# THE BAWBEE ISSUES OF JAMES V AND MARY 

ROBERT B. K. STEVENSON

## Introduction

THE intermittent coinages of the Scottish mint in the first half of the sixteenth century into the 1550 s have attracted little new study for a long time with the exception of some rare gold. ${ }^{1}$ Silver was virtually only struck between 1526 and 1538 , supposedly at 10 deniers fine (83.3 per cent). The groat at eighteen pence Scots weighing 42.8 gr. (like Henry VIII's 1540 fourpenny groat) was thus intrinsically c. 83 per cent of Henry's 1526 groat at 11.1 deniers which weighed 48 gr . It was billon that provided the bulk of the coins in circulation, in the form of placks, fourpence Scots, minted up to about 1515, and of sixpenny bawbees from 1538 or 1539 under James, and 1543 into 1554 under Mary. The bawbee at 3 deniers fine ( 75 per cent alloy) seems to have remained unchanged in quality or weight throughout. ${ }^{2}$ while the successive debasements of Henry and Edward VI brought the English silver down, very briefly in 1551, to a base shilling of that same standard, described by George Brooke as the worst silver England ever saw. Edward's post-recovery base pennies at 4 deniers were comparable in size to the half-bawbee, but were supported by a range of good quality higher denominations not paralleled in Scotland till after the issue of bawbees had ceased.

Intensive collecting and study of billon has been discouraged by more than its low value, liability to corrosion and relative quantity. Good clear specimens have been difficult to find. Deficiencies in striking are common to thin base-metal coins, and have often been compounded by the long periods during which the placks and bawbees circulated. Thus a rural hoard buried $c .1587$ had still respectively 12 and 32 of these out of 94 coins (Noranside, Angus, 1962). ${ }^{3}$

In contrast to that find, two hoards hidden about 1530 (Linlithgow) and 1555 (Rigghead, Collin, Dumfriesshire) gave in 1963 considerable new opportunities for study. ${ }^{4}$ In particular they included the largest recorded numbers, in the first of James IV and James V placks (324) and in the second of James V bawbees (132). The latter were in particularly good condition. So were the accompanying bawbees of Mary (214), which were mostly of

[^0]Museums of Scotland copyright. 1 am much indebted for them to Mr I. F. Larner and Miss H. Jackson respectively. and to the Director Dr R. G. W. Anderson and other present members of staff for support and assistance.

[^1]early varieties that are less well represented elsewhere, but tapered sharply to the latest. In both finds there were groats of James V which are rare in hoards. Under Scots law the coins of whatever metal could be claimed for the Crown, and the preliminary study was made to provide a basis for selection for the National Museum of Antiquities (NMAS) and other public collections, for rewards to the finders, and for the return to them of the remainder. Unfortunately it was not feasible at that time to make a photographic record as a permanent check on the initial catalogue. Further comparative review at intervals since has concentrated on the collections of the NMS. ${ }^{5}$ A limited amount of comparison beyond them has now been included, most recently from the illustrations of the Oxford and Glasgow Sylloge. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ It is planned to publish the resulting numismatic details in this Journal, beginning now with the bawbees and their halves, to be followed by James V's groats and one-third groats, and then by his placks with those of James IV. Further aspects of these and other hoards of the period may be covered by a later paper elsewhere.

## James V's bawbees, 1538-42

The sixpenny bawbee was a newly devised denomination (pl. 12, 1). Together with the 3-merk 'bonnet-piece' ducat, dated 1539 and less rarely 1540, it broke away from earlier designs and the broader flans of placks and crowns. A new coin issued perhaps for several years before 1539 had been the one-third groat, also valued at sixpence, which contained 63 per cent more silver; it was no doubt inconveniently small, being similar in size and weight to the half-bawbee.

The history, contemporary name and typology of the bawbee were established by Edward Burns; ${ }^{7}$ previous numismatists had reckoned them as placks. He based his detailed classification on Thomas Coats' Scottish collection, now part of the national collections. His published sample of James's bawbees was, however, only seven with four halves, of which three were in other collections. Two of these were in the NMAS which Richardson's catalogue of 1901 shows as having five of the bawbees and three halves; ${ }^{*}$ one more was added before 1962. The most accessible account with illustrations has been by I. H. Stewart."

From the Rigghead hoard's 132 bawbees of James and 6 halves the NMAS received 53 and 4 halves, the Hunterian Museum 15 and one half, and Dumfries Muscum 23 (including 4 from a stray pareel) and one half. Forty were returned to the finders and so ultimately became available to collectors. The number of obverse dies represented was not firmly ascertained for the bawbees. but the maximum number of dies represented by a single coin is 93 with 13 noted more than once. Of the singles 7 have been duplicated in other samples examined, some photographically.

## Relations to the gold issues (pl. 12, A-G) ${ }^{\text {K }}$

Bawbees were certainly projected in 1538, but the historical records (p. 125-7 below) do not make clear when their actual issue began. They covered at any rate less than five years during which a small amount of gold was struck from a very few dies. James V died in

[^2][^3]December 1542. The design on the reverse of the bawbees with its novel crown-girt saltire is too close to that of the unique pattern ducat dated 1539 (pl. 12, A-B, B. 1a fig. 750) to have been used before this was abandoned; the designs for billon had hitherto been kept distinct from those for gold or silver. A crowned shield like that on the pattern's obverse was then placed on the reverse of the ducat's striking portrait of the king wearing a bonnet (a low cap embroidered with jewels to resemble a crown) ${ }^{\text {ti }}$ and a heavy gold collar of thistle-heads like that which had surrounded the pattern's shield. ${ }^{11}$ This ducat is still dated 1539 , from a single obverse die both with and without a pellet to the left of the field (B. figs. 751 and 752). The obverse die dated 1540 , simplified by having a chain instead of a collar, was used with the previous reverse die and a new one (B. figs. 753 and 754). In 1540 matching two-thirds and one-third ducats were also struck; apparently each from a single pair of dies, though the back of the head in pl.12, G differs from B. fig. 756. Some gold is recorded as struck in 1541 and 1542, without changes of date, probably, besides possible crown pieces.

The crowned thistle-head on the obverse of the bawbees was no less an innovation (revived on our '5 new pence'). The I and 5 that flank it are like those beside the shield on the ducat fractions. The crown is very like that on the ducat's reverse and appears to be the same on through Mary's class I. It is also that of James's latest extremely rare 'abbey crown. ${ }^{12}$ Close inspection of well-struck bawbees suggests that not one but several seemingly long-lasting punches were used for it - a cross with a short stem, a U-shaped double leaf for the sides, perhaps a single crescent for cusping, and bars for the hoop (pl. $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 6}$ ); exceptionally a vertical guide-line may be seen at the sides ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 2 , 1 9 )}$. The letter G is also composite, with the vertical stroke punched separately (cf. (pl. 12, 28-9) horizontal on the Cs) like the tail of the Q on the crowns.

Alongside innovations, traditions persisted long in the Scottish mint. Many of these bawbees have an annulet to the left of the obverse field. This had some traditional significance in the mint's operations, for it was put on the 1540 ducat and two-thirds ducat, replacing the pellet added to the 1539 ducat die, while the one-third again had a pellet. The preceding groats frequently had a 'trefoil' of pellets similarly placed, and at least once an annulet (B. fig. 715). On James III's three-quarter-face groats half a century carlier such an annulet was quite frequent (Stewart class VI e-g). It seems that a quarter of the Rigghead bawbees were struck before an annulet was begun on them; apparent early examples (B. 3 and Rich.76) are illusory. Thereafter it was left off from one in ten, which may be rather too many to be accidental, for it was obvious and could be corrected. Of two dies with the annulet above the 5 , one has perhaps been 'corrected' by having it placed above the I as well (Rigg 204 and 211, pl. 12, 18-19). The device was not continued under Mary. A very inconspicuous privy mark, three tiny dots in the field, occurs on one reverse (Rigg 228-9, pl. 12, 23), and twice similarly under Mary (p. 123). A dot in the letter O as on the two-thirds ducat recurs briefly on the bawbees (pl. 12, 16).

The small fleur-de-lis initial-mark on the reverses harks back to the gold unicorns of James IV, the large ones in the field to perhaps still earlier groats. They came immediately from the pattern ducat, from which the crown initial mark and the thistle-head were used on the quarter-bawbee. The crown for the 'regal saltire' is again the same as on the pattern, both sides of the half, and the reverse of the quarter. It is not clear whether it was composite or from a single punch. On the two-thirds ducat it was used for the crown above
"1' H Bennett. 'The Scots Bonnet' in From the Stone Age wo the Forty-five, edited by A. O'Connor and D. V. Clarke (Edinburgh, 1983), pp. 546-66 (548-50). In 1538 James, in Paris for his marriage, had forty diamonds set in a bonnet. possibly the one depicted: Accounts of the Lord High

Treasurer of Scotland, (cited as LHT), edited by J. Balfour Paul (Edinburgh. 1907). VII (1538-41), xv and 14.
${ }^{11}$ See also note 20.
${ }^{12}$ AS 900; Stewart, p. 202 and pl.xxii, 302. Type V'
the shield, with the separate addition of an arch of double lines and a tiny pellet above each cusp (pl. 12, F). On the half-bawbee's obverse an arch was similarly added, and on some an indication of the hoop's circuit below. The design at least barely changed on through the first years of Mary's bawbees.

Some letters from the ducat fount. originally the crowns'. occur sporadically, notably the $R$ with reversed- S foreleg such as comes again on Marys very first bawbees (fig. 1.1). It has been noted in James's issue on some dies in what are here called varieties (a). (b) and (d). in the latter rare, and is the characteristic of (e). The half-bawbee letter punches seem mainly the same as for the two-thirds ducat. Altogether it is likely that the first dies for the bawbees and half-bawbees were made concurrently with those for the ducat and its fractions in 1539-40.

