# THE HALFPENCE AND FARTHINGS OF HENRY VI: A RE-ASSESSMENT 

PAUL AND BENTE R. WITHERS

THE mint, or the office of the crown controlling the mint, clearly felt from time to time that, aside from the products of individual minting places, some issues of particular coins needed identifying. One immediately thinks of the pennies issued with snicked flans during the reign of Henry I, and the debased issue halfpennies of Edward III, identified by a star. In the case of these two issues, the first was something of a guarantee that the coin was genuine, and the second indicated that the coin was of a baser fabric than previous issues. Privy marks, other than the initial cross, become increasingly evident from the time of Edward I onwards. This came to a peak during the reign of Henry VI. The idea that these marks were intended to denote particular batches of coins for quarterly pyx trials has now been discredited, though it still seems to appeal to some. The reality of the situation, however, is that whilst these marks evidently served some purpose other than decoration, no one has come up with a satisfactory explanation of what that purpose, or those purposes, might have been. It is likely that, until the reign of Henry VI, they had something to do with an early stage of manufacture, possibly the die production stage, as the dies seem, after manufacture, to have been promiscuously muled, being kept in store and re-used - willy-nilly - later, even in a cracked, rusty or worn state. This applies equally to the gold and small silver coins. On the small coins of Henry VI, where the faces, crowns and neck-and-shoulders punches are uniformly similar, and the coins generally well made, the differences of the privy and issue marks are more conspicuous than on coins of previous reigns. Although the coins may initially appear to be more uniform (the neck and shoulders, for example, appear unaltered throughout the reign), there are still no fewer than eight different faces appearing on the coins. Two of these continue from the coins of Henry V, and three continue in use into the reign of Edward IV. The conclusion which one may reach from this is that individual punches were lasting longer at this time than they were in earlier reigns. It is quite likely that a similar number of crown and neck-and-shoulders punches could also be found, if one had the time and inclination to look for them.

The coinages of Henry VI appear complicated for several reasons: the obverse and reverse legends are punctuated by a variety of stops, which may be single saltires, double saltires, rosettes, trefoils, mascles and pinecones - either alone or in combination with mascles - and for the last issue a mullet. The coins of Calais of the first issue have an annulet in the field either side of the neck of the king, whilst those of York have a lis either side. Other issues may have pellets in the upper field, either by the crown or the hair; and one unique coin has a single pellet by the crown. At the sides of the neck or bust there can be saltires or trefoils, whilst the neck itself can be plain, or bear a saltire or a leaf. There may or may not be apostrophe abbreviation marks, both in the legend and at its end. However, full, well-struck coins are so rarely seen that it is often difficult to determine the full correct legend and punctuation on many coins. Reverse varieties abound too, for, in addition to the mint names for Calais, London and York, variations from the plain standard reverse of CIVITAS LONDON, with three pellets in each angle of a long cross, include an annulet in each of two opposite quarters of the reverse on the halfpence of the first issue from all three mints. On some later dies there is an extra pellet in the outer field in each of two opposite quarters, or on the inner circle itself. Rare examples include coins with only one extra pellet, and one dic has no fewer than four extra pellets, two in the field in opposite quarters and two on the inner circle. Additional marks in the reverse legend include an apostrophe, single or double saltires,
rosette, mascle and pinecone - alone or in combination - or a leaf, and an object that may be intended to be a leaf under the E of SIE on two Calais halfpenny dies. Couple the above with obverse and reverse spelling errors, different sizes of mascle and one that is solid, not voided, the use of eight face punches on the halfpenny, and such esoterica as the face normally used on the farthing dies being used on the halfpenny dies, and it is amazing that there are not many more varieties known. There are certainly many more varieties and combinations possible, and it is quite likely that more will turn up as readers use this article to find references for their coins.

Anyone involved in numismatics cannot fail to be aware that we owe a tremendous amount to those who have gone before us. Indeed, even those who study only modern coins should be aware of this through the standing on the shoulders of giants edge on a recent coin. However, from time to time, on searching really deeply, one learns that although the giants were tall, they may sometimes have been a little short-sighted, or had their vision obscured. C.A. Whitton published a survey of the silver coins of Henry VI in the British Numismatic Journal for 1938-41, which for sixty years has been the standard, specialist reference on the subject. ${ }^{1}$ The first reason for beginning our study was the number of halfpennies and farthings of Henry VI that were not, or did not seem to be, listed by Whitton. Secondly, early volumes of the Journal containing Whitton's article are now very difficult to find. The basis for our study was the collection of David Rogers, who assiduously collected hammered silver halfpennies and farthings, but was tragically killed in a road accident in 1999, before he could publish his observations. In addition to his collection, now acquired by the British and Ashmolean museums, we visited all of the museum collections seen by Whitton, and several that he did not (see Acknowledgements below). We have also seen several private collections, both large and small, select and general. Through the wonders of modern technology we have even been able to view images of coins in collections in places as distant as Australia and California, which has helped us considerably. We are able to make digital images of coins and view them, in full colour, magnified so as to be a foot wide on a computer screen. Such images may also be easily compared with others, which enables the die identity of some coins to be determined with certainty. This same technology has also been instrumental in reducing errors, as in cases of any doubt it has been possible for two or more people to look at the image of a coin simultaneously, and to discuss the observations of the other, not only at the time of looking at the coin. If all coins have been photographed, it is possible to check the accuracy or otherwise of one's observations later - essential if one is in Belfast and wanting to compare a coin there with ones seen in Cambridge, Glasgow, Oxford or elsewhere. In the case of one coin, we were able to decide that we had been in error when we said that the coin had a leaf at the end of the obverse legend, when we came across an earlier state of the die which revealed that what we had thought to be a leaf was a combination of an apostrophe and a spreading die cud. To this end we must make a plea for authors of articles in this and other publications always to make illustrations of halfpennies and farthings of this period double-size. In our experience 1:1 illustrations are of little use, as the evidence one is sometimes seeking may be smaller than the printer's dots in the illustrations.

A century ago, in 1902, F.A. Wallers in 'The silver coinage of the reign of Henry VI' in the Numismatic Chronicle for that year, wrote:

> It is now more than thirty years since Mr Neck wrote his admirable paper on the Coinage of Henry V. V. and VI. and as in this interval many coins unknown to him have come to light, particutarly in connection with the reign of Henry VI, the time would seem to have arrived for attempting a further classification of the coins of this period than has hitherto been possible...

Whitton recorded 133 different halfpennies and farthings. Our observations have confirmed only sixty-six of these, but have added a further ninety-one coins. However, the number of varieties is not as large as it might be as, in the British Muscum collection and that in the Ashmolean Museum, where Whitton's own collection now resides, there are fourteen varieties that Whitton recorded where we think his observations were erroneous. Having seen rather more coins than Whitton and earlier writers, we find that not all of the categories to which these coins have been
${ }^{1}$ C.A. Whitton. 'The Heavy Coinage of Henry VI', BNJ 23 (1938-41). 59-90. 205-67, 399-437.
assigned are particularly logical, nor do they fit harmoniously with the categories of the larger coins.

