.91 |  |  |
|  | 3457 | $\beta$ | 3 | 5 | 5 ? | 215 | 128 | 3.04 |  |  |
|  | 3458 | $\gamma$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.74 | $=315 \%$ a 03567 |  |


| Cat sum | Ohr nope | Rerane | Oars | Crew | Bumelt | RIC | Werght 1gt | Die links | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3499 | ${ }^{+}$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 303 | $=3458.05567$ |  |
| 3460 | $\gamma$ | 3 | 5 | 64 | 215 | 128 | 303 |  | rev. dis |
| +3461 | $\delta$ | 3 | 5 | ¢ | 315 | 128 | 3.107 |  |  |
| $3+62$ | $\dagger$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.13 | 0163 |  |
| 3463 | \& | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 276 | 03462 |  |
| 3454 | $\delta$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.15 |  |  |
| 3465 | \% | 3 | 5? | 5 | 215 | 128 | 355 |  |  |
| 3466 | B | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 273 |  |  |
| 3467 | $\delta$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 178 | 2.87 | - $0^{3}+68$ |  |
| -3458 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 | (13467 |  |
| 3469 | $\delta$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 13.9 |  |  |
| -3470 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 215 | 128 | 3.19 |  |  |
| 147) | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 ? | 215 | 128 | 3.69 |  |  |
| 1472 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.88 |  |  |
| -3473 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.18 |  |  |
| 3474 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 301 |  |  |
| 3475 | $\varepsilon$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.87 |  |  |
| +3476 | ${ }_{\sim}$ | 3 iv | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.15 | 23.28 |  |
| 3477 | $\alpha$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.60 H | 03478 |  |
| 3478 | $\alpha$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.61 | w347\% |  |
| 1479 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | ¢ | 315 | 128 | 287 |  |  |
| 3480 | B | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.65 | r.3486 |  |
| 3481 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 320 |  |  |
| 3482 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.05 | 03483-84 |  |
| 3483 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.63 | $=3484 ; 03482 \cdot 13485$ |  |
| -3484 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 21.5 | 128 | 326 | $=3483: 03482 ; r 3465$ |  |
| 43485 | $\beta$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.80 | 0.528.355iff.r3483-84 |  |
| 3486 | B | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.51 | F.3480 |  |
| -3487 | $y$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.45 | 13675 |  |
| 3488 | $y$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.99 u |  |  |
| 3489 | $v$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.03 u | $=3490$ |  |
| 3490 | y | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.77 | $=3489$ |  |
| 3.495 | v | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.94 | 03572 |  |
| 3492 | $y$ | 4 | 5 | ¢ | 21.5 | 128 | 2.72 L |  |  |
| 1493 | $y$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.04 |  |  |
| $3+94$ | $v$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 308 |  |  |
| 3495 | 8 | 4 | 5 | \% | 215 | 128 | 2.70 u |  |  |
| 3.946 | $\delta$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.74 u |  |  |
| ${ }^{+3} 3.989^{7}$ | $\epsilon$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.03 | $=3498$ |  |
| 3498 | ¢ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.70 | $=3497$ |  |
| 3499 | $\epsilon$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 | 03500 |  |
| +3900 | t | 4 | 5 | fi | 215 | 128 | 2.84 | 2, 3449 |  |
| -35111 | a | 5 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.81 | 03452 |  |
| 3502 | B | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 29911 | 15514 |  |
| 3503 | $\beta$ | 5 | 5 | 31 | 215 | 128 | $2.73{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| 35014 | $B$ | 5 | 5 | ¢ | 215 | 128 | 2.95 | 03505.3577 |  |
| -3505 | $\beta$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | $2 i 5$ | 128 | 2.99 | 055045577 |  |
| 3506 | $\beta$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.04 |  |  |
| 35117 | $\beta$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 21.5 | 128 | 2.92 | 034313578 |  |
| 3508 | B | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.05 |  |  |
| ${ }^{+3309}$ | $\beta$ | 5 | 5 | \% | 215 | 128 | 2.81 |  |  |
| 3510 | Y | 5 | 5 | ? | 215 | 128 | 3.44 |  |  |
| 3511 | $T$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 323 |  |  |
| 3512 | $\gamma$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.91 | $=3513$ min 14.15 |  |
| -35/3 | $T$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.10 | $=3512$, o35 t - 15 |  |
| +35/4 | Y | 5 | 5 | ¢ | 215 | 128 | 2.69 | 03512.13.15,1350? |  |
| *315 | T | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.19 | 03512.14 |  |
| - $35 / 16$ | 8 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 215 | 128 | 2.96 | 0.3517 |  |
| 3517 | b | 5 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.46 c | 03516 |  |
| - 3518 | $b$ | 5 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.04 | r3680 |  |
| 3519 | f | 5 | 7 | n' | 215 | 128 | 2.80 c |  |  |
| 1520 | ¢ | 5 | 5 | b | 215 | 12 X | 2.61 c | r3684.85 |  |