## Classification (see also Catalogue)

The striking sequence of these bawbees is not of much consequence, given their short period and few obvious changes, but the somewhat erratic order now obtainable from details of the obverse crown and of the lettering shows that Burns brief list should be reversed. That crown is, as mentioned above, like that of the ducat's reverse, but the arch has a second line often lost in the border. At first the hoop (unlike that of the gold but like the crowns on the placks) has the whole circuit indicated as seen from below, and the interior was sometimes hatched ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 2 , 1}$ ). The cusps then had no pellets. Soon the line for the back of the hoop, apparently always faint, was reduced to a small hook at the side, and before long removed entirely. This logical progression is confirmed by successive damage to the letter N on the reverses. The use of this and other criteria is not always straightforward and they are often not clear. In the beaded border a large pellet, as on the ducat, forms with the initial cross in the inscription an orb-and-cross finial for the crown. There are variations in the size and thickness of this cross. which standardised to thin and relatively large.

The first major variety (a) is, however, defined by the three-pellet stop in the reverse inscription (pl. 12, 2; B.5 fig. 776). It comprises a fifth of the Rigghead sample (26). and is followed in variety (b) onwards by a single-pellet stop. Exceptionally, as on B. 4 fig. 775. a three-pellet reverse not only accompanies an obverse of variety (c) but has the sharp N ' which has lost its lower front serif ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 , 1 0 \text { ). so that temporary re-use of the stop might be }}$ deduced, if not error. But the sharp N does already appear sometimes in the second of the two subdivisions of (a). The distinction between the pictorially backward-tilted crown showing much of the interior (i) and that with mere side-hooks (ii) (pl. 12, 5) may not be entirely chronological, if only because of ease of encroachment by the thistle-top.

Variety (b) does not have a distinctive obverse. Its single-pellet reverses are twice combined with obverses that are also known with their doubtless original three-pellet (a) reverses ( $\mathbf{p l} .12,3-4$ ). (The absence of a central bar from some of the lis suggests that they were made up from several punches.) Another similar obverse from Rigghead, is the only case of the shorter ending ORV. due perhaps to correcting' the error socot. One of Rigghead's three examples of (b) with normal R on the obverse has a correction on the reverse, made by striking ID over DV (pI. 12, 6). On B. 3 a double-struck crown makes an imaginary annulet.

Variety (c) is a little more frequent ( 7 in Rigghead). Its obverse crown still has some sign of the hoop's interior, but it has become normal to add pellets to the cusps. The annulet now also begins; dies without it may be combined with three-pellet (a) reverses (pl. 12, 9-10), so too B.4. Perhaps the first use of the annulet was on the exceptional obverse die (found with both sharp and complete N reverses), which has it inconspicuously at the very edge of the field (pl. 12, 11-12, N sharp; B.-). as on the ducat. Another has it high beside
the crown, from which the arch has been omitted (pl. 12, 13, B. 2 fig. 773 etc, N complete). This die has the peculiarity of a dot in the letter $O$, as on the two-thirds ducat (cf. pl. 12, 16). With ordinary $O$, and an annulet (and so not (a) or (b)), one Rigghead obverse has the crown's pellets omitted ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ ). Its annulet is closer above the I, as became usual.

On much the most numerous (87) of the Rigghead bawbees of James V any sign of the hoop's interior was eliminated. Of their three tentative divisions variety ( d i ) is that in which the letter N is complete or more usually sharp. The position of the normal annulet throughout can only arbitrarily be described as medium or low above the I; high is less common. A die with a low annulet larger than usual has the ducat R ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 , 2 1 \text { ). The }}$ annulet may be hardly visible (not struck up, as clearer duplicates once show), but may be omitted as the crown's pellets certainly are sometimes. Probably also accidental are more obvious exceptions, the dies already mentioned which have the annulet above the 5 (pl. 12, $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ ), found with two unbroken N reverses, and above both 1 and 5 (pl. 12, 18). (It should be noted that Rich. 76 in (a) has only a phantom annulet above its 5. .)

When the sharp N breaks further ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{2 3}$ ) and then becomes squarely truncated ( $\mathbf{p l}$. 12, 27), a later stage is evidently reached, variety (d ii), which is not distinguishable by other criteria. The confusing omissions of the crown's pellets or of annulets ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 , 2 4 \text { ) }}$ continued occasionally. Two extra annulets have once been punched, beside and below the I (pl. 12, 29). A larger-sized annulet occurs several times in Rigghead, once on a coin (248) that is heavier than normal but which duplicates the obverse of B. Ibis fig. 772, which is of average weight. The three-dot privy-mark (p.122) is known with two Rigghead obverses (pl. 12, 22-4) and on a duplicate. Variety (d ii) is represented by 59 of James's bawbees from Rigghead, almost half their total, and among these there is frequent duplication of obverse dies, including 3 quintuples and a quadruple out of 13 multiples noted. (Some identities were probably missed, giving too many singles.) Presumably this indicates some special circumstance during the formation of the hoard. Of that there is some confirmation from more evenly spaced duplication within varieties from other sources. The high representation at Rigghead of the class I bawbees of Mary (p. 136) is further evidence that much of it was put together in 1542 to 1545.

A final variety (c) ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 , 3 0}$ ) is distinguished by the return and regular use of the ducat $R$, and of a large $C$ and $G$ that had been used occasionally in (d). These letters and the $M$, by this time with a shorter left leg, continued into Mary's class la, but the broken N did not. A curious tendency was the multiple punching of the annulet. There were only 8 of variety (e) in Rigghead.

## James V's half-bawbees (pl. 15, 127-34)

Very few half-bawbees were issued, to judge from the duplication of obverse dies - one even among the 6 specimens from Rigghead. In the total miscellaneous sample of 34 coins studied (including photographs) 8 obverse dies are duplicated and 7 occur once, suggesting not more than about 20 originally. Variations such as the position or omission of the annulet seem to have had even less deliberate significance than on the bawbees. The design was reduced in size by smaller letters, the contraction of $R(E X)$ and omission of the two large lis, but the crown on the reverse saltire appears to be the same as that of the bawbees, and with additions was the same also on the obverse. Changes in the hoop follow those of the bawbees; two obverses show an oval interior, which is reduced in four or five cases to side-hooks or just one. These all have a small initial cross in the legend, here used to denote varicty (a) ( $\mathbf{p l} . \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 2 7 - 3 1}$ ). Obverses on which there is no sign of the crown's interior have almost all a considerably wider and thinner initial cross - variety (b) (pl. 15, 132-4). A slightly broader $G$ is much commoner in (b), but is only a die-sinker's tendency and has to be rejected from classification. For the shape of the void varies and the upright.
struck separately, may leave the lower tip of the basic $C$ projecting from a narrow $G$.
The original letter punches, including an $R$ that resembtes a $B$, seem to be those of the two-thirds ducat, as also the smaller cross. Variations in the letter A have not been found helpful, but a pellet-like lob-top has been put at the end (pl. 15, 132 B . fig. 777). The letter $\checkmark$ has generally lost all or part of its right upper tip. Even the complete crown interiors are accompanied by broken or breaking $V$, while the complete letter may be beside side-hooks. Conceivably it was refurbished only to break again. The saltire is grained (pl. 15, 130).

In (a) one die has a tripie-punched annulet (AS 974), another VVM in monogram and evident composite $G(p l .15,129$, with four reverses), while there is also one ORV ( $\mathbf{p l} .15$, 131). In (b) onc die has an annulet above the 5 (pl. 15, 134); though an obvious attraction for collectors who acquired five specimens including B.2a fig. 779 , it is represented by a further two from Rigghead (HS 975). There are again four reverses with it.

## James V's quarter-bawbee (pl. 15, 135-6, 135a-6a)

The obverse of the unique quarter-bawbee (B.-, NMS ex Lockett) is partly double-struck and its centre now largely blank. However, as Stewart saw, its design is novel. ${ }^{13}$ At about four oclock there are two overlapping impressions of the thistle-head used above the saltire on the pattern ducat, and a slight bump further round confirms that there may well have been three heads 'disposed tripodially' and radially (unlike those vertical on James VI's 1597 twopence and penny (B.fig. 970-1) by another James Achesoun). The reverse is like the bawbees', the crown on the saltire again from the much-used punch or punches. The crown initial mark is the same as on the ducat patern. The $C$ and $G$ punches at least were the same as those of the one-third ducat. In view of the estimated small number of half-bawbee dies, there may have only briefly been a very restricted issue of the quarter, which was contracted for at the same time.

## Histonical recorels

Mrs Murray has recently drawn attention to the decision in 1538 to issue a new alloyed "penny of three penny fine" to be current for six penmies. This was embodied in a royal letter of 7 August and in the subsequent tender dated 16 August accepted from Richard Wardaw. ${ }^{1 t}$ There were to be halfpemies and quarters, but their proportionate quantities were not indicated. The detailed equipment which Wardlaw expected the Treasurer to supply and matintain included twenty anvils, sixteen small balances and sixteen silversmith's benches. Wardlaw and the other officers were to have the mint's dwelling and workshop accommodation at Holyrood. The latter at least was in reguar use already, as can be seen from the annual profis on the coinage recorded by the Treasurer from before 1530 up to 18 April 1538, apart from two possible interruptions. Indeed as the sum for the final short period (table 1 ), ${ }^{15}$ is rather higher than for almost all the previous full years. there may have been some crowns and a last incensive striking of groats, and perhaps largely of the one-hhird groats introduced then or not long before. Contrary to Burns' view,

[^4] 150/-1554. edited by R. K. Hamaty (Edimburgh. 1933), pp 472-3
 1550) (1913). Buevionly extracted in R W (cochranPatrick. Records of the Comage of Scoldond (Edint)urgh.

it is now thought that the last coins of the silver issue were those with colon stops, with one-third groats perhaps last of all as they run on typologically from the groats.