One of the key questions in this series is 'when exactly does the annulet issue begin?' Whitton assumed all annulet coins to be of Henry VI, whereas Walters in 1902 had placed annulet issue coins with the first pierced cross initial mark under Henry V, with the beginning of Henry VI's issues coming with the second pierced, incurved ('roundabout') cross. It is most likely that the annulet issue begins during the reign of Henry V, coinciding with the opening of the Calais mint. Goldbeter's indenture of 1422 gives provision for mints at London and Calais, and there are records of dies being sent to Calais on 16 May 1422, with the new coin issued from there on 20 July, 1422.

We think that the introduction of the rounded, bib-shaped chest with wide-topped neck marks the beginning of the coinage of Henry VI. It is likely that a substantial number of new dies were prepared as a result of the renewal of Goldbeter's indenture at the beginning of the new reign, with provision for mints at London, Calais, York and Bristol. The York halfpence are of this design and were first made in 1423, and are therefore definitely of Henry VI rather than Henry V. However, only the halfpennies of York have the new chest type; the single known York farthing has the small, pointed-top neck of Henry V, so evidently an old punch was initially used for the smaller denomination. Both old and new style neck punches occur for Calais and London.

In the chapter on the coins of Henry VI in English Hammered Coinage, ${ }^{2}$ J.J. North, following Whitton, continues with the four kinds of cross and two sub-varieties of each of the cross fleury and the pierced cross that Whitton identifies. However, our observations reveal that, for the cross fleury, there are not just two, but several more variations of punch, which, whilst different, are so similar that we can see no purpose in differentiating them - nor of expanding the number of types of pierced cross, which is also possible. The same goes for the several varieties of plain cross, unknown to Whitton. These vary from one that might be described as 'Greek' to another that is practically Maltese, and there are several intermediate types. We have simplified these to the three basic types: plain, pierced and fleury, as they seem to be the products of punches in a particular die-sinker's kit rather than a definite statement of making a difference. On investigating the revised, corrected and simplified listing, it seemed possible that the initial cross might, perhaps for the first time, have a function other than that of simply providing a start/stop point for the legend and being an allegorical statement of the ruler's dedication to the Christian religion. Within each issue, as defined by the legend punctuation or marks in the field, if the coins are arranged by cross type it is very tempting to see the marks as pertaining to the period of tenure of particular masters of the mint, with a change of master corresponding to a change of mark. However, that theory is scotched by both initial marks, i.e. cross fleury on the obverse and plain cross on the reverse, often appearing on groats.

Whitton's study of the minor denominations suffers from the fact that he had seen too few coins, of which he himself was aware. He was also aware that such minor details as apostrophe punctuation marks reflect the whim of the individual die-sinker, or his competence; for, on some coins, the final letter of the legend is so close to the initial cross that it would not have been possible to include an apostrophe, even had the die-sinker wanted to do so. Although Whitton lists coins with and without a final apostrophe as varieties - varieties that an obsessive collector might find interesting - he lists coins without an apostrophe that do indeed have one, and there are others when his reported apostrophe is imaginary. These have been corrected when we have recognised coins from the same dies which have more of the legend visible. Halfpence of the annulet issue of the London mint, however - all neat and tidy coins - appear always to have a final apostrophe. This would also seem to hold true for most of the Calais coins for that issue and for more than half of the rosette-mascle issue, whereas few coins of the London mint of that issue have any. Whitton's observations on stops were also a trifle unreliable, and he lists some coins with single saltire stops that actually have double saltire stops, and we have seen others where his double stops are imaginary.

[^0]One change we have made is to list separately as an 'unmarked issue' one which Whitton dismissed as 'annulet-rosette mules, or true coins of the rosette period', ${ }^{3}$ despite the fact that they bear no annulets or rosettes. These coins have only single saltire or double saltire stops in their legends and no other marks of identity, on either obverse or reverse. We have included in this group one coin with a cross fleury initial mark which Whitton, assuming that it was late, placed at the end of the rosette-mascle issue. Four obverses are known of London for this issue. Only one coin is known for Calais, and that a mule. There are, however, Calais groats known with plain reverses, so larger silver coins of the this type were issued too. We have also moved a coin which Whitton arbitrarily designated as a rosette-mascle issue, even though it has no rosette. We have allocated this to the pinecone-mascle issue because it has the legend HENRICVS which is used on many other dies of this coinage and not at all on rosette-mascle coins. Another coin we have reallocated is W 22, which does not have a leaf on the breast as stated by Whitton. It must therefore be seen as a rosette-mascle/leaf-mascle mule.

We have decided on a few sea changes in the name and arrangements of some issues, and the way in which they are divided, in order to reflect more clearly the distinguishing marks on the coins. In order to prevent confusion, we have made our names for these slightly different from the names used by earlier authors. For those who would condemn this, we can only point to a time a century and a half ago when Hawkins named two of his issues the 'pine-cone trefoil' issue and 'pine-cone pellet' because he believed that the object on the breast was a pinecone, not a leaf. Walters, to add confusion to mystery, continued with these names even though he knew that the object was a leaf!

NEW NAMES
Annulet issue
Annulet-trefoil issue*
Plain issue
Rosette-mascle issue
Pinecone-mascle issue
Leal issue, mascle stops
Leaf issue.
saltire stops
leaf stops
trefoil stops
Trefoil-leaf issue. trefoil stops saltire stops
Trefoil-pellet issue*
Pellet-leaf issue
Unmarked issue*
Saltire-leaf issue, nothing by crown pellets by crown
Saltire issue. nothing by crown pellets by crown, no stops pellets by crown, mullet stops
Lis-pellet issue* Lis-pellet issue (London groat only)

The full definitions for each type can be seen in the tables below.
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{d}, 2 / \mathrm{h}, 3 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger)$, - indicates that obverse 1 is known with reverse b , obverse 2 is known with reverses $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$ and h ; obverse 3 is recorded by Whitton with reverse a , but has not been confirmed by us.

[^1]Henry VI
$(\dagger)$ denotes readings reported by Whitton, but not confirmed.