| Cat. NMW | Obv type | Rev nope | Oars | Crew | Buncell | RIC | Weigh (g) | Die links | Nupes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *352] | a | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.97 | 03522; r 3534 fj |  |
| *3522 | a | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.28 | 03521; 3553324 |  |
| 3523 | $\alpha$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.90 u | $=3524 ; 5352$ |  |
| *3524 | $\alpha$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.93 | $=3523 ; \times 3572$ |  |
| *3525 | $\beta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.91 | r3526 |  |
| 3526 | $\beta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.04 | 13525 |  |
| *3527 | $\beta$ | 6 | 7 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.56 |  |  |
| *3528 | $\beta$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.11 | o3485.3555ff; 3545 |  |
| 3529 | $\beta$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.60 c | = 3530 |  |
| 35.0 | $\beta$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.73 u | $=3529$ |  |
| 3531 | $y$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.07 u | $=35.3$ |  |
| 3532 | Y | 6 | 6 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.77 | $=35.31$ |  |
| 35.3 | Y | 6 | 6 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.68 |  |  |
| 3534 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.92 | =3535-44: 35321 | no wreall ties: cleven dids |
| 3535 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 21.5 | 128 | 3.34 | etc | no wreath ties: cleven dids |
| * 35.36 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.17 |  | no wreath cies; eleven dids |
| 3537 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.15 |  | no wreath lies, eleven dids |
| 3538 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 |  | no wreath ties; eleven d/ds |
| 3539 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.99 |  | no wreath tics: eleven d/ds |
| 3540 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.92 |  | no wreath ties; cleven dids |
| 3541 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.86 |  | no wreath ties; eleven dids |
| 3542 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.80 |  | no wreath ties; eleven dids |
| 3543 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.69 u |  | no wreath ties; eleven ddds |
| 3544 | $\delta$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.66 u |  | no wreath lies; eleven dids |
| 3545 | ee alier 3700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *3546 | $\epsilon$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.97 | =3547;03548 |  |
| 3547 | $\varepsilon$ | 6 | 5 | 6 | 21.5 | 128 | 3.12 | =3546:03248 |  |
| * 3548 | $\varepsilon$ | 6 | 6 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.83 | 03546.47 |  |
| 3549 | $\epsilon$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.01 |  |  |
| 3550 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.08 u | =3551:03552-53 |  |
| 3351 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.79 c | =3550:03552-53 |  |
| 3552 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.86 | $03550-1.53$ |  |
| 3553 | $\beta$ | 7 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.98 | 03550.52 |  |
| 3554 | $\beta$ | 7 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.26 |  |  |
| *3555 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.50 | $\begin{aligned} & =3556 ; \\ & 03485,3528,3557.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3556 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.90 | $\begin{aligned} & =3555: \\ & 0,3485,3528,3557-9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3557 | $\beta$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 | $\begin{aligned} & =3558 ; \\ & 03485,3528,3555-9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| * 3558 | $\beta$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.15 | $\begin{aligned} & =3557: \\ & 03485.35283555 .9 \end{aligned}$ | later slate of rev. die |
| *3559 | $\beta$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 316 | 0.3485 .35283555 .8 |  |
| 3560 | $\gamma$ | 7 | 5 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 3.29 |  |  |
| 3561 | $\gamma$ | 7 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 51.24 |  |  |
| 3562 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.55 |  |  |
| *3563 | $\epsilon$ | 7 | 7 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 3.08 |  |  |
| 3564 | $\epsilon$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 303 | $=3565-66$ |  |
| 3565 | $\epsilon$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.91 | $=3564.66$ |  |
| 3566 | $\epsilon$ | 7 | 6 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.85 | $=3564.65$ |  |
| 3567 | $\gamma$ | 8 i | 5 ? | 7 | 215 | 128 | 2.74 u | (1)458.59 |  |
| 3564 | $\delta$ | 83 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.58 |  |  |
| *3569 | $\epsilon$ | 8 a | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.06 | =3570.71:03629.32 |  |
| 3570 | $\epsilon$ | Sa | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.04 | $=3569.71: 03639-32$ |  |
| 3571 | $\epsilon$ | 8 i | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.22 c | $=3569.70: 03629-32$ |  |
| 3572 | $\gamma$ | 8b | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.23 c | 03991 |  |
| * 3573 | $a$ | 8 c | 6 | 4 | 21.5 | 128 | 200 | 03574.76 |  |
| 3574 | $a$ | Sc | 5 | 4 | 215 | 12 S | 2.85 u | = $3575 ; 0.3573,76$ |  |
| * 3575 | $\alpha$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.59 | $=3574: 103573.76$ |  |
| * 3576 | $\alpha$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.36 | 03573.75; 3616. <br> earher stase | haw on obv. de |
| *3577 | $\beta$ | Sc | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.74 | a,504. 5 |  |