The change to base silver (and to ducats, though this is not in the records) coincided with the appointment as Master of the mint on 13 August 1538 of Alexander Orrok of Silliebawbie, after whose territorial name the bawbees seem to have been soon called. He took the place of James Achesoun, who had produced the one-third groat and who was said long afterwards to have refused to coin the bawbee, its replacement. According to the same source, $c .1582$, Achesoun had to return as master coiner in 1540 because Wardlaw and his immediate successor Richard Young had been unable to perform their task. ${ }^{16}$ Despite this assertion the royal profits as paid to the Treasurer are evidence of more continuous coining, as summarised in table 1 , with estimates of the volume of coinage added.

TABLE I

| From | Mint period. and gold bullion | Payment <br> of profit | Estimatted bawhers | (crowns d) ducuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achesoun | $15.2 .37138-18.4 .38$ <br> (LHT period 24.3.37/38-24.9.38) | E150 12s 9 9 d | mainly 'groats? |  |
| Orrok | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 .38-18.8 .39 \\ & (\text { LHT period } 24.9 .38-17.9 .39) \end{aligned}$ | E2.25+18s +d and $£ 76$ with Wardlaw | $\begin{aligned} & 273.066 \\ & \text { (if } £ 2.000 \text { for } \mathrm{b} \text {.) } \end{aligned}$ | ?? |
| Orrok | 18.8.39-2.9.40 <br> (LHT period 17.9.39-2.9.49) | £1.421 6s td | 194.057 |  |
| Young \& | Orrok do, from 171 b . 14 oz Troy [c.108. 125 grains alloyed] | E825 1s 6d |  | 1.224 |
| Achesoun | 2.9.40-7.9.41 | 14.785998 6 d | 560.037 |  |
| Achesoun | do, from c. 153 oz [c.76, $633 \mathrm{al.gr}$.] | £402. ss. 10 d |  | 867 |
| Achesoun | 7.9.41-16.8.42 | $\pm 3.53619 \mathrm{sod}$ | 413.927 |  |
| Achesoun | do. from 197 Oz | £503 10s 10d |  | 1.134 |
|  | 16.8.42-12.12.42 not known |  | ? |  |
|  |  |  | 1.4+1.087+ | $3.225+$ |

Note: Non-gold is estimated as all whole bawbees. Profit on bawbees for $1538-4(0)$ is reckoned at $£ 120$ per stone bullion ( 16,384 coins), and from $1540-41$ at $£ 140 .^{17}$ Gold bullion is reckoned at 24 carats, and ducats at 23 catats though they seem to have been reckoned 22 carats in $1596 .^{18}$

Few, if any, coins need have been struck in the latter part of 1538 ; it is particularly unlikely that one-third groats continued to be struck after the bawbee was decided on. The preparations for the new coins and their designs could well have lasted into 1539. especially as Wardlaw was not fully experienced. ${ }^{19}$ So although the bulk of the 1538-39 payment must relate to bawbees, the quantity involved could well have been struck during five months of 1539, and the suggestion made on p. 122, that the rejected design for the ducat preceded the (final) design for the bawbee, is quite feasible. ${ }^{20}$ A total issue of James V Bawbees,

[^5]had worn a saltire 'environed . . . With a crown' as a badge. But it seems that there is no other evidence to connect the device with the Order. which indeed is now generally thought not to have existed as such before it was revined in 1687 : C. 1. Burnett, The Green Mante (Edinburgh (NMS) 1987). p. + and The collar of the . . Order of the Thistle Jommal of the Orders and Medals Research Society 26 (1987). 149-68. T. Innes [fater Lord I.yon King of Arms| took, however, a Hexible interpretation of what consttutes an Order in the foundation of the . . . Order of the Thistle . Scoss Law Times. Junc 1937.
subsuming halves and quarters, at somewhat over a million and a half spread through more than three and a half years is smaller than estimated for less than three years in 1544-47 under Mary (table 4). The comparable figure of upwards of only 3,225 ducats from, it now seems, only two obverse dies, with one each for the very rare half and extremely rare quarter ducats, gives a very low output per die for the softer metal. ${ }^{21}$

## Mary's bawbees, 1543-1554

Classification (pls. 12-15)

## (see also Catalogue)

The small design changes in the larger features of Mary's bawbees, over a period of a dozen years, were no doubt in the main intentional and of significance within the mint's accounting and accountability. Burns used the crowns on the obverse to distinguish eight classes, noting varieties of crown within some, and specifying a few of the changes of lettering. The beginning he identified by continuity in crown and letters with James's issue, and the end by a similar sharing of punches with the silver struck in 1553. But within the series, he wrote deprecatingly of his own results, "there is no possibility of fixing the order of the bawbees of Mary'. This remains true, particularly of many varieties within the numbered classes which may now be more clearly indicated - by numbering as a (i) etc without necessarily implying their sequence, and by some drawings (figs. 1-5). Study arising from the Rigghead hoard has, however, found some confirmation of Burns' order from further internal links.

Few real alterations are now put forward. One is the recognition that Burns class l, much better represented at Rigghead than in collections previously, covers several major subdivisions equivalent to other classes, including the coins minted exceptionally not at Edinburgh but at Stirling. So it may be called group 1. Three other groups are proposed 2, classes II-Va; 3, classes Vb-VIIa; 4, classes VILb-VIII. It is also suggested that two varieties should lead into class VI instead of coming at its end.

The possibility should be kept in mind that small features may have distinguished say two workshops striking simultaneously. Because of the similaritics to be expected in the work of any one punch-maker the forms of the letter-punches and of the initial mark fleur-de-lis (which may be composite) provide guidance that is hard to follow, and has been used rather selectively. Die comparison has concentrated on obverses. The number of dies was usually too large to allow the available sample to help by die-linking. Details are in practice often unascertainable. not just because of wear but because of frequent doublestriking, and of poor striking so that the centre is weakly struck-up. The thistle is thus a less useful feature than might be expected. For these reasons too, and for want of access to the Coats collection, Richardson in his catalogue was often unsuccessful in correctly matching coins to Burns' classes. There is, further, a scarcity of bawbees which retain cven a substantial part of the outer beaded margin; as well as the irregular flans occasionally cvident, clipping may be suspected even for billon. The bawbees from Rigghead, though litte worn, averaged about 7 per cent below the nominal weight of $29.45 \mathrm{gr} .^{22}$

[^6][^7]
## Privy marks and errors

The pellet sometimes noted by Burns as above the obverse crown is, as on James's bawbees. simply the orb of its finial which is completed by the cross in the inscription. A few dies, however, would seem to have been made identifiable by various additional marks. Short rows of tiny dots have been placed in the upper triangle of the saltire or to its side in group 2 (class II Rigg. 425 ; Va B.36bis fig. 840), as comparably once under James (p. 122). There is a single pellet similarly placed once in class Ib(iii) and once in II (B. 15 fig. 829 - not B.13), but one on Rigg. 427 and die duplicates is illusory, due to a lis initial mark misplaced then double-punched ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{5 6}$ ). In Illa there is a stray pellet in a corner of one obverse crown. Occasional single pellets centrally on the thistle-head or on the reverse crown's hoop ( $\mathbf{p l} . \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{5 5 - 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 8}$ ) are presumably just the mark of a compass laying out the beaded inner circles. In group 3 an obverse initial cross has been quite exceptionally duplicated at the end of the inscription by surrounding the stop with four dots (Braeside, Vc), A pellet stop within a word was perhaps significant - M A in class Ha (Rich.59). in $111^{a}$ (Rich. 79bis), and again in Va (B. 35 fig. 839); MA•R in Ib(iii) (ex Murray), in VIla (B. $66=$ AS 1053), and in half-bawbee Type B (ill.146); OPPI•DVM in Ve (Rich.91). The pellet in the letter O carlier (p. 124) may be comparable. In Va G.I is probably only a mistake for G1: (Rigg.471).

Crescents or annulets have been inserted several times in group $1-\mathrm{M} \overline{\mathrm{R}} \quad$ (B.2 obv. $=$ B.3). REG (B.5). twice in the obverse field below M (B. $10=$ Rich.52, and Rigg.35I). There are pseudo-crescents made by double-punching stops in a class lb(iii) obverse (B. 9 $=$ Rigg.352). A gapped annulet below the saltire has been noted in group $4 \mathrm{VIIb}(\mathrm{iii}-\mathrm{v})-$ three dies with it complete (Rich.112, pl.14,118) and two seemingly with it obliterated (Rigg. 499 and ex Lockett).

There are several misspellings not always corrected in group I (Rigg.338, pl. 12, 34), besides cinquefoils omitted (Rigg. 350, pl.12,36). In Vd BV is punched over Gl (Rich.128, pl. 14, 80), but 'errors' on Rich. 139-40 are due to double-striking, as in VIIb (Rich.145, pl. $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 1 5}$ ). The reverse crown may be upside down $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{iii}), \mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{iv})$ ( $\mathbf{p l} .14,87$ ) and VIc(ii), all Stewart collection. In VIb the central bar of the lis initial mark may be omitted.

## Forgeries

Garbled inscriptions are one feature that the only two counterfeit bawbees seen have in common. They are also both of copper. One with its main details tolerably well drawn and clearly copying class I is in the Stewart collection, from Seaby in 1953. The other, now in the National Muscums from J.K.R. Murray's sale, is not well drawn but its obverse inscription is partly intelligible MA ... ORVA, and a narrow $M$ resembles that of class VIa-b. (See also footnote 49.)