## HALFPENNIES

Diameter $13-15 \mathrm{~mm}$.
7.5 grains $=0.49 \mathrm{~g} 0.925$ fine

Actual weight 0.42 g (an average of sixty-six specimens, ranging from 0.32 g to 0.54 g )

## LONDON

## ANNULET ISSUE

Plain obverse, single or double saltire stops in legend. Reverse with annulets in CIVI and LON quarters. N.1434; S. 1848.
A. 1 (pierced cross) hEnRIC, REX : AnGL'
A. 2 (pierced cross) hEnRIC, REX - AnGL'
A. 3 (incurved cross) hEnRIC* REX : AnGL'
A. 4 (incurved cross) hEnRIC' REX - ANGL'
A. 5 (plain cross) hEnRIC* REX $\times$ ADGL'
A. 6 (plain cross) hEnRIC* REX * AnGL'
a CIVI TASLON DOn
Combinations: 1/a, 2/a, 3/a, 4/a. 5/a, 6/a ( $\dagger$ ).
Mule: annulet / rosette-mascle A.6/RM.f

## PLAIN ISSUE (1st unmarked issue)

Plain obverse, single or double saltire stops in legend. Plain reverse. N. 1453; S.—,
P. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC, REX $\times$ AnGL'
P. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX $\times$ AnGL'
P. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX * ARGL×
P. 4 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGL
a CIVI TASLON DON
Combinations: 1/a, 2/a. 3/a, 4/a.
Mule: plain / rosette-mascle P.2/RM.b

## ROSETTE-MASCLE ISSUE

Plain obverse, rosette and/or mascle in legend. Plain reverse, or with rosette and/or mascle in legend, N.1453; S.1870.
RM.I (plain cross) hEnRIC $\approx$ REX (mascle) ANGL ( $\dagger$ )
RM. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX (mascle) AnGL
RM. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC (mascle) REX: AnGL
RM. 4 (plain cross) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnG
RM. 5 (plain cross) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) ANGL
RM. 6 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL
RM. 7 (cross fleury) hEnRC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL
a CIVI TASLON DOn
b CIVI TAS (mascle) LON DON
c CIVI TAS (rosette) LON DON
d CIVI TAS LON DOn (rosette)
e CIVI (mascle) TAS LOM DOn (rosette)
$f$ CIVI TAS (mascle) LON DON (rosette)
g CIVI TAS LOn (mascle) DOn (rosette)
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 1 / \mathrm{d}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{a}, 3 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 4 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{b}, 5 / \mathrm{d}(\dagger), 5 / \mathrm{g}, 6 / \mathrm{a}, 6 / \mathrm{b}, 6 / \mathrm{c}, 6 / \mathrm{d}, 6 / \mathrm{e}, 6 / \mathrm{f}, 7 / \mathrm{a}$.
Mules: rosette-mascle / pinecone-mascle RM.6/PM.g, RM.6/PM.h
Mule: rosette-mascle / leaf-mascle RM.3/LM.c
PINECONE-MASCLE ISSUE
Plain obverse, pinecone and/or mascle in legend. Plain reverse, or with pinecone and/or mascle in legend. N.1468; S. 1884.

PM. 1 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (mascle) REX (pinecone) ADGL' ( $\dagger$ )
PM. 2 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (mascle) REX $\times$ AnGL ( $\dagger$ ) (? error, no pinecone)
PM. 3 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (mascle) REX (mascle) AnGL (? error, no pinecone)
PM. 4 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL

PM. 5 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL' ( $\dagger$ )
PM. 6 (cross fleury) hENRICVS (pinecone) RX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 7 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (mascle) REX (pinecone) AnGL
PM. 8 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (mascle) REX (pinecone) AnGL'
PM. 9 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) ANGL
PM. 10 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL'
PM. 11 (cross fleury) hEnRIC' (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 12 (cross fleury) hEnRIC' (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGl'
PM. 13 (plain cross) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL.

## CIVITASLON DOn <br> EIVI TASLON DON <br> c CIVITAS (mascle) LOn DOn <br> d CIVITAS (mascle) LOI DON <br> e CIVI (mascle) TAS LON DOn <br> $f$ CIVI TASLOn DOn (pinecone) <br> g CIVITAS (mascle) LON DON (pinecone) <br> h CIVITAS (pinecone) LON DOn (mascle)

Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger)$, $2 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{a}, 4 / \mathrm{a}, 4 / \mathrm{f}, 5 / \mathrm{f}(\dagger), 6 / \mathrm{a}, 6 / \mathrm{b}, 7 / \mathrm{c}, 8 / \mathrm{a}, 8 / \mathrm{g}, 9 / \mathrm{g}, 10 / \mathrm{a}, 10 / \mathrm{f}, 11 / \mathrm{a}, 11 / \mathrm{c}, 12 / \mathrm{d}, 13 / \mathrm{a}$.

## LEAF ISSUES

All with leaf on breast:

## Mascle stops

Leaf on breast, mascle in obv. legend. Plain reverse, or with leaf after or under a letter. N.1480; S. 1895
LM. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX (mascle) AnGL
LM. 2 (plain cross) hEnRICV : REX (mascle) AnGL
LM. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC (mascle) REX $\times$ AnGL
CIVI TASLON DOn No marks
b CIVITASLON DOn Leaf $(?)$ under $n$ of LOM
c CIVITAS LOn DOn Leaf after TAS
Combinations: 1/a, 2/a, 2/b, 3/a.

## Saltire stops

Leaf on breast, single or double saltire stops in obv. legend. Plain reverse, reading CIVI or SIV1. N. 1492; S.1905.
LS. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC’ REX * ANGL
LS. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC * REX : AnGL,
LS. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX : AnGL'
LS. 4 (plain cross) hEnRIC * RE : AnGLI
LS. 5 (plain cross) hERIC× REX * AnGL'
LS. 6 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX : ARGL
LS. 7 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX : AnGL
LS. 8 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX • AnGLI
LS 9 (plain cross) hEnRIC'REX $\times$ AnGL'
LS. 10 (plain cross) HEN[RIC RE]X $\times$ AnGl.
a CIVITASLON DOn
b SIVITASLON DOn
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{a}, 3 / \mathrm{a}, 4 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{a}, 7 / \mathrm{a}, 8 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger) .8 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger) .9 / \mathrm{a}, 10 / \mathrm{b}$.
Mule: Leaf-saltire/Leaf-mascle LS.6/LM.c $(\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\prime})$

## Leaf stops

Leal on breast, leaf in obv, legend. Plain reverse, reading CIVI or SIVI. N.1492; S.I905.
LL. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (leaf) REX $\times$ AnG
LL. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (leaf) REX $\times$ AnGL ( $\dagger$ )
LL. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (leaf) REX $\times$ AnGL ( $\dagger$ )
LL. 4 (plain cross) hEnRIC [(leaf) ?] RE $\times$ AnGL'
LL. 5 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX : AMGL (leaf) ( + )
a CIVITASLOO DOn
b SIVITASLON DON
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{b}, 2 \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 4 / \mathrm{a} .5 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger)$.

## Trefoil stops

Leaf on breast, trefoil in obv. legend. Plain reverse, reading CIVI or SIVI. N. 1492; S. 1905.
LT. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC (trefoil) REX * AnGL'
LT. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (trefoil) REX * AnGL
LT. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (trefoil) REX * AnGL'
LT. 4 (plain cross) hEnRICःREX : AnGL (trefoil)
LT. 5 (plain cross) hEnRIC*REX $\times$ AnGL (trefoil)
a CIVITASLON DOn
b SIVITASLON DON
Combinations: 1/a, 2/a, 3/a, 4/a, 4/b, 5/a.