| (cil. NWIV | Otrenpe | Rev tope | Outrs | Crew | Bumell | RKC | Wenghis) | Die links Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3578 | 11 | 8 C | 6 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.36 | 034 313507 |
| 3579 | H | M | 5 | 4 | 315 | 128 | 3.254 |  |
| ड5x\% | $\beta$ | xc | 5 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.77 | $=3581 . \% 2$ |
| 3581 | 13 | 8 C | 5 | j | 215 | 128 | 271 | $=3580.82$ |
| 1382 | H | 8 C | 5 | 5 | 215 | 128 | 2.95 | $=35000.81$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~S} \times 3$ | B | Rc | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.86 | $=3584$ |
| 3584 | 1 | 8 c | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | 2.52 | $=358$ |
| 3585 | \% | 8 Bc | 5 | 4 | 315 | 128 | 2.98 |  |
| *3584 | y | \% | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 288 | ${ }^{3} 5700$ |
| 3587 | $v$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.59 |  |
| 35088 | $v$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 12x | 295 |  |
| 3584 | $\delta$ | 8. | 5 | 4 | 11, | 12x | 3.19 u |  |
| 3590 | $b$ | 8 c | 5 | + | 215 | 128 | 2.8106 | 2354 |
| 3591 | d | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.72 | 0.1590 |
| 3592 | 8 | 8 c | 5 | 6 | 215 | 128 | \$50 |  |
| 3593 | $\delta$ | 8 c | 5 | + | 215 | 128 | \%.24u |  |
| 3594 | \% | Kic | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.466 |  |
| *3595 | $\delta$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | $3+5$ | $\begin{aligned} & =5506.7, n: 545-608 \\ & r 3619 \end{aligned}$ |
| 359 | $\delta$ | Kc | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.50 | $\begin{aligned} & =50057: 01508-605 . \\ & r: 3 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3597 | $\delta$ | 86 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 235 | $\begin{aligned} & =3595.6 .03598 .603 \\ & 1.3619 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3598 | 8 | 8 c | 3 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 303 | $\begin{aligned} & =1594.601: \\ & 03595.7 .604 \cdot 8.736 .0-1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3594 | $\delta$ | 8. | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 330 | $\begin{aligned} & =15988000.3 .03595-7.004+8: \\ & \\ & =3620.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3610) | 6 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.40 | $\begin{aligned} & =1598-9.001 \cdot y_{i} \\ & 03595.7001+8 . r 3620-1 \end{aligned}$ |
| - 3601 | 6 | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.14 | $\begin{aligned} & =1348.600,602 \cdot 3 \\ & 03595 \cdot 7.604+5 \cdot r 3620-1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3602 | $\delta$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 280 | $\begin{aligned} & =3598.501 .507 \\ & 03595-7.6014 .8 ; r: 620.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 36013 | b | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 315 | 128 | 3260 | $\begin{aligned} & =3598-002 \\ & 03595 \cdot 7.004-8, \times 36201-1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3604 | $\overline{\%}$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.34 | $=3605 \times \mathrm{x} ; 0,3596-6063$ |
| -3605 | $\delta$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 299 | $=3604.6-8: 035906003$ |
| 3606 | $\delta$ | 8 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3015 | $=3604 \cdot 5 \cdot 7-8 \cdot 03596-603$ |
| 3607 | 8 | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.87 | $=3604.6$ \%;as596.603 |
| 3608 | $\delta$ | $8{ }^{8}$ | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2750 | $=3604.7$ :035 596.603 |
| 3609 | $\varepsilon$ | 86 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 343.4 | $=3610-11$ |
| 36119 | $\delta$ | 8 C | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.05 | $=36019,11$ |
| -3611 | б | 86 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 309 | $=3605-10$ |
| +3612 | t | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 291 | $=361,3.23449$ |
| 3613 | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 213 | 128 | 2.55 u | $=3612 ; 03429$ |
| *3614 | $t$ | 8 C | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 308 | 23+43-48 |
| 3615 | * | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 304 |  |
| +3616 | € | 80 | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 316 | r3570: luter state of die |
| . 3617 | ¢ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 305 |  |
| . 6618 | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.37 |  |
| 3619 | є | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.75 | 43620-8:13595.7 |
| 3620 | , | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.100 | $\begin{aligned} & =3621: n 3619.21-8 \\ & r 5595-603 \end{aligned}$ |
| 436.1 | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.65 | $\begin{aligned} & =3620: w 3619.20 .22 \cdot 8: \\ & r 3508-663 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3622 | e | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.87 | $=3623-8: 03679.21$ |
| . 3623 | ¢ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.13 | $=3622.24 .8$-3610.21 |
| 30.4. | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | $\pm 80$ | $=3622-3,25.8 ; 0.619 .21$ |
| 3625 | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.94 | $=3622+26-5,03619-21$ |
| -362h | $\epsilon$ | $8{ }^{\circ}$ | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 3.10 | $=3622 \cdot 5.27 .8 \cdot \mathrm{n} 3619.21$ |
| 3627 | c | 8 C | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.95 | $=3622-6.28: 03619.21$ |
| 36.8 | $\epsilon$ | 8 c | 5 | 4 | 215 | 128 | 2.72 | $=3622.7: 03619.21$ |



## THE ROGIET HOARD



| Car. | NMW | Obu ype | Rer tope | Oars | Crew | Burnet | RKC | Weigh (g) | Die finks | Nores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3738 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 6 | 5 | 217 | 129 | 3.20 | $=3737,3940$ |  |
|  | 3739 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 6 | 5 | 217 | 129 | 3.17 | $=3737-38,40$ |  |
|  | 3740 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 6 | 5 | 217 | 129 | 2.99 | $=3737.39$ |  |
|  | 3741 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 5 | 6 | 217 | 129 | 3.07 |  |  |
|  | *3742 | $\alpha$ | 5 | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 2.94 | 03729,31-32 |  |
|  | 3743 | $\delta$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 217 | 129 | 3,45 |  |  |
|  | *3744 | E | 7 | 6 | 5 | 217 | 129 | 3.28 | 0.3745 |  |
|  | *3745 | $\epsilon$ | 7 | 5 | 6 | 217 | 129 | 3.01 | 03744 |  |
|  | *3746 | $\alpha$ | 8 a | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 3.27 |  |  |
|  | 3748 | を | 83 | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 3.11 |  |  |
|  | 3747 | $\gamma$ | 8b | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 2.89 | 03749 |  |
|  | 3749 | $\gamma$ | 8 b | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 3.17 | 03747 |  |
|  | *3750 | $\gamma$ | 8 b | 5 | 4 | 217 | 129 | 3.34 |  |  |
| 1048 | 3731 | $a$ | 3 3ii | 6 | 5 | - | - | 2.89 | =3732:03729.42 | ram-headed prow? |
|  | *3732 | $\alpha$ | 3 ij | 6 | 5 | - | - | 3.42 | $=3731: 03729.42$ | ram-headed prow? |

## APPENDIX C: CONSERVATION AND METROLOGY

As found, the coins of the Rogiet hoard were, to a greater or lesser degree. encrusted with copper corrosion products, principally green malaclite and red cuprite. Most of the coins were stable and fully identifiable and it was decided to leave these in their 'as found' condition except where cleaning was required to facilitate further numismatic study or where a coin was of numismatic interest or importance. All coins of Aurelian and very high proportions of Ticinum issues of Probus and of the Q-radiates of Allectus were cleaned, for instance.

Coins were also cleaned where encrustations prevented full identification (e.g. distinguishing between officinae A and $\Delta$ for the TEMPORVM FELICITAS issues of Tacilus) and where the corrosion products appeared to be unstable. In all 2,327 coins ( 61 per cont of the hoard) were conserved by soaking in an alkaline glycerol solution, followed by thorough rinsing and an element of mechanical cleaning. As regards metrology, therefore, the average weights of groups of coins will not be fully compatible between different issues and mints or with other published figures; and weight distributions will also be distonted to differing degrees, according to the proportions clearned. In the summary table that follows, average weights are accompanied by figures for the percentage of each group that has been conserved. Typically, the cleaning of aureliani involved a weight loss of 2.0-2.5 per cent (batches of fifty, weighed in bulk); for individual coins. this might vary between 1.2 and 3.9 per cent (in the case of twenty-two coins of Carausius that had an average weight loss of 2.25 per cent). For the most part, the Rogiet coins are in excellent physical state. though small numbers - perhaps those scattered by the repeated ploughing - are distinctly corroded.