## Contractions and stops

The common variations of stops in the inscriptions may conceivably have helped the mint to identify dies. Those recorded by Burns and Richardson are not regularly reproduced in this paper. It may, however, be convenient to note that in group 1 the standard contraction SCOTORV was intended to have a pellet above the V as a contraction mark; it is present where ascertainable, B. 2-11 being uncertain or incorrectly given in this respect. In group 2 this mark is omitted or sometimes becomes a following stop, V particularly in class III. In group 1 SCOTOR has been scen once in Ib (iii) ( $\mathbf{p l}$. 13, 45), in group 2 twice in IIa(ii) (pl.13,51) and (c) (Rigg.435), once with five reverse dies in IVa (Rigg.460); and without the final stop once in II, a(i), also once each in IIIa and Va (ii) (B. 21 and Rich.87).

James's SCOTORVM is frequent in class Ia, recurs rarely in group 2 - IIIc, IVa and $\mathrm{Va}(\mathrm{i})$ (B.19, Rich.74, B.32) and is standard in groups 3 and 4.

The original standard form 'D.G•REGINA', has variants in group 2 , $\mathrm{D}: \mathrm{G}$ and DG . never indicated by Burns. It was changed for group 3 to $\cdot \mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{R} \cdot$, the stop between D and G being often so high as to appear omitted. The formula was enlarged for the later part of group 4, class VIII, to $\cdot$ DEI•G•R' (see p. 134 ) except for Rich. 149 (pl. 15, 125). Apparent D GRA in VIIIb(iii) (Rich.140) is merely due to double-striking.

A stop at the end of the inscriptions is quite common in group 2, mosi frequently in class III. In group 3, rather than final stops only, a stop at both ends of the reverse inscription (occasional in class II) is common in Vb-Vla(i) and sporadic into VIIa(i). Corresponding, sometimes simultaneous, obverse stops (shown in the catalogue as $\cdot+$ ') are common into $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{i})$ and occur in $\mathrm{Vlb}(\mathrm{ii})$ (Rich. 107); this pattern supports the new position of Vd and VIa made on other grounds. Such pairs of stops recur in group 4 in Vlllb(i-ii) on the reverse, and exceptionally on the obverse (Rich.147). An anomalous colon before the reverse legend occurs in $\mathrm{Vb}(i-i i)$. Omission of all stops on the obverse is occasional in group 2 (Ila Rich. 62 and Rigg. 419 ; Illa Rigg. 441 and 452; Va(ii) Si. coll.; Vb(ii) Rich. 96 ) and is common in group 4. VIIb.

## Relations to the gold issues

Only a very few twenty-shilling pieces dated 1543 are known, all from a single obverse die (B.1-2 fig. 809-10). ${ }^{23}$ The composite crown over the MR in monogram on the reverse is as that showing part of the interior on James's half-bawbees' obverse, while above the obverse shield it has 'hooks' and no arch. Its orb is present not only below the cross initial mark on the obverse but below the reverse's sun initial mark. 'This visual malapropism was copied from James's type V abbey crown - the correct form goes back to his class I groats. The main punches for the twenty shilling's obverse and reverse crowns were probably also the same for those on Mary's type A halt-bawbees, and the letter punches as those of $A(i)$; for the gold's Ls in ANCILLA the G's vertical was used as horizontal stroke with an I. Pace Burns the R of the twenty shillings is not like that on his crown B.1, but a parallel to that of bawbees class Ib(i), which should make it slightly kater than Mary's first abbey crowns.

These, which are undated, follow the type $V$ crown in most details, including the cross-less orb. They have, however, single-pellet stops, and cinquefoils at the sides of the shield. The letter fount is usually that of her bawbees closest to James's, here class la. Of the three such obverse dies known only one, with its reverse, is not extremely rarely represented $(\mathrm{B} .3=$ Ricl. 33 fig. $111=\mathrm{AS} 976=$ obv. B. 2 and revs. B. 4 and HS 997 ). A fourth obverse die, two examples with different reverses (B.1 fig. 807), has the same letters as bawbees class $I b(i i i)$, and the lob-top of its $A$ is still unbroken. So it is not earlier than autumn 1544 nor much later. One may add that though the obverse crowns of these dies vary in width as Burns noted for B.l, they are from the bawbees' set of punches differontly spaced, except for the lines of the hoop, and guide-lines in two cases at the sides ( B . fig. 808) (see p. 130). All told it would not seem that gold then took up much of the mint's time.

The gold of 1553 was a more extensive issuc, studied thoronghly by J. K. R. Murray along with the silver and some bawbees and halves. ${ }^{24}$ He summarised them in tabulas form, showing nine obverses of two types for the forty-four shilling pieces, five obverses for

[^8][^9]four-shilling testoons, and thirteen for the twenty-two shillings. Of particular significance for the bawbees, as he pointed out, a cinquefoil-punch with a broken leaf on forty-four shillings type IIa recurs on some class VIII bawbees. Further, along with it there is a D lacking the upper serif, a feature of twenty-two shillings type II, its last two dies, possibly separated by a clear interval from the much larger type I, so possibly not really struck in 1553.

## Group 1

The obverse crown of this group (fig. 1.1) is from the same punches as James`s bawbees; the cross, outer florets, lines of the arch, hoop, and semi-circles seem to have had separate punches. The arch was probably intended to be two-line throughout. The crown on the reverse continued to be the same as on James's billon (p.122), and the saltire continued to have a wood-grain pattern, which soon generally failed to show up. The variations of letter forms are considerable, but analysis of them here has been selective and incomplete. It is primarily by the form of the initial lis on the reverse that the new main subdivisions are distinguished. The Stirling bawbees can be related by their letters to one of these.

Class Ia has the same lis initial mark, thistle and open $\bar{A}$ with barred and peaked top as were on James's bawbees, the 'ducat R' as on his latest (pl. 12, 31-2, fig. 2, 1). The ending ORVM rather than $V$ continues on perhaps half the dies. An early start in 1543 seems likely. Slender cinquefoils with a pellet in the centre, which Burns placed second, are the peculiarity of $\mathrm{Ia}(\mathrm{i})$; those without this pellet appear at first less numerous, Ia (ii). but went on through Ib . Ia(iii), only known from a later mule, has a closed lob-top A and some larger letters ( $\mathbf{p l} . \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{3 3}$ ).

Class Ib has a very small lis initial mark, and a new arrangement of the dicing on the thistle which to begin with has fine projections from the lowest central triangle (pI. 12, 34-6). Its first new R with straight foreleg, originally extended by a fine horizontal tip, can be distinguished from later forms by the circular drill-hole that formed its fork and adjoining serif-projection (fig. 2,2). The ending ORV becomes normal. On a number of specimens the new R is on the reverse only; that some of their obverses keep the form ORVM, and probably all keep the carlier thistle, shows that a(i-ii) obverses continued for a time, but that perhaps the use of the previous lis reverses was specifically discouraged. As well as the new R , a mark of $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})$ is an open $\bar{\Lambda}$ which has no central peak and soon loses its left projection ( $\mathbf{p l} .12, \mathbf{3 4}$, and see pl.13, 38). Occasionally there is a round $O(\mathbf{p l} .12, \mathbf{3 4}$ ). The use of an A like that of a(iii) but larger, constitutes the still scarce variety b(ii) (pl. 13, 37).

Stirling-mint bawbees, class Is(i-v) (pl. 13, 38-44), come in at this point. They were the first coins after James III's Aberdeen groats not to have been minted in Edinburgh, or at this time more strictly in the precincts of the palace of Holyroodhouse in the suburban burgh of the Canongate. They reflect the regency controversy of summer and autumn 1544 (see p. 139). An ostentatious cross-potent having plain crosses in the angles replaces the saltire on the reverse beside the name of the town, and its initial mark becomes a crown. The obverses are just as those of Edinburgh class I as Burns noted, but no connecting die-links have yet been recognised. There is, however, substantial duplication of dies even among the twelve Stirling coins of the Rigghead hoard.

On the obverse dies what Burns called the old open $\bar{\Lambda}$ is the new variety belonging to class $\mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{i})$, three times in its unbroken form, Is(i), and three times broken, Is(ii) (pl. 13, 38-9). Two of the latter and one of the former are represented in the sample of eleven studied by a single coin each, compared with only four singles among the forty-two coins with a closed A, so they may have worn out quickly. A round O little used in Edinburgh, in $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{i})$, accompanied the open $\bar{\Lambda}$ but lasted more than twice as long. Perhaps some obsolete obverses
and punches had been taken from Edinburgh. The closed $A$ at Stirling is a lob-toppod form like that of b (ii) but wider; its beginning marks $\mathrm{Is}(\mathrm{iii})$ ( $\mathbf{p I} .13,40$ ). For about balf the Stirling issue, $\mathrm{ls}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii})$, the M and R beside the thistle and in the legends are the same as in Edinburgh $\mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{i})$, then they are replaced by the slightly larger ones which characterise b (iii) and $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{iv}-\mathrm{v})$. The R is the more readily recognised as instead of a curved fork it has an acute angle (fig. 2,3 ). The round $O$ seems to have been retained for only one pair of the new R dies, Is(iv) (pl. 13, 41-2), before being replaced by the constricted oval style on seven obverse dies in the sample, twenty coins. $\mathrm{Is}(\mathrm{v})$, (pl. 13, 43-4). A peculiarity perhaps of one die-sinker seen particularly on Stirling obverses, but also occurring through Edinburgh $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{i}-i \mathrm{i})$, is that the pellet for the orb is ofen placed on and not below the stem of the initial cross. With this there is a tendency for the RV to come very close together, or even overlap. One may conclude that the Stirling dies came between Edinburgh $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{ii})$ and Ib(iii), and were produced by the same craftsmen.