## TREFOIL-LEAF ISSUES

All with trefoils by neck, leaf on breast:

## Trefoil stops

Trefoils by neck, leaf on breast, trefoil in obv. legend. Plain reverse, reading CIVI or SIVI. N. 1500; S.1912.
TT. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGL (trefoil) ( $\dagger$ )
TT. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX (trefoil) AnGL’?
a CIVITASLOn DOn
b SIVITASLON DON
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}(\div), 2 / \mathrm{b}$.

## Saltire stops

Trefoils by neck, leaf on breast, single or double saltire stops in obv. legend. Plain reverse. N. 1500; S. 1912.
TS. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGLI
TS. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX : AnGLI
TS. 3 (cross fleury) hENRC $\cdot$ REX $\times$ ANGLI

## a CIVITASLON DON

Combinations: 1/a, 2/a, 3/a.
Mules : trefoil / pellet-leaf TS.I/PL.g, TS.3/PL.f

## PELLET-LEAF ISSUE

Pellets in upper field (only one pellet on PL.1), leaf on breast. Reverse plain, or with extra pellet(s) in quarters and/or on inner circle. N.I512; S.1928, 1929.
PL. 1 (cross fleury) hEnRIC REX AnGLI Pellet to right of crown
PL. 2 (cross fleury) hEnRIC REX AnGL.I
PL. 3 (cross fleury) hEnRIC REX * AnGLI
PL. 4 (cross fleury) hEnRIC×REX $\times$ ARGLI
PL. 5 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX AnGLI ( $\dagger$ )
PL. 6 (cross fleury) hEnRIC×REX AnGLI
PL. 7 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX - ANGLI
PL. 8 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX : AnGLI
PL. 9 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX * AnGLI *
PL. 10 (cross fleury) hEn $\times$ RIC $\times$ REX AnGLI
PL. 11 (cross fleury) $h E n \times$ RIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGLI
PL. 12 (cross fleury) hEn $\times$ RIC . REX * AnGLI.
PL. 13 (cross fleury) hEn $\times$ RIC $=R E X \times$ AnGLI *
PL. 14 (cross fleury) hEn $\times$ RIC REX : AnGLI
PL. 15 (plain cross) hEnRIC•REX * ANGLI
PL. 16 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX : AnGLI
PL. 17 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX AnGLI
a CIVITASLOn DOn No extra pellets on reverse.
b CIVI TAS LOn DOn Pellet under CIVI on line.
c CIVITASLON DON Pellet under DOn on line
d CIVI TAS LON DON Pellets under CIVI and LON on line.
e CIVITASLON DOn Pellets under TAS and DOn on line.
f CIVI TAS LOn DOn Pellets in CIVI and LOn qtrs.
g CIVITAS LOO DOn Pellets in TAS and DOn gtrs.
h CIVI TAS LON DOn Pellet in CIVI qtr and on line under DOn ( $\dagger$ )
i CIVI TASLON DOn Pellets in TAS and DOn qtrs and under CIVI and LOn on line
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{d}, 2 / \mathrm{h}, 3 \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{d}, 3 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger), 4 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{d}(\dagger), 5 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger), 6 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger), 6 / \mathrm{i}, 7 / \mathrm{e}, 8 / \mathrm{a}, 9 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger), 10 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger), 11 / \mathrm{a}, 11 / \mathrm{c}$, 11/d, 11/e, 11/f, 11/g, 12/e, 13/e, 14/e, 15/a, 15/d ( $\dagger$ ), 15/f, 16/f, 17/g.

## SALTIRE-LEAF ISSUES

Saltires by neck, leaf on breast. Reverse plain, or with extra pellets in two quarters. N. 1523: S. 1942.

## Nothing by crown

SL. 1 (cross fleury) hEnRIC, REX : AnGLI *
SL. 2 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGLI
a CIVITASLOO DOn No extra pellets
b CIVI TAS LON DON Pellets in CIVI and LOn gtrs.
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 1 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{a}$.

## Pellets by crown

SLP.I (cross fleury) hEnRIC REX $\times$ AnGLI
SLP. 2 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGLI ( $\dagger$ )
SLP. 3 (cross fleury) $\mathrm{hEN} \times \mathrm{RIC} \times \mathrm{REX} \times \mathrm{A}$ ALL
a CIVITASLOn DOn No extra pellets
b CIVITAS LOn DOn Pellets in CIVI and LOn qtirs.
c CIVITASLON DOn Pellets in TAS and DOn qutrs.
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 1 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{c}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{a}, 3 / \mathrm{b}$.
Mule: SLP.3/PL.h $(\dagger)$

## SALTIRE ISSUES

Saltire on breast. Reverse plain, or with extra pellets in two quarters. N.1524; S. 1943.

## Nothing by crown

S. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX[ AnG]L
a CIVI TAS LOn DOn Pellets in CIV1 and LOn qtrs.
Combinations: 1/a.

## Pellets by crown. No stops

SP. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX AnGL'
SP. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC' REX AnGLI
SP. 3 (plain cross with pellet in 2nd qtr) hEnRIC REX AnGLI
a CIVI TAS LOn DOn No extra pellets.
b CIVITAS LON DON Pellets in CIVI and LOn qurs.
c CIVITAS LOn DON Pellets in TAS and DOn qtrs.
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{a}, 2 / \mathrm{b} .2 / \mathrm{c}, 3 / \mathrm{b}$.
Pellets by crown. Mullet stops
SPM. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC (mullet) REX AnGLI
SPM. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC (mullet) REX $\times$ AnGLI ( $\dagger$ )
SPM. 3 (plain cross) hERIC' (mullet) REX[ AnG]LI
a CIVI TAS LOn DOn No extra pellets.
b CIVI TAS LON DOn Pellets in CIVI and LOn quts.
c CIVI TAS LOn DOn Pellets in TAS and DOn qtrs.
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{b}, 2 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{c}(\dagger), 3 / \mathrm{a}$.