The table that follows provides a summary of the average weights of all coin issues represented by fifteen or more specimens in the Rogiet hoard (the figure chosen is arbitrary).

TABLE 18. Average weights of selected issues. Rogiet hoard

| Reign | Mini | lssue | Officina | Alv M $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$ | S.D. | No. | \% conserved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Victorinus | II | 2 |  | 3.15 | 0.70 | 20 | 50.0 |
| Aurelian | Rome | 6 | all | 3.93 | 0.49 | 18 | 100 |
|  |  | 7 | all | 4.09 | 0.38 | 15 | 100 |
|  | Milan | 3 | all | 3.51 | 0.56 | 49 | 100 |
|  |  | 4 | all | 3.47 | 0.41 | 67 | 100 |
|  | Ticinum | 4 | all | 4.00 | 0.46 | 36 | 80.6 |
| Tacitus | Lyon | 1 | all | 3.94 | 0.50 | 84 | 21.4 |
|  |  | 3 | all | 3.97 | 0.49 | 58 | 67.2 |
|  |  |  | c | 4.03 | 0.52 | 38 | 65.8 |
|  |  | 5 | all | 3.99 | 0.50 | 142 | 41.5 |
|  |  |  | A | 4.02 | 0.56 | 68 | 41.2 |
|  |  |  | B | 4.04 | 0.47 | 27 | 55.6 |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | 3.89 | 0.41 | 43 | 37.2 |
|  |  | 7 | all | 3.87 | 0.36 | 172 | 36.6 |
|  |  |  | A | 3.83 | 0.36 | 43 | 34.9 |
|  |  |  | B | 3.89 | 0.35 | A) | 29.3 |
|  |  |  | C | 3.95 | 0.40 | 44 | 29.5 |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | 3.81 | 0.34 | 43 | 54.5 |
|  |  | 8 | all | 3.85 | 0.36 | 19 | 73.7 |


| Rergn | Mint | Issue | Officima | Av: wr (g) | $S D$. | No. | \% comserved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rome | 2 | all | 381 | 0.50 | 59 | 55.9 |
|  |  | 3 | al\| | 3.99 | 0. +4 | 31 | 74.2 |
|  | Ticinum | 1 | dil | 3.79 | 0.31 | 18 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 2 | all | 3.95 | 0,44 | 48 | 32.1 |
| Probus | Lyon | 1 | all | 3.96 | 0.34 | 19 | 73.7 |
|  |  | 2 | all | 4.02 | 0.48 | 90 | 30.0 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 4.16 | 0.71 | 17 | 47.1 |
|  |  |  | II | 3.95 | 0.48 | 23 | 26.1 |
|  |  |  | III | 4.05 | 0.43 | 28 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  | IIII | 3.96 | 0.27 | 22 | 27.3 |
|  |  | 3 | all | 4.04 | 0.50 | 73 | 42.5 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 4.11 | 0.56 | 16 | 31.3 |
|  |  |  | II | 4.03 | 0.46 | 2. | 41.7 |
|  |  |  | IIII | 4.02 | 0.52 | 24 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 4 | aill | 395 | 0.45 | 239 | 22.1 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 3.91 | 0.51 | 55 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  | II | 3.96 | 0.43 | 73 | 20.5 |
|  |  |  | III | 3.91 | 0.46 | 48 | 16.7 |
|  |  |  | IIII | 4.00 | 0.40 | 61 | 29.5 |
|  |  | '5' | all | 3.98 | 0.50 | 15 | 100 |
|  |  | 6 | all | 392 | 0.42 | 129 | 33.6 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 4.04 | 0.38 | 43 | 238 |
|  |  |  | III | 3.86 | 0.44 | 47 | 48.9 |
|  |  |  | IIII | 390 | 0.38 | 33 | $21: 2$ |
|  |  | 8 | all | 3.95 | 0.52 | 40 | 42.5 |
|  |  | 9 | all | 3.94 | 0.43 | 244 | 28.3 |
|  |  |  | A | 394 | 0.41 | 49 | 18.4 |
|  |  |  | B | 3.97 | 0.52 | 59 | 30.2 |
|  |  |  | C | 3.90 | (9.36 | 77 | 29.9 |
|  |  |  | D | 3.93 | 0.46 | 59 | 20.3 |
|  | Rome | 1 | ail | 3.86 | 0.54 | 51 | 76.5 |
|  |  | 3 | all | 3.79 | 0.44 | 16 | 56.3 |
|  |  | 5 | all | 3.94 | 0.52 | 25 | 480 |
|  |  | 6 | all | 3.78 | 0.45 | 77 | 55.8 |
|  |  |  | $\Gamma$ | 3.80 | 0.38 | 18 | 44.4 |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | 3.72 | 0.51 | 18 | 72.2 |
|  | Ticinum | 2 | all | 4.15 | 0.66 | 49 | 69.4 |
|  |  |  | P | 3.98 | 0.47 | 16 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 3 | all | 3.82 | 0.45 | 21 | 100 |
|  |  | 4 | all | 3,83 | 0.41 | 32 | 30.0 |
|  |  | 6 | all | 3.83 | 0,40 | 26 | 80.8 |
|  |  | 9 | all | 3.91 | 0.47 | 70 | $34_{3}{ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | 10 | all | 3.78 | (0.45 | 22 | 18.2 |
|  | Siscia | 7 | all | 3.84 | 0.44 | 17 | 76.5 |
| Carus ets sui | Lyon | 6 | all | 3.80 | 0.39 | 16 | 62.5 |
|  | Ticinum | 2 | ail | 3.82 | 0.47 | 23 | 78.3 |
| Dio \& Max | Lyon | Ib | all | 3.90 | 0.52 | 31 | 2.58 |
|  |  | 2 | all | 390 | 0.11 | 60 | 46.7 |
|  |  | T-8 | all | 3.89 | 0.36 | 88 | 47.1 |
|  | Ticnum | $2 \rightarrow$ | all | 3.94 | (1) 47 | 32 | 46.9 |
| Allectus | c | $a c$ | Laetitia | 3.05 | 0.26 | 129 | 938 |
|  |  | ac | Virtus | 299 | 0.26 | 328 | 87.5 |
|  | 1 .ondon | OL | ail | 2.95 | 11.37 | 292 | 96.6 |