Edinburgh class $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{iii})$ (pl. 13, 45-6) comprises almost all of the numerous bawbees of group 1 struck after November 1544, when the regency quarrel was patched up. As the small lis initial mark distinctive of Ib ( $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii}$ ) is resumed after the Stirling interlude (losing only after a while its lower tip), b(iii) is distinguished by the R (fig. 2.3) and larger M which are those of the later half of the Stirling minting. Rigghead produced a $b(i i i) / b(i-i i)$ mule, as the difference between the Ms and Rs shows. There seem to be at least two variant closed As in b (iii), one already normal in the Stirling coins, among which too the lob-top often appears broken, but a systematic arrangement has not been achieved; refurbishing of the punch or punches is likely. The tendency to increase the size of the letters had continued, with exaggerated openings. The sharp-forked R finally appears to be a P with an inserted foreleg (fig. 2, 4, see pl. 13,48). A few dies with possible privy marks have been mentioned on p. 128.

Class Ic seems to have been small - one coin each in Bums and Richardson, the latter duplicating the obverse of one of the seven in Rigghead. Its distinctions are a new, larger lis initial mark and a new cinquefoil, neither previously illustrated. These features are accompanied on the reverses of $c(i)$ by the final 'patched' $R$ of lb (iii) (pl. 13, 48. fig. 2. 4). A new $R$ was used for the known obverses ( $\mathrm{pl} .13,47$ ), and for some reverses - $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{ii})$. It was probably in origin B-shaped like that on James's half-bawbees (pI. 15, 133), but usually has a tip added at various angles (fig. 2,5 ), sometimes horizontally.

## Grotip 2 (pl. 13, 49-70)

One Rigghead coin provides confirmation of Burns' next step, for it mules a fe(ii) reverse with a class II obverse. Classes II-Va are so closely related that they may be called group 2 , and except for the beginning and end their numbering is arbitrary. That there was a distinet fresh start is indicated by the new letter punches, by the lis initial mark on the reverses and the fatter cinguefoils, as well as by the details of the crowns on both taces. The initial cross on the obverses is sharp at the foot.

On the obverse crowns the long-lasting central cross was replaced by heavier forms, Burns’ II cross fleurie (fig. 1, 2, pl. 13, 55) and III cross fourchée. Here the common IIIa (B.fig. 834; pl. 13,58) is distinguished from Ille which has pellets on the cusps, apparenty a short revival (B.fig. 833; fig. 1, 5), and from IIld which has a 'decorated' atch as if in transition to class IV (B.-; Rigg.459, pl. 13, 61). Class IV's crown is smaller as well as spiky, IVa having a central trefoil and two-line hoop, IVb a cross-like trefoil and single-line hoop (fig. 1, 6-7, pl. 13, 63 and 65). Class Va's crown is larger and its cross is partly squared-off (B.fig. 838; fig. 1.8). When the arch is stid] decorated it is called a(i) (pl. 13, 66 and 68). platin is a(ii) (B.fig. 839-40; pl. 13.69), and a(iii) (B.fig. 841) is when the arch is omitted.

Burns did not comment on the reverse crowns, but although their incidence appears in part irregular, and they are now often not ascertainable, they could have been significant to the mint. Possibly they indicated workshops, for other features are also concurrent in group 2. The centre of one form is a simplification of the obverse crown's cross into a club' flanked by large pellets, which may be circular or irregular. This (fig. 1,3-coded p) is a characteristic of class IIa (B. fig. 829; pl. 13, 50 and 52). It may stray onto the obverse with a plain arch added to obscure the probable error - three dies including B. 27 fig. 836, part of Burns' class IV, here IIb (pl. 13,53). The second reverse form, characteristic of Ilc, is distinguished by a central trefoil in two or more variants, one resembling ( p ) -both coded (t) (fig. 1.4, pl. 13, 56-7, cf. B. fig. 830). It was used once as an obverse in I1b, B. 28 (pl. 13, 54). These two forms of class II's reverse crown were used in the rest of group 2: ( $t$ ) in HIa with a few exceptions and in IIIc-d (pl. 13, 62), (p) in IIIb (pl. 13, 59); then a mixture in IVa which might also be due to die interchanges not otherwise recognisable, for IVb and Va seem to have ( $p$ ) almost entirely, latterly with a slimmed-down version of its 'club' stem (pl. 13, 67).

The letter M in two forms is the chief criterion for the separation of IIa-b and IIc, starting with the Ila(i)/Ic(ii) mule, on which the M's central indentation makes almost a right-angle and the legs broaden to the foot without a serif (fig. 2.6). The ball of the thistle, in a(i) only, has larger dicing than in class I (pl. 13,49). This then becomes finer again from IIa(ii) (pl. 13, 51-2), perhaps at the same time as modification of the M produces projecting serifs. The other M punch apparently coincides with the trefoil reverse crown of IIc (pl. 13, 55-7). It has an acute-angled indentation (fig. 2.7) and, at first, serifs, Judging by the Ms there is some die-linking between IIa(ii) and IIc. The 'acute $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ ' is then standard through classes III, IV and Va, as well as IIc, but the 'wide-angle' M recurs in IVa.

Another variable in group 2 is the lis initial mark. More than one size of punch was used for the separate petals already in Ha(i). A larger, with a drill-hole showing nearly complete on the left, has at first more of a hump ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1 3 2}$ ) than later. Its modified form is usual in IIc and on through Va ; this often shows a hair-line tip on the left ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1 3 6} \mathbf{5 6}$ ). A smaller petal is more frequent in IIa ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{5 0}$ ) and sporadically afterwards. It is usual in IIIc ( $\mathbf{p l}$. $\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{6 0}$ ), relatively frequent in IVa and $\mathrm{Va}(\mathbf{p l} . \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{6 7}$ ), and perhaps entirely absent from class III's principal sub-division IIIa, and also from IVb. A quite different lis that has straight petals distinguishes class IIIb (pI. 13, 59 and B. 24 fig. 835).

A number of obverses in HIa have a final stop at SCOTORV as rarely in 11 and Va ( $\mathbf{p l}$. 13,69 ). Reverses in III and Va often end in GI', which is occasional in II and IV. In III indeed there is a marked tendency to have a final stop one side or the other; some have neither but to have both is less common. For SCOTOR see p. 128.

The surface of the saltire in group 2 may normally have been rippled horizontally, with a slight raised margin that tended towards the later fluted effect (pl. 13, 56 and 60). Instead of rippling there were sometimes vertical striations or shorter graining (see pl. 12, 32). Wear and mis-striking generally obscure these features. In classes III and Va it is occasionally evident, owing to less careful placing, that the saltire's arms were punched as separate rectangles (pl. 13, 62 and $\mathbf{6 7}$ ). In Va the cinquefoils have become smaller. As indicators of the sequence of the classes there are mules, $1 \mathrm{Vb} / \mathrm{Va}$ (Rich.85) and $\mathrm{Va} / \mathrm{Vb}$ (Rigg.484).

## Group 3

Class Vb (pl. 13.71-2; B. 38-39), a small sub-class, marks the beginning of what Burns called the second great subdivision of Mary's bawbees. here group 3. This group is distinguished particularly by the inscription D.G.R.SCOTORVM instead of D.G.REGINA.SCOTORV. There were new punches for all the letters, with the peculiarity of
reversed 14 . The crown on the reverse now had a central floret that remained the same or very similar on into group 4 , as did apart from a few exceptions a new lis initial mark which has a three-spike foot. Hooks on the hoop of the crown are a peculiarity of $\mathrm{Vb}-\mathrm{d}$, occurring in $b$ (ii) also on the obverse. Other changes did not take place all at once, hence Burns' numbering and order. At the start there were for a time also a reworked or new thistle, a plain central cross on the obverse crown, and larger cinquefoils.

The obverse crown for class $\mathrm{Vc}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{iii})$ was redesigned as open, without an arch, and showing the interior by a more or less complete oval hoop (fig. 1.10, pl. 13, 73; B. 40-3), reminiscent of James's variety (a). Pellets on cusps and hoops may be added - Vc(iv) (fig. 1.11, pl. 13, 75 ; B. 44-45). For Vc the cinquefoils became smaller again than in Va but more pentagonal ( $\mathbf{p l} .13,74$ ), and a form of R with serifed foreleg began ( $\mathbf{p l} .13,73$ ). With Vc(ii) the saltire became strongly fluted, so much so that group 2's partial or slight fluting seems to have been largely overlooked hitherto. An exceptional wide foot on the lis initial mark distinguishes $V c(i i i)$ and is found in $c(i v)$ (pl. 13, 76). Stops at the beginnings and ends of the inscriptions are common in Vb on into VIb (see p. 129), a liking echoed by the pellets on the crown of $\mathrm{Vc}(\mathrm{iv})$. Close links are provided by mules $\mathrm{Vb} / \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{i})$ (B. 39 and Rich. 93 bis), Vc(iv)/c(i) (Rich.94).

Class Vd (pl. 13, 77-8 and pl. 14, 79-80) has been introduced to contain B. 63-64 hitherto in class VII, and some related coins, for they share features with Vc - the R with front serif, the form of thistle, and the hooked crown on the reverse. An ornamented $O$, as used on James's bawbees, is new. The bold 'strawberry-leaf' obverse crown of Vd(i) (fig. 1.12. pl. 13, 77; B. fig. 854) has the interior shown as if tilted, and despite the return of the arch is more closely related to those of V than to class VII (pl. 14, 107). The crown of $\mathrm{Vd}(\mathrm{ii})$ (B. 64 fig. 855 ) differs in having a spaced double-line arch and no indication of interior (fig. 1, 13, pl. 14, 79). The serifed R gets dropped in the course of Vd.