## CALAIS

## ANNULET ISSUE

Annulets by neck. Reverse with annulets in VIL and CAL quarters. N.1435; S. 1849.
A. 1 (pierced cross) hEnRIC ' REX * AnGL' ( $\dagger$ )
A. 2 (incurved cross) hEnRIC* REX © AnGL'
A. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC* REX * AnGL'
a VILLA:CAL IS*
Combinations: 1/a( $\uparrow$ ), 2/a, 3/a.
Mules: annulet/rosette-mascle A.3/RM.a. A.3/RM.c( $\dagger$ ), A.3/RM.d, A.3/RM.e, A.3/RM.g.A.3/RM.i( $\dagger$ ), A.3/RM.m.
Mule: annulet / pinecone-mascle A. 3/PM.a( $\dagger$ )

## PLAIN ISSUE

Plain obverse, saltire stops in legend. No plain reverse found.
P. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX * ARGL'

Mule: plain / rosette-mascle P.1/RM.a

## ROSETTE-MASCLE ISSUE

Plain obverse, rosette and/or mascle in legend. Reverse with rosette and/or mascle in legend. N.1454; S.1871.
RM.I (plain cross) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL
RM. 2 (plain cross) hEПRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) ADGL'
RM. 3 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (rosette) REX (mascle) ADGL
RM. 4 (plain cross) hEnRIC' (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL'
RM. 5 (plain cross) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnG' ( $\dagger$ )
RM. 6 (plain cross) hEnIC (rosette) REX (mascle) ADGL'
RM. 7 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL
RM. 8 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL'
RM. 9 (cross fleury) hEnIC' (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL.'
a VIL LA $=$ CAL IS' (rosette)
b VIL LA $\times$ CAL IS (rosette) $(\dagger)$
c VIL (mascle) LA CAL IS (rosette)
d VIL (mascle) LA CAL IS' (rosette)
e VIL (mascle) LA CAL I'S (rosette)
f VIL (mascle) LA $\times$ CAL IS (rosette)
g VIL (mascle) LA * CAL IS' (rosette)
h (mascle) VIL LA *CAL IS (rosette)
i VIL (rosette) LA CAL IS (mascle)
j VIL (mascle) LA CALI SIE
k VIL (mascle) LA $*$ CALI SIE
1 VIL (mascle) LA * CALI SIE (rosette)
m VIL (mascle) LA $\times$ CALI SIE (rosette)
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 1 / \mathrm{d}, 1 / \mathrm{g}, 1 / \mathrm{j}, 2 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{c}, 2 / \mathrm{d}, 2 / \mathrm{f}, 2 / \mathrm{g}, 2 / \mathrm{h}, 2 / \mathrm{i}, 2 / \mathrm{k}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{l}, 3 / \mathrm{d}, 4 / \mathrm{c}, 4 / \mathrm{d}, 5 / \mathrm{c}(\dagger), 6 / \mathrm{f}, 8 / \mathrm{c}, 8 / \mathrm{d}, 8 / \mathrm{g} .8 / \mathrm{k}$. 9/c. 9/d.
Mules: rosette-mascle / annulel RM.7/A.a, RM.8/A.a( $\dagger$ )
Mules: rosette-mascle / pinecone-mascle RM.7/PM.a, RM.7/PM.c

## PINECONE-MASCLE ISSUE

Plain obverse, pinecone and/or mascle in legend. Reverse with pinecone and mascle in legend. N.1469; S.1885.
PM.I (plain cross) hEnRIC×REX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 2 (cross fleury) hEnRIC $\times$ REX (mascle) AnGL ( $\dagger$ )
PM. 3 (cross fleury) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AПGL
PM. 4 (cross fleury) hEnRIC' (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 5 (cross fleury) hEnRICVS (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
a VIL (mascle) LA CAL IS (pinecone)
b VIL (mascle) LA : CAL IS (pinecone) ( $\dagger$ )
c VIL (mascle) LA CALI SIE (pinecone)
d VIL (mascle) LA : CALI SIE (pinecone)
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{c}, 1 / \mathrm{d}, 2 / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{f}), 3 / \mathrm{c}, 3 / \mathrm{d}, 4 / \mathrm{c}, 5 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{c}, 5 / \mathrm{d}$.
Mule: pinecone-mascle / annulet PM.3/A.a $(\div)$
Mule: pinecone-mascle / rosette-mascle PM.3/RM.c $(\dagger)$
Mule: pinecone-mascle / leaf-mascle PM.5/LM. a

## LEAF ISSUE

## Mascle stops

Leaf on breast. Mascles in legends and leaf in one quarter of rev. N. 1481; S. $1896(\dagger)$.
LM. (plain cross) hEnRIC * REX (mascle) AnGL
a VIL (mascle) LA CALI SIE Leaf under E of SIE.
Mule: leaf-mascle / pinecone-mascle LM.1/.PM.d

## Trefoil stops

Leaf on breast, trefoil in obverse legend. Reverse with trefoil in legend. N.I493; S.-.
LT.I (plain cross) hEnRIC (trefoil) REX $\times \mathrm{A} G \mathrm{GL}$
LT. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC (trefoil) REX* $\triangle$ ПGLI
a VIL LA (trefoil) CAL ISIE

Combination: 2/a coin-weight for groat, 57.8 gr .
Mule: LT.1/LM.a N.—; S.1906A

## YORK

## ANNULET ISSUE

Lis by neck. Reverse with annulets in two quarters.
A. 1 (incurved cross) hEnRIC×REX * AnGL'
a CIVI TAS EBO RACI annulets in CIVI and EBO qtrs.
Combination: 1/a.
N.1436: S. 1850 .

## FARTHINGS

Diameter 9-11mm.
3.75 grains $=0.25 \mathrm{~g} 0.925$ fine

Actual weight 0.21 g (average of thirteen specimens, ranging from 0.18 g to 0.26 g )

## LONDON

ANNULET ISSUE N. 1437; S.1851.
A. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC' $\times$ REX * AnGLI 'Bib' chest
A. 2 (cross pommée) hEnRIC* REX $\times$ AnGL
A. 3 (plain cross) [hEnRIC $]$ REX $\times$ AnGL
a CIVITASLON DON
Combinations: 1/a, 2/a, 3/a.
ROSETTE-MASCLE ISSUE N. $1455 ;$ S. 1872.
RM. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC (mascle) REX [(rosette)] AMGL
RM. 2 (cross pommée) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) [ANGL]
RM. 3 [(cross fleury)?] hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL[?] ( $\dagger$ )
a CIVITASLOO DOn
b CIVI TASLON DON Rosette over last n ? ( $\dagger$ )
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 2 / \mathrm{a}, 3 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger)$.
PINECONE-MASCLE ISSUE N.I470; S. 1886.
PM. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
PM. 3 (plain cross) hEnRICVS [(pinecone)?] REX [(mascle)?] ADGL
a CIVITASLON DOn
b CIVI TOR LON DON (TOR in place of TAS) ( $\dagger$ )
c CIVITAS (pinecone) LOM DOn ( $t$ )
d CIVITASLON DON (pinecone) $(t)$
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 2 / \mathrm{a}, 2 / \mathrm{b}(\div), 2 / \mathrm{c}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{d}(\uparrow), 3 / \mathrm{a}$.

## LEAF ISSUE

Mascle stops
'Leaf on breast and after CIVI'. Not located (Walters 1932, lot 298), insufficient detail visible on Lockett 3102 (presumably the same piece) to confirm.