The Lyon mint figures may be compared with those published by Bastien: while they are broadiy comparable, in vitually every case the Rogiet average is the higher, as might be expected from the proportions of unconserved coins in the samples. ${ }^{92}$

Of the coinages summarised here, only the Q-radiates of Allectus are both numerous and for the most part cleaned. Summay statistics for these are presented in Table 19 and charts of their weight distributions in Fig. 10.

TABLE 19. Descriplive statistics: weighns of Allectus, Q radjates (grams)

|  | QLall | OCall | QClactitia | OC Virius |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 2.952 |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 0.022 | 3.009 | 3.053 | 2.991 |
| Median | 2.908 | 0.012 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| Standad Deviation | 0.371 | 3.003 | 3.041 | 2.984 |
| Minmum | 2.08 | 0.265 | 0.261 | 0.265 |
| Maximum | 4.48 | 2.35 | 2.42 | 2.35 |
| Sum | 861.88 | 1374.88 | 4.15 | 3.92 |
| Count | 292 | 457 | 393.77 | 981.11 |



Fig. 10a. Fercentage weight distibution: Allectus, London.


Fig. 10b. Percentage weight distributions: Aleclus. C mim.

Very few single issues of aureliani in the Rogiet hoard match the Q-radiates for size. The percentage weight distributions for the two largest - issues 4 and 9 of Probus at Lyon. are shown as Fig. 11, though bearing in mind the comments at the head of this appendix, these are intended simply as indications of the broad weight distributions that appear to be typical of all issues of aureliani, as was to be the case for the nummus-issues of Diocletian and his colleagues from the mid $290 \mathrm{~s} .^{9 ;}$ Almost all weights typically lie between 3 and 5 g , with tails each of around 1.5 per cent of specimens above 5 g and below 3 g , the extremes in the Rogiet hoard being 7.72 g (Probus. Ticinum 652/1) and 2.66 g (Tacitus. Lyon 325/12).

One coin $(0.4 \%)$ and three coms ( $1.3 \%$ ) weighing over 5.50 g are omitted from issues 4 and 9 respectively.


Fig. 11. Percentage weight distributions: Probus, Lyon.

# APPENDIX D: ANALYSES OF COINS FROM THE ROGIET HOARD 

Mary Davis

A detailed study of the metallurgy of the coin types in the Rogiet hoard lies beyond the scope of this paper, though the excellent preservation of the bulk of the coins holds the promise of useful work in the future, both as regards composition and, potentially, in the study of the technique(s) used to prepare blanks with silvered surfaces. However, as part of the study of the coins of Allectus, a sample of Q-radiates and, for comparison, aureliani, was analysed.

The coins were prepared for analysis by polishing their edges until the uncorroded core was reached and a flat tangential surface obtained. The Carausius and Allectus coins were analysed by energy dispersive X-ray spectromerry (EDX) using a CamScan MaXim 2040 ) scanning electron microscope (SEM) with a Link Isis energy-dispersive X-ray detector. The polished surface of the coins was analysed for 100 live seconds using a working distance of 35 mm and an accelerating voltage of 20 kV . The coins were placed in a metal clamp to aid conductivity and no coating was necessary. The results were quantified using a ZAF correction program. The listed results in the table are the average of three analyses from separate areas on the polished surface. All analyses totalled between 98.5 and $101.5 \%$, and were then normalised to $100 \%$.

SEM-EDX has the advantage over wavelength dispersive spectrometry (WD) in that as large an area as possible. usually between $\times 200$ ) and $\times 1500$ magnification in this case ( $\times 20000$ is used for WD analysis) can be selected for analysis which helps to reduce any distortion of the composition of the sample due to its heterogeneity, especially where lead is present in the alloy. However, SEM-EDX is less effective in analysing trace elements and in quantifying minor elements, but does produce relatively accurate analyses for the major elements. These results are therefore most useful for examining the variations in the main constituents of the copper alloys. The exact limits of detection depend on several factors including matrix and counting time: representative figures for EDX are from 0.05 to 0.26 weight \% of the element. ${ }^{.4}$

Some previous analyses of coins from the hoard had been undertaken by WD analysis, using a MicroSpec WD spectrometer. The resulting paper published by Anheuser and France aimed to assess how the coims had been silvered. concluding that this was achieved using electrochemical replacement silvering with a silver chloride paste. ${ }^{55}$ However. recent research at Bradford University has found evidence for amalgam silvering on similarly alloyed and plated coins, Whe Anheuser and France had not selected to look for the presence of mercury during their WD analysis, so some of

[^32]the coins from their origimal analyses were retssessed, using the same instrument. Small races of mercury were found in the silver, but considerably less than in the results obtained by Vlachou at al.; and so this issue remains inconclusive and needs further research.