Class VI is large and in this is comparable to class I. In contrast it gives the impression that several designers of varying quality were now involved in the many changes of detail. It begins with B. 62 fig. 853, hitherto placed at the end but here $\mathrm{VIa}(\mathrm{i})$ (see pl. 14, 81-2); VIa(ia and iv) are not in Burns (pl. 14, 83-4 and 86-7). The omamented O links Vd and VIa-b(i-iii), after which the plain $O$ returns. The serifed $R$ recurs rarely in VIa-b. A distinctive narrow M is specific to the several subdivisions of VIa and part of VIb, and with other forms of M and their tendency to break at a comer, has been used to trace various stages in class VI. not necessarily sequentially (fig. 3). The reverse crown has a two line hoop, without hooks.

The obverse crowns of VIa-C are varied, but all have cross-like fleurs on the intermediate cusps (fig. 1.14-19). In Vla(i) there is a low double-arch with a straight-linc hoop, the whole being rather poorly drawn; it is represented by cleven coins from four obverse dies (pl. 14, 81-2; B. fig. 853). The accompanying thistle has a smoothed outline. A single arch and more jagged thistle distinguish VIa(ii) (pl. 14, 83-4; B. -). VIa(iii) has no arch (pl. 14, 85): here B. 52 gives a further link for the new order by having the distinctive cinquefoils of VIb(i-iii) - not fig. 848 rev. A similar but better-drawn crown, which has a strongly curved hoop and row of fleurs but no arch, forms Vla(iv) (fig. 1.16, pl, 14, 86-7), known from a single example. In VIb the thistle is increasingly jagged. The crown is arched and narrower with a gently curved hoop (fig. I. [7). Some varieties distinguished here are not readily seen or always ascertamable - crowns with sides joined to the hoop or not, and changes in the size of the cinquefoils and the ring-punch 'picrcing' on them (pl. 14, 88-94). The large slender cinquefoils of $V \mathrm{Ib}$ (i-iii) are, however, cvident (pl. 14, 90-2). So too is a curious version of the obverse crown which has rectangular 'jewels' on the hoop, VJb(v) (fig. i. is. pl. 14, 95-6). It was not known to Bums but four obverse dies have been seen, and five different reverses with cinquefoils as $b(i), b(i v)$ and $c$. The crown of the common sub-class VIc is smailer (fig. 1.19, pl. 14, 97-104; cf. B. fig. 850, and 852 - recte B. 60). It has its arch
decorated; a variety in c(iii) has a two-line arch, anticipating the crown of VIla. In VIc(iii) the inner beaded circle is omitted on one face or the other, or on both, leaving a laying-out hair-line exposed, as often in VIIb(iii-v).

The occasional absence of the central bar of the lis initial mark in VIb(ii) shows that it was made up from more than one punch. So possibly a narrower form was as much due to a different die-sinker as to the need for refurbishing. This variation belongs to VId (pl. 14, 106), but occurs also in VIIa(i) (with which there are mules). Several features distinguish VId besides the "wedges' on the intermediate cusps noted by Burns (B. 58 fig. 851). A peculiarity of the crown is that the central decorative pellets on the arch sometimes merge to form a trefoil with the leat-shaped top arm of the cross when it rises from the broad transom (fig. 1.20, pl. 14, 105).

Class VIla (pI. 14, 107-10; B. 65 fig. 856) has a neat obverse crown with a two-line arch (fig. 1.21). It shares quality and details with B. 63 fig. 854 which here has been moved back as Vd , for a series of reasons already explained. The cinquefoils are as in VIc-d. A blurring of letters is frequent in VIIa-b, as occasionally in VId, and rarely earlier. Could this have been due to the quality of the die-metal, to allowing the voids in the punches to get clogged, or to too deep and uneven punching plus wear? A drop in the standard of workmanship is apparent despite the improvement of design. In VIIa(ii) there is a different $M$ (fig. 3.7), and a distinctive A with a nicked top begins, that is normal on the coinage of 1553. A two-line hoop returns on the reverse crown (pl. 14, 109-10).

## Group 4

The slight alteration of the initial cross above the obverse crown into a cross-potent is here taken to be of political significance, as well as being one of a number of links with the gold and silver issue of 1553 (pp. 129-30). It marks the final Group, which consists of two classes, neither common.

Class VIIb has a larger crown usually without an arch (fig. 1.22-23), a new thistle, smaller letters notably an N instead of $k$, and two further cinquefoil punches. Obverses and reverses of VIIa may be used with the new dies, which include an obverse with misplaced inscription (pl. 14, 112-13). Once a two-line arch echoes VIIa (pl. 14, 111). The varieties VIIb(i-iii) are infrequent (and not in Burns); they retain beaded inner circles on both sides and may have a small pentagonal cinquefoil (pl. 14, 114). The extremely rare VIIb(ii) has the cross-potent as initial mark on the reverse as well (pl. 14, 115). VIIb(iii) normally has beaded circles only on one face, more open cinquefoils, and may have below the saltire a crescentic annulet or obliterated annulet (pl. 14, 116-18), also found with a $\operatorname{VIIb}(v)$ obverse ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 2 0}$ ). The lis initial mark has become cross-like. There are no beaded inner circles on VIIb(iv) (pl. 14, 119; B. fig. 858), or on $b(v)$ which has a double-line arch on the crown, as in VIIa (fig. 1.23, pl. 14, 120; B. fig. 857). One example has no stops on the obverse and a roughly scored thistle (pl. 15, 121).

Class VIII (pl. 15, 122-6) was discussed and fully described by J. K. R. Murray in 1968, greatly amplifying Burns' identification of close links with the twenty-two shilling gold pieces of 1553 , including some shared punches that were defective (see p. 130). The inscription has been changed again, to DEI G R (p. 130), with one exceptional $D \cdot G \cdot R \cdot$ (pl. 15, 124). The crown is elaborate (fig. 1.24). There are smaller letters, beaded inner circles, and three sizes of cinquefoil as reverse initial mark, VIIIb(i-iii), with others beside the saltire. Despite a general neatness, a tendency for letters to be blurred continues. The saltire in VIIIb is slender and not fluted.

## Mary's half-bawbees (pl. 15, 137-64; fig. 4-5)

Concurrent with bawbees, but not distinguishable in the records, an unknown proportion of the issue consisted of half-bawbees. (There were no quarters.) Their general design is similar, with one cinquefoil only, below the saltire. The division between more or less unfluted and strongly fluted saltires was maintained, as Burns noted. But the fow that have been published, notably eight by Richardson, have not presented a clear picture although they covered the main varieties now recognisable in a sample of seventy-two, of which only one is from Rigghead. Thistle-heads, perhaps more readily distinguished than on the bawbees, are here proposed (rather than the crowns in fig. 4) to identify four types. These may be correlated with the four groups of bawbees.

Type A has two main subdivisions. Its thistle throughout resembles that of James's bawbees and Mary's class Ia, and was probably the same as on James's halves, as were the obverse and reverse crowns. Type Aa (pl. 15, 137-8) has variants of a lis initial mark possibly from class la punches. The fork of its R is drilled like that peculiar to $\mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{i})$ (cf. fig. 2.2). Type Ab ( $\mathrm{pl} .15, \mathbf{1 3 9 - 4 5}$ ) is distinguished by a smaller version of class Ib's very smali lis initial mark, generally with a dot foot. Its minor subdivisions, Ab (i-iii), are marked by three further forms of R - the commonest is similar to that of class $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{iii})$, the second has a short front leg, and the third resembling a B seems to be a reuse from James' halves. This has been placed last because its only two specimens have the added stop at the final VM which is usual in the next type, and its R recalls that of class Ic (pl. 13, 47). James' decorated O, not used on Mary's bawbees until class Vd, may have been usual in type A but is generally indistinct. The M is like those of Ia.

In Type B (pl. 15, 146-7) the thistle is smaller and coarser, its form reminiscent of that of class IIc. The letter M is like that of class IIa, except once like IIc-IV (cf. fig. 2.6-7). The lis initial mark is like that of lla ( $\mathbf{p l} \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{6 2}$ ). The sample is, however very smald, only seven coins. Of these three are from one obverse die, the crown like type $A$; their reverse crowns are like those of classes II-IV, one of variety ( p ) and two of variety ( t ) (ct. fig. 1.3-4).

Type C's thistle accompanies a fluted saltire and reversed $n$ (pl. 15, 146-7) so it is associated with group 3. The thistle is curious because the ball appears to be from more than one punch, primarily a large diced triangle on and around which the dicing may be widely spaced, perhaps if weakly struck ( $\mathrm{pl}, 15,148$ and 155 ). The new obverse crown has a tall central lis and a distinctive lop-sided arch. The new reverse crown is light, with splayed sides and a stunted central version of ( 1 ); it continues right through type D . Though type C is much the most numerous, comprising nearly half the sample, it is short of obvious subdivisions, so as for class VI some letters have been invoked. An R with complete rear serif distinguishes Ca (pl. 15, 148-9) from Cb in which the R has only half a serif (fig. 5.2). Cb then covers the later two-thirds, within which there is a succession of three Ms (i-iii), complete and defective (fig. $5.3-5, \mathrm{pl} .15, \mathbf{1 5 0 - 5}$ ). It seems that as on class VI bawbees the punch for this letter was liable to be so held by the die-sinker that one corner got damaged. Very slight damage to the M of (ii) may account for its appearing on one obverse both complete and defective in the same inscription (pl. 15, 151), and indeed differently on three duplicates from the same die. Usually there is consistency on the obverse though the reverse may differ. A change of lis initial mark comes with the third M in Cb (iii) (pl. 15, 153-4). This lis, and at first the R and M with it, last on into part of the final type.