## LEAF ISSUE

## Trefoil stops

Leaf on breast, trefoil in obv. legend. N.1494; S. 1907.
LT.I (plain cross) hEnRIC (trefoil) REX • AnGL
LT. 2 (plain cross) hE[-.....-] AnGl'
a CIVITASLODDON
Combinations: I/a. 2/a.

## TREFOIL-LEAF ISSUE

Trefoils by neck, leaf on breast. $\mathrm{N} .-$ S.—.
TL. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX[...] L
a CIVITASLON DOn
Combinations: 1/a.

## PELLET-LEAF ISSUE

Pellets by crown, leaf on breast. N. 1513; S. 1930.
PL. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC REX AחGLI
PL. 2 [(plain cross)] hEnRIC REX AnGL[--]
PL. 3 (plain cross) hEnRC REX An[?GLI]
PL. 4 (plain cross) hEn[RIC ]REX×ANGLI
PL. 5 (plain cross) - $]$ EnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnG -
a CIVITASLOO DOn No extra pellets
b CIVI TASLON DON Pellet under CIVI and LON on line
c CIVI TAS LOn DOn Pellet under TAS and DOn on line
Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}, 1 / \mathrm{c}, 2 / \mathrm{b}, 3 / \mathrm{c}, 4 / \mathrm{a}, 5 / \mathrm{a}$.

## SALTIRE ISSUES

Saltire on neck, nothing by crown N.-: S. 1944.
S. 1 (plain cross) hEnRC REX ANGLI
a CIVITASLOO DON No extra pellets
Combination: 1/a.
Saltire on neck, pellets by crown N.1525; S. 1944 .
SP. 1 (plain cross) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGLI ( $\dagger$ )
SP. 2 (plain cross) hEnRIC REX AnGLI
a CIVI TAS LOMDOn Pellets under CIVI and LOn on line Combinations: $1 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger), 2 / \mathrm{a}$.

## CALAIS

ANNULET ISSUE
annulets by neck. N. 1438; S. 1852.
A. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC $\times$ REX $\times$ AnGL' Thin neck
A. 2 (cross pommée) hEnRIC' REX - AnGL' 'Bib' chest
A. 3 (cross pommée) hEnRIC• REX • AnGL' 'Bib' chest
a VIL LA: CAL IS:
b VIL LA * CAL IS*
c VILLA:CAL IS:
Combination: 1/a, 2/b, 3/c.
ROSETTE-MASCLE ISSUE N.1456; S. 1873.
RM. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC (rosette) REX (mascle) AnGL
a VIL (mascle) LA CAL IS (rosette)
Combination: $1 / \mathrm{a}$.
PINECONE-MASCLE ISSUE N. 1472; S. 1887.
PM. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC (pinecone) REX (mascle) AnGL
a VIL (mascle) LA CAL IS (pinecone)
Combination: 1/a.

## YORK

ANNULET ISSUE lis by neck. N.-; S.1852A.
A. 1 (cross pommée) hEnRIC * REX * AnGL. Thin neck
a CIVI TAS EBO RACI No extra marks
Combination: 1/a.

## CONCORDANCE

Withers Whitton Comments Location

## LONDON HALFPENNIES

Annulet issue Annulet issue

| A. $1 / \mathrm{a}$ | $(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. $2 / \mathrm{a}$ | 2 |
| A. $3 / \mathrm{a}$ | $(3)$ |
| A. $4 / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 |
| A. $5 / \mathrm{a}$ | 5 |
| A. $6 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger)$ | 6 |
| A. $6 /$ RM. |  |


| Misread by Whitton | Ash, BM, DJR |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Ash, Fitz, Grayburn |
| Misread by Whitton | Ash, BM, DJR, Fitz, Hunt |
|  | Fitz |
|  | Ash, DJR, Fitz, NMGW, Castle |
| BM examples not clear enough to confirm |  |
|  | AHB, DJR |
| Misread by Whitton | Ash, DJR, NMS, Buck |
|  | DJR |
|  | BM |
|  | Ash, BM |
|  | Russell |
| Not found (BM) |  |
| Not found (Ash) |  |
| Not found (BM) |  |
|  | BM |
|  | Ash |
|  | BM, DJR |
| Not found (BM) |  |
|  | DJR, Hunt |
|  | BM, DJR, Fitz |
|  | BM, DJR, Fitz |
|  | BM |
|  | Ash |
|  | DJ. ${ }^{\text {d, Spink }}$ |
|  | BM, Grayburn |
|  | Buck |
|  | DJR |
|  | Hunt/C |
| Mis-reported by Whitton, no leaf on breast Ash |  |


| Plain issue | Rosette-mascle issue |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| P.1/a | 5 b | Ash, DJR, NMS, Buck |  |
| P.2/a | $6 \mathrm{~b},(8)$ | Misread by Whiton | DJR |
| P.3/a | $(7)$ |  | BM |
| P.4/a | 14 |  | Ash, BM |
| P.2/RM.b | - | Russell |  |

Rosette-mascle issue

| RM.1/a $(\dagger)$ | 9 a |
| :--- | :--- |
| RM.1/d $(\dagger)$ | 9 b |
| RM.2/a | - |
| RM.3/a $(\dagger)$ | 10 |
| RM.4/a | - |
| RM.5/a | - |
| RM.S/b | - |
| RM.5/d $(\dagger)$ | 11 |
| RM.5/g | - |
| RM.6/a | 13 a |
| RM.6/b | 13 c |
| RM.6/c | 13 b |
| RM.6/d | - |
| RM.6/e | 13 d |
| RM.6/f | - |
| RM.7/a | 13 e |
| RM.6/PM.g | - |
| RM.6/PM.h | $(22)$ |
| RM.3/LM.c |  |


| Pinecone-mascle issue | Pinecone-mascle issue |
| :--- | :--- |
| PM.1/a $(\dagger)$ | 15 |
| PM.2/a $(\dagger)$ | 17 |
| PM.3/a | 12 |
| PM.4/a | 18 a |
| PM.4/f $(\dagger)$ | 18 b |
| PM.5/f $(\dagger)$ | 16 |
| PM.6/a | - |
| PM.6/b | - |
| PM.7/e | 19 a |
| PM.8/a | 19 c |
| PM.8/g $(\dagger)$ | 19 b |
| PM.9/g | - |
| PM.10/a | 20 b |
| PM.10/f | 20 a |
| PM.11/a | - |
| PM.11/c | - |
| PM.12/d | - |
| PM.13/a | - |


| Not found (BM) <br> Not found (BM) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Ash, BM, Buck |
|  | BM |
| Not located (Spink) |  |
| Not found (BM) |  |
|  | BM, Cook |
|  | DJR |
|  | BM |
|  | Ash, BM, DJR |
| Not found (BM) |  |
|  | Ash, Buck |
|  | Ash, DJR, Grayburn, NMGW |
|  | BM, DJR |
|  | Hunt/C, Buck |
|  | DJR |
|  | Grayburn |
|  | BM. Grayburn |