TABLE 20. Rogiet hoard: composition of some coins of Carausius and Allectus (four major eleneents, \%)

|  |  |  | Ca | Ag | $S_{n}$ | $P b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carausius |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anrelianus |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99611 | PAX AVGGG | $S P / / C$ | 94.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Allectus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aureliani |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1006 | ORIENS AVG | SP//ML | 91.9 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| 1007 | LAETITIA AVG | $S P / / C$ | 94.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 1008 | FIDES MILIT | $5 \mathrm{P} / / \mathrm{C}$ | 95.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
|  | Q-radiates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1017 (3176) | VIRTVS AVG | $/ / \mathrm{OL}$ | 92.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.4 |
| 1017 (3201) | VIRTVS AVG | $/ / \mathrm{OL}$ | 92.7 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 1017 (3244) | VIRTVS AVG | // OL | 94.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| 1020 (3269) | VIRTVS AVG.r. | $/ / \mathrm{QL}$ | 94.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.4 |
| 1031 (3320) | LAETITIA AVC (1) | $/ / \mathrm{QC}$ | 94.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 1035 (337.3) | LAETITIA AVG (2) | $/ / \mathrm{QC}$ | 93.8 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| 1035 (3380) | LAETITIA AVG (2) | $/ / \mathrm{QC}$ | 93.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.8 |
| 1041 (3537) | VIRTVS AVG | //ac | 95.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 1043 (3642) | VIRTVS AVG | $/ / \mathrm{OC}$ | 96.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 1.7 |
| 1043 (3689) | VIRTVS AVG | $/ / \mathrm{QC}$ | 94.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 1043 (3704) | VIRTVS AVG | //QC | 94.2 | 1.4 | 1,4 | 3.0 |



Fig. 12a. Rogiet scater plot. Copper v. Silver. Carausius and Alloctus.


Fig. 12b. Rogiet: scatter plot, Tin v. Silver, Carausius and Allectus.

Scatter plots for copper and tin against sitver from Table 20 are given as Figs 12al and 12 h . These appear to demonstrate the possibility that the alloys used at London differed from those at $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ' in that London tin contents atre generally higher than those of ' $C^{\prime}$ and usually above lwo per cent. Whlst those : ${ }^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ ' are generally below two per cent: further evidence, perhaps, of differing practices and two separate mints. Cope's figures for Allectus appear to bear this out, but with a combined sample of only twemty coins of Allectus. more work would need to be done to contirm in modify this view. ${ }^{97}$
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| Nieder-Rentgen | H. v. Hammerstein, K. Wichmann and G. Wolfram, 'Der Münzfund von Nieder-Rentgen'. Jahbuch der Gesellschaft fur tothringische Geschichte mad Ahertumskurnde 8.2 (1896), 1-43. |
| Normanby, Lincolnshire | R. Bland and A. Burnett, CHRB VIII (1988), 114-215; $12 B C H 854$. |
| Pen-y-Cordilyn, Clwyd | R.J. Brewer, 'A hoard of Roman coins from Pen-y-Corddyn hillfort', BBCS 28 (1978-80), 747-50; IRBCH 948. |
| Plovdiv | N.A. Mouchmov, Amuaire du Muse National Butgare VI (1932-4). |
| Riby, Lincolnshire | P. Tyler, The Persian Wars of the 3nd Cemmy ad and Roman Imperial Monetary Policy aD 253-6S (1975): IRBCH 732. |
| Sainte-Pallaye | S. Estiot. M. Amandry and M. Bompaire, 'Le trésor de Sainte-Pallaye (Yonne)', TM XIV (1993). 39-124. |
| Saint-Maurice | ```S. Estiol, 'Le tresor de Saint-Maurice-de-Gourdains - Pollet (Ain)', TM XVI (1997), 69-127.``` |
| Somersel | E.M. Besly, CHRB II (1981), 63-8: /RBCH 944 ('Sparkford'). |
| Svelozarevo | N.A. Cruobmja, Ostave Rimskog Nowate Sverozarevo Valerion - Diokletijar (1987). |
| Tattershall Thorpe, Lincs. | E. Besly and R. Bland, CHRB IV (1984), 105-37; IRBCH 753. |
| Troussey | \$. Estiot, 'Le tresor de Troussey (Meuse) ...', TM XVII (1998), 181-303. |
| La Venèra | L.A. Milani, Il ripostigho della Venèra, Monete romane della seconda meǹ̀ del terzo secolo (1880). Ripostighio della Venèra Nuovo Catalogo Illustrato: voi. Illi Aureliono (\$. Estiot, 1995): $1 / / 2$ Xacito e Floriano (S. Estiot, 1987): IV Caro-Diocleziano (D. Gricourt, 2000). |

Nor published in detail:
Bitteme. Hampshire
East Harnham, Wiltshire
Gilmorton. Leicestershire
Gloucester

Kirmington, Lincolnshire
Langoll A. E. Yorkshire
Old Ford. London
Penard. Gower
Watchield, Berkshire
IRBCH 913.
IRBCH 930; m/s list provided by David Algar. Salisbury ankl S . Wiltshıre Museumi. 1999.
1.254 billon to Allectus: found February 2004, information and list from Richard Abdy, British Museum.
IRBCH 912: provisional summary by reign in Bristol and Gloucestershire Archaeological Socien Transactions 99 (1981), 106 f . New catalogue in preparation: the present repor uses details of coins from Gallienus to Aurelian (listed by R. Aboyy) and Probus (E. Besty). IRBCH 75 I : n/s catalogue by H.E. Pagan in British Museum.
976 billon (radiates, aureliani. denarii and mummi) 10 c.305; found September 2000. Treasure Anhual Report 2000, 118, 110. 255.
IRBCH 919; W. Allen. 'Find of cons of Allectus at Old Ford, Bow', NC 1860. 304-6.
IRBCH S70: G.C. Boon. 'The Penard Roman Imperial hoard: an imerim report ...', Bulletin of the Board of Cehnic Sudics 22 (1966-8). 291-7. Coms in NMW. Careliff.
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[^0]:    Ackmorledgements. This report is published with the ad of a substantial grant from Amgueddra Cymru - National Museum Wales. I am grateful to m ) colleagues Mary Davis, Penny Hill and Lotise Munford, for their superh conservation of the hoard; on Mary Dawis For her analytical work: Jin Wild. photosraphy; Sally Garter documentation; Jacke Chadwick, for the splendid drawnegs that form Figs 1.5,6,8 and 9; and Richard Brewer. for his support and encouragement; Cathy King and David Algar for information on the Ewelote and East Hamham hoards, feqpectively; Refhaft Abdy, who shated his satalogte of the recemt Gitmorton hoard and bus work on the new Gloucester last: Volker Heuchert. Ashmolean Mascum: Adrian l'opescu. Fitzwilliam Moseum: Donal Bateson. Hunterian Museum. Michel Amandry and Sylviance Estat kindly answered questions on smme coins in the Bibliotheque nationale de France, and I am particularly indebted to Sylviane Estiot for her commenth th the coinage of Probus, Roger Bland enmmented helpfully on an carly dran. Espectal thanks to Stewar Lyon for los patience in explaming things statistical. Thanks also to Classical Numismatic Group. Inc, for permission to reproduce an image from thet sales and to the Ashmolean Museum, the Trustes of the
     wher mages are Copyright : National Musetinn of Wales
     single denarii. Which bore harcate or bare-headed portrails. 'Radiates' of the empreses in fact dephet acrezent behind the portrait bust.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Casey 1994, Chapters 5, 6. 11 and Shel 1977. see now abso Willam 2004.