Type $D$ has a finer-meshed thistle. Its twenty-seven specimens are spread out over several varieties between which dio-linking and muling are frequent. Of two successive obverse crowns that of Da is not unlike that of type C but heavier, with a two-line hoop, no pellets on the cusps and an even-sided arch ( $\mathrm{pl} .15,156$ ). The reverse crown remains the same as in $C$ all through $D$. The reverse's cinquefoil, hitherto uniform, was soon replaced by a larger one - Da(ii) (pl. 15, 157). Also in Da the letters, now of two founts of different size, are often blured. The slightly smaller, medium-sized, letters that form Da(iii) (pl. 15,

159 ) are similar in design to those of the gold of 1553 including the nicked-top A , and an M (fig. 5.6); on one reverse the older cinquefoil recurs (pl. 15, 159). Another obverse has no beaded inner circle. Thus there are features associated with bawbee classes VIIa-b, the transition to group 4.

Type D b and c, have a very poor obverse crown (fig. 4.4), its central broken-down lis and its arch now dented from C's punches. In style the letters of Db continue those of a(iii) but they are very small, and more often blurred than clear (pl. 15, 160). Six obverses have been noted, from three dies, but two have Da (ii) reverses and three Dc reverses, from two dies.

It is assumed that the three marked changes that constitute Dc were introduced simultaneously. Its cross-potent obverse initial mark is like that of group 4 bawbees. New larger letters include an N the right way round, but the medium-sized and defective D is from the punch used for the latest twenty-two shilling gold pieces and VIIla-b(i) bawbees ( $\mathbf{p l} .15,162$ ). One obverse is eccentric in having no inner circle, and the central M and R transposed ( $\mathbf{p l} . \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 6 1}$ ); it has a $\mathrm{Da}(\mathrm{ii})$ reverse. The other known obverse die is similarly represented by a single coin (Rich. 161, pl. 15, 163-4). Its appearance is bold because of fairly clear letters on either side, including a large D, but its letter Os set obliquely or sideways, and the retention of a small $T$, again show poor workmanship. On the reverse the two cinquefoils have a wide incuse 'piercing' and are the same as the initial mark of class VIIIb(i). The saltire, however, remains fluted.

## Discussion

## Group and class proportions: the internal evidence

The various available hoards and collections give conflicting evidence about the size of the main groupings of bawbees - table 2 . Contrary to the sharp tapering already noted in the Rigghead hoard, the nineteenth century collections listed by Burns and Richardson drew from hoards. unspecified and mainly well-worn, in which apparently groups 2 and 3 were best represented. Though the greater number of readily distinguishable varieties which characterise these groups is an evident incentive to collection, the preponderance of group 3 is borne out by the three $c$.1935-62 hoards. As group 4 is so small in these hoards and in Burns, its numismatic distinctiveness may have resulted in over-representation in Richardson and in subsequent collections. Probably it was much the smallest group.

TABLE 2

|  | Coats | Rich. | Rigg. <br> (incl. <br> kept <br> for $N M$ ) |  | Three <br> hourds <br> c: 1935-62 | Three prisate colls. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edinburgh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group ] | 14 | 14 | 120 |  | 33 | 24 |
| 2 | 29 | 39 |  |  | 29 | 25 |
| 3 | 33 | 53 |  | (11) | 46 | 59 |
| 4 | 6 | 21 |  | (4) | 6 | 27 |
| Halves | 6 | 8 | 1 |  | 1 | 34 |
| Stirling (Gp. i) | 5 | 5 | 12 | (8) | 1 | 16 |

TABLE 3

| Grotep | Sample | Dupi. obes. | $\%$ | Dupl. <br> dies | $\begin{gathered} + \text { Single } \\ \text { specs. } \end{gathered}$ | $=\underset{\text { dics }}{\text { Ob }}$ | Ob1. die eswinute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Stir. | 53 | 46 | 86.8 | 11 | 7 | 18 | 21 |
| 1 Edin. | 133 | 46 | 34.5 | 20 | 87 | 107 | 310 |
| 2 | 165 | 54 | 32.7 | 23 | 111 | 134 | 410 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 740 |
| 3 | 186 | 58 | 31.2 | 25 | 128 | 153 | $\pm 90$ |
| 4 | 57 | 14 | 24.5 | 7 | 43 | 50 | 210 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 700 |
| Halves, Ealin. | 72 | 18 | 25.0 | 7 | 54 | 61 | 245 |

N.B. Incomplete identification makes the Edinburgh estimates too large ${ }^{26}$

The obvious distinctiveness of the Stirling bawbees is, however, a likely reason for their relatively large number in collections. possibly in Rigghead as well. For their originally very small number of obverse dies can be closely estimated from their 85 per cent duplication table 3. The present two-to-one ratio of reverses is based on possibly incomplete identification of reverse duplicates. One might expect it to be too high if, as seems probable, some of the obverse dies at first used at Stiling had been previously used at Edinburgh.

The die estimates given here for groups 2 and 3 are based less securely on identified 30 per cent obverse duplication, and thus indicate only maximum numbers. In these groups class III seems to have been relatively large, with li and Va each a little behind and IV only half of either, while $\mathrm{Vc}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii})$ is comparable to Va . in the much subdivided class VI. VIb(i-iv) and Vle are similarly predominant, with Vlia like IV.

The greater monotony of group I obverse dies has led to a lower proportion of those from Rigghead being kept for the national collection. The Rigghead proportion of four to five for the coins of the pre- and post-Stirling phases compares with an overall one to one in other sources examined. The pre-Stirling obverses duplicate frequently ( 45 per cent noted recently) like Rigghead's late James V bawbees. Die recognition for the post-Stirling phase may be less complete. A distorting factor will have been also the discarding of poor Edinburgh specimens.

In the sample of half-bawbees Types A and D amount to under one-third of the total. Obverse duplication so far recognised is erratic, B and D (the scarcest types) having in all half of it. The resulting die-estimate will again be too large, which strongly suggests that the halves formed only a small part of the issue, as well as being unpopalar with boarders.

This very imperfect account of the intenal evidence is put forward now in order to allow some comparisons with the historical evidence that is to be discussed in the next section. The recorded accounting periods of the mint are awkwardly incomplete at either end, and the typological groups cannot be fitted at all closely onto thom. Yet some of the problems may be identified by supposing that Burns' great divide might coincide with the beginning of the third recorded accounting period. In the hypothetical part of table 4 the (possibly

[^10] (1904). 298-4 and 303.
much too large) die-estimates in table 3 for groups 1 and 2 have been reconciled with the pre- 1547 striking figures by allowing just 3,100 coins per obverse die and assuming 50 dies for the blank in early 1543. The interestingly large variations in the average monthly outputs are, on the evidence, true and not hypothetical, and may conceal even greater variations within the periods. In the third and fourth periods, when output was high, the hypothetical numbers of dies become greater than those (already too high) of table 3, though there may be more missing months at the end than now proposed. This suggests that in times of heavy output either dies were used for a longer period or some fixed supply of dies (say monthly) had to strike more coins. The heavy output was, however, only relatively so, for in late fifteenth-century Flanders the 'normal' daily output from one monneyeur ( $=$ obverse die ?) was 3,168 courtes (two mites, much baser than Mary's pennies). ${ }^{26}$ (For an improbably high Scottish output see p. 140).

## Historical Records

Though official records of minting do not survive, notes from them in the seventeenthcentury Hopetoun MS specify the bulk-weight, value and profits of bawbees during four accounting periods. ${ }^{27}$ Theoretical numbers of bawbees struck may be calculated from these, at 16 per oz., 16 oz . per lb ., and 16 lb . per stone (4,096) - disregarding the probability that an unknown proportion of half-bawbees was subsumed - as shown in table 4.

TABLE 4

| Dates and metal minted, from Hopetoun <br> MS, presumably incl. half bawbees | Estion. no. <br> of coins if <br> all bawbees | No. of <br> monhts | Hypothetical <br> Av. no. of <br> coins p.m. | No, of obv. dies <br> for period @ <br> 3.100 each |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Period |  |  |  |  |

In addition to what the table lists, it was stated in the Hopetoun MS that 'thair wer mony ma bawbees cunyeit yet thair remains na ma in the register'. There were presumably more at the end (see below), and probably at the beginning in the eight months of Mary's reign before 10 August. The Stirling bawbees of 1544 may well have been included in the

[^11][^12]above figures as if there had been no transfer of minting. As J. K. R. Murray has shown, ${ }^{24}$ their large cross-potent and plain crosses in the angles, the 'arms of Jerusalem', derive from the arms of Lorraine, and so were the symbol of Mary of Lorraine and Guise, the queen-mother, repeated on her silver coinage of 1554. After the earl of Hertford's surprise landing at the beginning of May had left Holyrood and much of Edinburgh burnt, during Henry VIII's 'rough wooing', she set up her court at Stirling. She was appointed Governor (Regent) by her convention there on 3 June, ${ }^{36}$ in opposition to the carl of Arran, whose badge was the cinquefoil placed on all other bawbees of the reign. The mint apparatus might have been sent away from Edinburgh in May, but it is unlikely that any Stirling bawbees were struck before June. They were referred to in letters sent by Governor Arran to the burghs of Stirling and Perth between 13 and 18 July dischargeing the babeis cunyeit be the quene', and again before 3 October when officers were paid 'wha proclamit letters in Aberdene and Banfe dischargeing the quene of hir authorite and dischargeing her canze'. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ This may imply that the bawbees continued to be struck into the autumn. They will have ceased, but become legal tender, after Mary of Guise was reconciled with Arran late in November.