Withers
Leaf issue
Mascle stops
LM.1/a
LM. 2 /b 21
LM.3/a -
Leaf-trefoil issue

## Saltire stops

LS.1/a 27
LS.1/b $(\div) \quad 27$
LS. $2 / \mathrm{a}$
LS.3/a
LS.4/a
LS.5/a
L.S.7/a

LS.8/a ( $\dagger$ )
LS.8/b ( $\dagger$ )
LS.9/a
LS.10/b
LS. 6 /LM.c ( $\dagger$ )
Leaf issue Leaf stops
LL. 1/b
24
LL. 2/a ( $\dagger$ )
LL. 3/a $(\dagger)$
LL. 3/b $\left.{ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\dagger}\right)$
25 a
25b
LL.4/a
26

## Leaf issue

Trefoil stops
LT.1/a 3
LT.2/a
I
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { LT.3/a } \\ \text { LT.4/a } & \text { - } \\ \text { LT.4a }\end{array}$
LT.4/b 32b
LT.5/a -
Trefoil-leaf issue Trefoil stops
TT.I/a ( $\dagger$ ) 33
TT.2/b 34
Saltire stops
TS, $1 / \mathrm{a}$
35a
TS.3/a 36
TS.1/PL.g 35b
TS.3/PL.f
Pellet-leaf issue
PL. 1/b
PL. 2/b
PL.2/d
PL.2/h
PL.3/a ( $\dagger$ )
PL.3/d
PL.3/e ( $\dagger$ )
PL.4/a
PL. $5 / \mathrm{d}(\dagger)$
PL.5/e ( $\dagger$ )
PL.6/e ( $\dagger$ )
PL. $6 / \mathrm{i}$
PL. 7/e
PL.8/a
Whitton

7a
27b
-
-
28
30a
30b
(29)

23

Comments
Location

Ash

Not located (LAL)
DJR, Fitz, Buck, Allen
Buck DJR, Buck DJR
DJR. Fitz
DJR. Hunt/C

BM, Grayburn. Buck


BM, DJR
Not found (BM)
Not found (BM)
Not located (AHB)

Not located (AHB)
RM

Ash, NMS
Ash, BM, DJR
BM, DJR, Fitz, Hunt
BM
BM
Buck

Not found (BM)
Fitz
BM, DJR, Fitz
BM
Ash
Ash

PW
Spink

## BM, DJR, Grayburn



DJR

| Not located (AHB) | DJR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not found (BM) | DJR |

Not found (BM)
Not found (BM)
Not found (BM)
Misread by Whitton BM
DJR
Buck

## THE HALFPENCE AND FARTHINGS OF HENRY V]

Withers
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { PL.9/e }(\dagger) & 38 \\ \text { PL } 10 / \mathrm{e}(\dagger) & 43\end{array}$
PL.11/a 46d
PL.11/c $\quad-$
PL.II/e 46a
PL. $11 / \mathrm{f} \quad 46 \mathrm{c}$
PL.11/g
PL.13/e 45
PL.14/e -
PL.15/a 47c
PL. 15/d ( $\dagger$ ) 47b
PL.15/f 47a
PL.16/f 48
PL.17/g -
Saltire-leaf issue
Nothing by crown
SL.1/a
SL. $1 / \mathrm{b}$
SL.2/a -
Pellets by crown
SLP.1/a -
SLP.1/b ( $\uparrow$ ) 50
SLP.2/a $(\dagger) \quad 51 \mathrm{c}$
SLP.2/b ( $\dagger$ ) 51a
SLP. $2 / \mathrm{c}(\dagger) \quad 51 \mathrm{~b}$
SLP.3/a 49b
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SLP.3/b } & -\quad 49\end{array}$

## Saltire Issue

Nothing by crown
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.I/a } \\ \text { Pellets by crown, no stops } & \text { DJR }\end{array}$
SP 1/b

| $\mathrm{SP} .2 / \mathrm{a}$ | - |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{SP} .2 / \mathrm{b}$ | - |

SP.2/c 53a
SP.3/b - Grayburn
Pellets by crown, mullet stops
SPM.1/a 52*
SPM.1/b -
SPM.2/b $(\dagger) \quad 52 \mathrm{a}$
SPM.2/c $(t) \quad 52 \mathrm{~b}$
SPM.3/a

## CALAIS HALFPENNIES

| Annulet issue | Annulet issue |
| :--- | :---: |
| A.1/a $(\dagger)$ | 1 |
| A.2/a | 2 |
| A.3/a | 3 |
| A.3/RM.a | 3 b |
| A.3/RM.c $(\dagger)$ | 3 c |
| A.3/RM.d | - |
| A.3/RM.e | - |
| A.3/RM.g | - |
| A.3/RM.i $(\dagger)$ | 3 d |
| A.3/RM.m | - |
| A.3/PM.a $(\dagger)$ | 3 e |
| Plain issue | Rosette-mascle issue |
| P.I/RM.a | - |

## Comments

Location
Not located (LAL)
BNJ pI. VIII, 18. Obv. legend not clear
DJR. Hunt/C
DJR
DJR
Ash, BM, DJR
BM
DJR, Grayburn

BM, Buck Buck BM
Not found (BM)


Ash
Buck

Hunt

Not found (BM)
Not located (LAL)
Not found (Ash)
Not located (RCB)
Ash Buck
Not located (RCB)

Beaver
Buck, Spink
Ash. DJR
BM, DJR, Hunt/C, Buck

Ash, DJR
BM. Grayburn
Not found (BM)
Not found (Ash)

> Spink

Not found (BM)
Ash, BM, DJR, Fit\%.
BM, DJR, Fitz. Grayburn, Hunt. Hunt/C. NMS. Buck
DJR, Grayburn, Hunt/C
Not located (Walters, 329)
Buck
Grayburn Grayburn
Not located (Lord Middleton, 24)
Not located (C E Molineux. RCB, 104)

Withers
Rosette-mascle issue
RM.1/a
Whitton
Comments
Location
-
Buck

RM.1/g -
(4g) Misread by Whitton
4a Not located (CAW)
RM.2/b $(\dagger) \quad 4$
RM.2/c
RM.2/d
RM.2/f
RM.2/g
.
-
4 c
-
4 d
4 e
$4 \mathrm{f} \quad$ Not found (BM)

- $\quad$ BM
- 

5
6
$7 a$
-
(7b, 9b)
8
-
-
9a Not confirmed, 'after $L$ not visible on
BNJ pl. VI, 21
9c
9d
Pinecone-mascle issue
Pinecone-mascle issue
PM.I/c
PM.I/d
PM. 2 h ( $\dagger$ )
PM.3/c
PM.3/d
PM.4/c
PM.5/a
PM.5/c
PM.5/d
PM.3/A.a ( $\dagger$ )
PM.3/RM.c ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
PM.5/LM.a