[^1]:    ${ }^{8}$ 'Roget' is pronounced with a hard G: 'Rog - i1'
    ${ }^{4}$ Of the cupplementary coins, six have subsequenty heen acquited toy NMW ( $2000.7 \mathrm{H} / 4-5: 2002.14 \mathrm{H}$ ).
    *See Freastre Anmual Report 1998-1949 (London, 2000), p5. 121-2. no. 305; a preliminary report which shared the tite of the present paper, was delivered to the Brtish Numismatic Soctety's meeting on 25 June 2002.
    "Marvell 1996

[^2]:    * To save repetition, eeneral references to hoard publication are given on a separate Bintingraply (Ppt. 14.4-5). which for British hoards also gives the commtes in which they were found.

[^3]:    
    y Bland and Burnet! 1988 . 11.4-18.

[^4]:    (1) - Aurelianianus has also been used, but the shorter and more easily pronounced version appeats to have established itself.

    II The coinage also encompassed gold and copper alloy denomimations. which fall outside the seope of this paper
    12 See Bland and Burnett 1988. Table 2.

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ The incorrect attribution of a number of Rome coins of Probus to Siscia by RIC tended previously to mask this fealure.
    ${ }^{14}$ Besly 2002.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Estiot 1983.
    16 Mattingly 1951.
    17. Evtior 1983.37-4.

    1x See Catalogue conventions", on p. 84

[^7]:    ${ }^{19}$ Information taken from draft list by R Abdy.
    ${ }^{30}$ Bastien 1972. 1976; Bastien, Amandry and Gathier 1989: Amandry, Estiot and Gautier 2003.
    ${ }^{21}$ Estiot 1987. 1995; Gricourt 2000a. Estiot's (2004) magniticent catalogue of coins in the Bibliuthèque nationale de France wils not published in time to be useful in listing Rogiet. However, a few catalogue fontnotes refer to this, as ' BnF '.

    2 Pink 1949

[^8]:    23. The extended obverse legend including the new emperor's pratemmint and a portait resembing his predecessor are dound elsewhere in the Roman comage: see, for instance, the opening issues of the Romano-Gallie emperore Postumband Votorinus ibesly and Bland 1983.44.62).

    22 Bastiens, Amandry and Gauner 1989.14-15: Gricour 1983.324-5.

[^9]:    25 Amandry, Estiot and Gautier 2003, 40.
    ${ }^{26}$ Readings of B. 177 and 1896 confirmed by M. Amandry and S. Estiot. in fitt., Oetober 2004
    ${ }^{27}$ Bland $1982,8,97 \mathrm{n}, 184$.

[^10]:    28 Bessly 2003.
    29 The denarii are very rare as anchacological site tinds: for an example from Cavilarguen (Gard. France) see Alm and Jempercur 2005. 52-5, who also cite two furiber exanples from sites in the Apine tegion

[^11]:    ${ }^{31}$ Extion 1983.51-2.
    IV Numerical ranking of the issues provides a very smple visual comparison, regardless of the size of the hoards.
    ${ }^{17}$ Blackmoor, E, Harnham, Linchmere, Monkton Farleigh. Normanby and Somerset
    3 Gricoutl 2000 b .

[^12]:    ${ }^{14}$ A catalogue of the coins of Probus in the Paris and Vienta collections, in preparation hy Sylvane Estiot,
    ${ }^{3}$ Stewartby 1996.
    26. A rapid survey of specimens and literature accessabie to the writer produced 8 t examples and many mone no doubt survive.
    ${ }^{5}$ As is the case with Lyon, these 'issues form a convenient way of catalagung an evolving cobatge in which centain elemens. remain constant (the reverses). Estiot (in tiot. Juie 2005 ) suggests for itnstance, that these 21 mm ' coins with shortened legends form part of issue 3.
    ${ }^{38}$ RIC347. CONSERVAT AVG. . . 1 TXXT: obv K4I (BM).
    ${ }^{29}$ The 'hypercorrection' - AE' for ' $E^{\prime}$ - in the word exptition fof the Lavalfy alse appears on come struk at Milan frecurser of
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Estion 1983.

[^13]:    4t If the -21 mm coins of issue 4 are atributed to sssue 3 , the carly bria in Rogiet is emphasized, with issue 3 rising to third plate $(13.248)$; smitarly, issue ? for Gloncester rises to fourth-ranked ( $13.66 \%$ ) (A similat exercise for the other three hoards could not be carried out on the information available.)
    *. Bastien 1\%)2. 1993. 1994.
    ${ }^{4}$ I am now (June 2005) indebted to Sylviane Estion for detarb of Siscian 'puge' aureliani in leer records a total of twenty-fix coins, with four vaneties of obverse, fourteen of then from nffinta $T$. Rogiet 767 is otherwise unecorded. but Estiot has noteil lourteen specimers with thes version of the bust, from threc officinae iT, 7; Q, 4; V, 3)

[^14]:    ${ }^{4}$ For the dates see Casey 1994. Chapter i. who firs Altectus follows ideas hirst aned by Burmeft 1984.
    4. Carson 1982
    th Classical Nummmatic Group. Ine. Auction 393, $1 \times$ Septenber 1996, $16 \times 7$ 7.
    ${ }^{47}$ Burnell 1984.

[^15]:    ${ }^{48}$ Burnett 1984.
    ${ }^{49}$ And, in an ideal world. some further hoards. for comparative study to set against the framework outlined here: we camot know from Rogiet alone what stage of the OCOL issue had been reached when this sample was assembled.

    50 Lloyd 1998. Lloyd detected. but intonclusively, a westerly bias for the finds of C mint coins. Williams 2004, 40-5. also discusses the location of the mints, equally inconclusively. though with a leaning towards a centralized operation.
    ${ }^{51}$ See key to obverse busts, on pp 80-1.
    ${ }^{52}$ Robertson 1978, 284, no. 35 (here Pl. 25, A); Burnett 1984, 28, 34, no. 109. Others, Classical Numismatic Group, Inc. Auction 38. 6 June 1996, 1132 (same dies): CNG Auction 53, 15 March 2000, 1713 (same obverse die).
    ${ }^{51}$ I have encountered two specimens with DI busts (Burnell 1984, 36, no. 218): in the Hunter Collection. Robertson 1978. 287 nis $\left(6+1\right.$ (type $\epsilon^{3}$ - see below, ~PF AVG: PI. 25, B) and the Fitzwilliam Museum, Henderson hequest 3112 (type $\gamma^{2}$, ~ AVG).
    ${ }^{54}$ For Pius Felix Invictus.

[^16]:    55 See 'Catalogue conventions', on p. 84 .

[^17]:    4n BM :983-3-35. 17.
    51 BM R3561: Ashmalean (Bodley mescell. BI Biad vartely: Ashmolyan (Evans).
    4n Right-facing, mastess galleys, with blob-like waves, are to te foum on the 'Rowen' isstes of Catausius. These coms, hoth in gold and billon, bear the legend LETITIA AVG or similar. See Beangand and Hovelin 198:

[^18]:     40663, 3/68
    ${ }^{61}$ E.g dernaim. BM 53.5.12.247 (Shel 1977.120 no. 13).

[^19]:    ${ }^{61}$ Fig. 6 based on: Postumus. NMW $86.97 \mathrm{H} / 8 \mathrm{I}$ (Basaleg board). Rogict 3004 . 3009 . 3005 : Ashmolean Bradley. Ewans. Rogict 3274. 3278. 3294:3290.3291.3292. Ashmolean. BM.
    ${ }^{62}$ BM 1935-11-17.1314; Ashtrolcan. Iwo specimens. one from same dies as BM (lli. 25, I) ).
    63 BM, wo specimens, 1863-11-26.3:1971-10-12. I (1'1. 25. Fi): Allen 1860).

[^20]:    is One specimen C' (3at noted in Ashmolean (Evans).

[^21]:    65 Estiot 1987.26-7.

[^22]:    ${ }^{6}$ Fig. 8 based on: Rogict 3302, 3335. $3319.3398: 3354: 3330,3358: 3343.3371: 3341,3365: 3423$.

[^23]:    ${ }^{17}$ Classical Numismatic Group. Inc. Auction 27. 29 September 1993. 1137. A vecond' Vietory' specimen (CNO Auction 32.7 December 1994, 452) has re-appeared as CNG Auction 73. 13 September 2006. 684, now classilucd (correctly) as 'Ingure (Virius') standing at prow brandishing spear and shiedd and therefore a new varicty. $3^{\prime}$ vi.
    on Ohers from same die in BM. Ashmolean.
    6) Ashmolean (Evans).
     next note.

[^24]:    71 Classical Numsmatic Gronp, Inc., Auction $38.6-7$ June 1996. 1134 . In a few other catses the craft. though belonging to one of the main varleties, varies somewhat in form and may tepresent an eatly example of the variety. e.g. 3733 - 4 , and Fitawillian. Henderson 3112 (n. 53 above): the coin is poorly preserved but the shap appeats to be of type 8 , with the upper bodies of the cres indieated. as is the case lor this CNG specimen.

[^25]:    72. That a proportion of the C mint coins may not have moved very far from their place of minting before they were hoarded might at first sight be taken to suppor a westerly location for that min. However, athough we know where the hoard was deposited, we cannot know where it was assembled, the question of the location of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ' must reman open
    ${ }^{72}$ Oma-Ornstem 1995: sec allso Manom 2003. expectially Chapter9
[^26]:    is Webh 1906
    3 Honsmann 1966. My thamks to Martin Alleal for providing me with a copy of this, See also Grietsan and Blackbum 1986. p1.34. 749.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Lyon 1984.

[^27]:    37 Bulliey 1994.
    78.8 Band 1991 .

    23 These figuces, though, are likely to be under-estmates, baved as they are on a formula that take no account of variabie output
     mumbers centred on 245 obverses and 406 revernes

    Sil Bumpet 1984

[^28]:    ${ }^{21}$ Lyme 2003. 166-7.
    ${ }^{82}$ CNG Mal Bid Sale 70. 21 September 2005, lot 1061; from the same dies as Rogitet 3277 (2.50 g ).
    ${ }^{81}$ Bland 1984. +1
    ${ }^{4}$ The Ashmotean figures exclude cons that are noticeathly corroded or worn
    ${ }^{25}$ Robertson 1978. 280-8.
    *t Copect al 1997..33.

[^29]:    $\$ 7$ Lloyd 1998

[^30]:    ${ }^{2 x}$ Casey 1994. 133-4
    ${ }^{\text {x. }}$ An early date for the Q-radiates might afso help to account for the occasional examples found on the Continent
    wif Besly 1984.

[^31]:    91 Extion 1995, 148.

[^32]:    ${ }^{21}$ For example, the Bridgend hoard. Besly 2002, 180, 210-15.
    ${ }^{44}$ Pollard and Heron 1996, 52.
    ${ }^{15}$ Anheuser and France 2002
    is. Vlachou er al., in press.

[^33]:    97. Cope ct al. 1997.33.