Leaf issue
Mascle stops
LM. 1/PM.d

## Leaf issue Trefoil stops

LT.1/LM.a
LT. 2/a

## YORK HALFPENNY

Annulet issue
A. $1 / \mathrm{a}$

Annulet issue
1

Buck
BM

Ash
Fitz, Hunt/C
BM, DJR
BM, DJR
Ash. BM, DJR
Fitz
Ash, DJR

BM
Ash BM, Spink
Not located (AHB)
BNJ pl. VI. 22, T Cook
DJR
BM

| Misread by Whitton | BM |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | BM |
| BM |  |

Fitz
BM. Hunt/C
Ash, BM, Allen

Buck
Buck

Hunt/C
Cook
BM, DJR, T Cook
Ash
BM, DJR, NMS
BM, DJR
BM, DJR, Fitz
Ash. BM, DJR. Hunt
Not located (LAL)
Not located (AHB)
This coin Whitton listed as W.13, but it has no leaf on breast

Ash (RCB)
Leaf-mascle issue
(13 ${ }^{-}$) no coin found, Whitton listing in error, see above

- Ash

Leaf-trefoil issue
$-$ DJR
Piedfort, coin-weight for groat, $57.8 \mathrm{gr} \quad$ Fitz

## LONDON FARTHINGS

Annulet issue
A. 1/a
A. $2 / \mathrm{a}$
A. 3/a

## Annulet issue

(I) Misread by Whitton, no ' after ADGLI

Walters, 321
DJR, Fitz
DJR, Clark

THE HALFPENCE AND FARTHINGS OF HENRY VI

| Withers | Whitton | Comments | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rosette-mascle issue | Rosette-mascle issue |  |  |
| RM.1/a | - |  | Hunt/C |
| RM. $2 / \mathrm{a}$ | - |  | BM, DIR |
| RM.3/b ( $\dagger$ ) | 2 | Not located (Walters, 287) |  |
| Pinecone-mascle issue | Pinecone-mascle issue |  |  |
| PM.1/a | - |  | Clark |
| PM. $2 / \mathrm{a}$ | - |  | DJR, Clark |
| PM. $2 / \mathrm{b}(\dagger)$ | 3c | Not located (C E Molineux) |  |
| PM.2/c ( $\dagger$ ) | 3b | Not found (BM) |  |
| PM. $2 / \mathrm{d}(\dagger)$ | 3 a | Not found (BM) |  |
| PM.3/a | - |  | DJR |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leaf issue } \\ & -(\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | Leaf-mascle issue | Not located (Walters, 298) |  |
| Leaf issue Trefoil stops |  |  |  |
| LT.1/a | 4 |  | Buck |
| LT. 2 /a | - |  | DJR |
| Trefoil-leaf issue | Trefoil issue |  |  |
| TL. 1/a | - |  | Clark |
| Pellet-leaf issue | Leaf-pellet issue |  |  |
| PL.1/a | - |  | Buck |
| PL.1/c | (6) | Misread by Whitton | BM, Broomfield |
| PL. 2 b b | - |  | DJR |
| PL. 3/c | (7) | Misread by Whitton | BM |
| PL.4/a | 5 |  | LAL lot 616 |
| PL.5/a | - |  | DJR |
| Saltire issue <br> Nothing by crown | Cross-pellet issue |  |  |
| S. I/a | - |  | BM, DJR |
| Pellets by crown |  |  |  |
| SP. $1 / \mathrm{a}(\dagger)$ | 8 | Not found (BM) |  |
| SP.2/a | (9) | Misread by Whitton | Buck |

## CALAIS FARTHINGS

Annulet issue
A. 1/a

## Annulet issue

A. $2 / \mathrm{b} \quad 1$
A.3/c

Rosette-mascle issue
RM.1/a
Pinecone-mascle issue
PM.1/a
-

DJR (Walters, 320), Clark

Rosette-mascle issue
2
BM, DJR
Pinecone-mascle issue
3 N Clark

## YORK FARTHING

Annulet issue

## Annulet issue

A. 1/a $\qquad$ Spink, Dec. 1998
Numbers are quoted in parentheses where there is not an exact match, but where we believe Whitton's entry to be in error.
$(\dagger)$ denotes entry reported by Whitton, but not confirmed, for the following reasons:
Not found (BM) Should have been in the BM collection. However. either due to a misreading by Whitton is not now identifiable, or, especially in the case of the farthings, stolen in the 1970s.
Not found (Ash) Reported as having been in the collection of the Ashmolean museum, Oxford. However, possibly a misreading by Whitton, we have not found it.
Not found (CAW) Reported as having been in the CAW collection, which is now in the Ashmolean museum, but is not now identifiable.
Not located - Coins seen by Whitton in dealers' stocks.

## Corrections and changes to Whitton's listings:

London halfpence
1,3. No coins seen with HENRIC'*, all are HENRIC'*
7 BM coin reads ANGL'
8 Ashmolean coin reads ANGL'
22 There is no leaf on breast.
$29 \times$ after REX, not
42a. Two of the pellets are on the inner circle.
Calais halfpence
4 g . There is no room for ' after ANGL
13 Not found. The coin on which Whitton based his entry has no leaf on breast.
London farthings
We are suspicious of Whitton 2 , a rosette-mascle farthing reported as having a cross fleury initial mark. This is for several reasons, the most fundamental of which is that a cross fleury would be near impossible to render on a coin so small as a farthing. Secondly, the cross fleury, although a mark found on the halfpennies of this issue, is followed by a question mark in the auction catalogue listing, in which it appears, un-illustrated.
6 The extra pellets are on the inner circle.
7 The extra pellets are on the inner circle.
9 The extra pellets are on the inner circle.
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## COLLECTIONS EXAMINED

DJR The late D.J. de Sola Rogers
Ash Ashmolean Museum, Oxford
BM British Museum, London
Fitz Fitzwilliam Museum, Cambridge
Hunt Hunterian Museum, Glasgow
Hunt/C Coats Collection, Hunterian Museum, Glasgow
NMGW National Museum and Gallery of Wales, Cardiff
NMS National Muscums of Scotland, Edinburgh
RM Royal Mint, Llantrisant

NA Neil Allen
AHB A.H. Baldwin and Sons Ltd
Beaver
C. Beaver

Broomfield P. Broomfield
Buck Ivan Buck
Castle Phil and Joan Castle
Clark Nigel Clark
Cook Timothy Cook
Grayburn R. Grayburn
Russell D. Russell
Spink Spink \& Son Ltd
PW Paul Withers


[^0]:    2 J.J. North, English Hammered Coinage Volume 2. Edward I to Charles II 1272-1662, 3rd revised edition (London, 1991).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Whitton. as in n.1. p. 210 .