A year later, on 1 November 1545. Alexander Orrok was succeeded as mint master by William Hamilton of Comiskeith, ${ }^{32}$ but as James Achesoun continued as master coiner this may have been a sinecure. ${ }^{33}$ The subsequent third accounting period in the Hopetoun MS is there specifically associated with Sir William Hamilton of Sanquhar as 'taxman [lessee] of the cunyehous". The long Letter of Tak to Hamilton and "his partakeris" dated 1 March $1546 / 47$ is recorded in the Register of the Privy Seal. ${ }^{3-1}$ Its provisions included the leasing of the profits of the comage for a fixed yearly sum, and blanket authority for minting a specified comprehensive range of gold, silver and billon coins, which significantly did not include quarter-bawbees. A new ‘sinker of the irons" John Achesoun, perhaps James's son, was appointed on 4 April 1548 in place of Patrick Lindsay deceased ${ }^{35}$ A curious entry in the Acts of the Lords of Council for 5 December 1549 instructs that all puncheons for sinking of the irons for the coining of gold, silver and 'other layit money' which had been delivered to the late Patrick Lindsay, and the late Robert Logan of Cotfield as cautioner. should be delivered to James Achesoun, goldsmith and master coiner. ${ }^{36}$

For the fourth period in the Hopetoun MS William Colville, commendator of Culross abbey, is shown as "comptroller and taxman'. It seems that there is no blank in the MS after ' 15 September" for the year but, unlike Cochran-Patrick, ${ }^{37}$ one would assume that the year intended must be later than 1550 to allow for the largest stated minting of the four. And indeed the Lord High Treasurer's accounts recording the royal profits from the coinage from 1546 onwards, show that the commendator paid various sums in respect of 1550 . then $£ 2,333.6 .8$ for 1 November 1551 to 30 November 1552 , and the same sum for 1 March $1552 / 3$ to 1 March $1553 / 4$ - which must cover the 1553 gold and silver, the first fine comage since $1543 .{ }^{3 / 4}$

Bawbees were only 25 per cent silver and the Hopetoun MS conments 'The maist pairt of the saidis babeis were cunyeit of clippit souses quhilk than wer proclamit in France for bullion and send heir to be convertit in babeis for payment of thair men of weir lying heir agains England’. This can be related to the French forces which arrived in June 1548, and to the Act of the Privy Council in December 1551 which prohibited merchants from

[^13][^14]importing for public circulation 'clippit sowsis and clippit Carolus as cryit doune in the realme of France', Even if exaggerated it does help to explain the large mintage in the fourth accounting period, when there were also Acts in July and November 1551 about the unpopular circulation of French money, which may then have been replaced by recoining. ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$

1554 still presents problems. The Hopetoun MS states that in the end [of the bawbees] thair wer sum cunyeit to Mr David Panter bischop of Ross'. They were, however, not the only ones then coined. For as shown in the Acts of the Privy Council, ${ }^{+1}$ they were authorised on 11 January $1553 / 4$ to be made from certane stanis of silver veschell that the bishop has for his expensis'; but on 22 January the council further 'ordanis James Aitchesoun maister Cunyeour to imprent all silver [my italics] to be brocht and deliverit to him be ane venerable fader in God William commendatour of the abbey of Culros comptroller to our soverane lady in babeis, Providing alwais that the silver inbrocht or to be inbrocht be my lord of Ross . . . be just cunyeit in babcis conforme to the ordnance maid their upon befoie, and gif the bischop of Ross has nocht the said silver reddy to be cunyeit as said is, ordanis the said James to receive the silver to be inbrocht be the said venerable fader and to cunye the same in babeis as said is, nochtwithstanding the actis made theirupon to the contrair' (not now extant). Presumably it was the bullion value plus the profits of his issue that were wanted to support the bishop's embassy to France, and foreign currency and credit could have been borrowed on their security. So the minting need not have been hurried, and Achesoun was authorised to start on the commendator's silver if the bishop's was not ready when the bishop left.

Supposing 'certane stanis' amounted to at least three ( 48 lb . of say 87 per cent silver) this would nominally have given 42,762 bawbees with a face value of $£ 1.069 .1 .0$ including a profit of $£ 261$ if one disregards the value of the vessels’ 13 per cent alloy. ${ }^{\text {t2 }}$ But in addition, specifically lifting some previous embargo and without limit of amount, authority had been given to the commendator, accountable as lessee of the mint, to strike billon again on his own. probably in line with his previous scale. There was nothing exceptional in the specification of vessels to be used as bullion, for details of silver and gold vessels received and paid for by Achesoun in 1543-47 are noted in the royal accounts, and silver vessels totalling 3 st ., $2 \mathrm{lb},, 3 \mathrm{gr}$. are noted for $1550{ }^{+33}$ In a way comparable to the bishop of Ross' licence there was a licence to the French ambassador to have struck two stone weight of utter fine silver into pennies between 6 December 1554 and the $x$ of Januar next thaireafter', Made up with alloy to 24 st ., 12 oz . as recorded in the Hopetoun MS this would have made 258,552 coins in barely over a month; but much higher rates and evidence of activity were soon usual. ${ }^{+1}$

## Conclusion

From the samples catalogued by Burns and Richardson it is likely that the size of group I (understated before Rigghead) together with group 2 may have been not much smaller than group 3 and 4 combined (in which 3 must have greatly predominated despite the natural tendency of collectors to over-emphasise the scarcer varieties such as those in 4). The substantial part of group 1 now shown to have been later than the Stirling bawbees of mid-1544, must be included in the second accounting period of the Hopetoun MS. We

[^15][^16]might then tentatively equate group 2 with the remainder of that period. The striking of penny pieces authorised in May 1547 may have had priority in the third period (1547-50). So if the main minting of bawbees in that time was conveniently marked by the beginning of group 3, it need not have begun promptly after John Achesourn's arrival in 1548. Period 4 with its largest stated minting, beginning in 1550 , would then cover the bulk of group 3 and at least some of group 4.

The criterion for group 3 has been taken to be the change in the inscription from D.GREGINA to D.GR rather than the not quite coincident strong futing of the saltire headlined by Burns, for it seems likely to have been the more evident and significant. The contraction to $\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{R}$ had already been used on the rare twenty-shilling gold pieces of 1542 and on James V's half-bawbees, and was standard, if only for reasons of space, on the tiny penny pieces apparently first authorised in 1547 . Perhaps group 3 bawbees merely followed this lead; and it is R too on the gold of 1553 except for one forty-four-shilling type which has REGINA. It is notable that this full form returned in 1555 not only on the large silver testoons but also on the bilion coins that were considerably smaller than the bawbees. Moreover contrary to Scottish precedent it was in each case made emphatic by being placed at the end of the phrase, after instead of before SCOTOR; and this arrangement was continued whenever Mary issued coins alone, though with Francis and Henry Dannley the usage was R.R. SCOTOR or similar. In 1553 and 1555 the stress on the queen may have been subtle claims by the rival regents to be acting for her. Perhaps it is not too far-fetched to wonder if $D \cdot G \cdot R \cdot$ had marked Marys departure in November 1548 . The change in class VIII and in 1553 late gold to DEI in full could reflect the increasing religious strife by stressing the divine legitimacy of the queen's authority. (The political significance of the motto-like inscriptions on the coinage of Mary and of James VI has been examined by J. H. Stewart.) $)^{+5}$ Similarly the replacement of the fleur-de-lis initial mark on the class VIII reverse by a cinquefoil, as on the obverse of the 1553 testoons, may have been intended to soft-pedal the French connection, ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Mary of Guise's cross-potent, bowever, introduced as the initial mark of VIIb, continued to indicate her strengthening interests, as shown by its presence on the coins during her subsequent regency (1554-60). ${ }^{47}$

At any rate while typologically class VIIb is linked with the 1553 coinage there is as yet no proof that it must all precede that year, nor any that it was not continued after it - even for a while concurrent with class VIII if that was all for the bishop. On the other hand only a lesser part of VIII may have been the bishop's, for on the basis of our very small sample VIIb and VIII might have had a ratio of $3: 2$. We can only be confident that, as all known bawbees (except those of Stirling) bear the Hamilton cinquefoils. none were struck after Arran resigned as Governor (Regent) in November 1554. There is, too, no gold or silver dated that year for the mint to have worked on, though already in September there were preparations for a new coinage. ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ Pennies which Burns identified as those struck for the French ambassador at the turn of the year have a crown like class VIlI, Aleurs-de-lis instead of cinquefoils, and a plain cross not a cross-potent.

Sixpenty billon was not struck again. There wore lighter twelve-penny groats (nonsunts) of six not three deniers fine in 1558 and 1559 , following more very base pennies, penny-halfenny 'lions' (hardheads) and tourpenny placks, and some experimental silver. Substantial minting of good silver had. however, been resumed in 1556-58. The date when

[^17] denomination. has heen recently added to the stewart collecturn.
${ }^{17}$ Muras. M (19. (1979). 1.54

the Rigghead hoard was hidden, probably 1554-55, is deduced from the absence of all these. Counterfeiting indeed went on and was one of the reasons given in 1567 for a halving of the values of billon, including the reduction of the bawbee to threepence and the nonsunt to sixpence. ${ }^{+9}$ Yet there was no mention of either in 1575 when counterfeiting of the lions and placks was so serious that they were called in, and those genuine re-issued countermarked, at the reduced 1567 values. ${ }^{51)}$ Whatever their price bawbees stayed numerically the predominant coin in hoards for at least twenty years after their issue ceased. ${ }^{51}$

## APPENDIX

## Inventory-type summaries

Rigghead Sandpit, Collin, Torthorwald, Dumfriesshire, December 1963.
10) AV, 142 AR, 377 Billon incl. 1968 stray parcel, plus some unrecorded, English, Scottish and French. Deposit: c.1554-55.
England (115): Henry VII, half-groats, profile 4: Henry VIII, 2nd issuc groats, 93. half-groats 18.
Scotland (405): James III, plack 1st issue I; James IV. placks OE 8. Rom. 3; James V, groats 21, one-third groats 6, placks 12, bawbees 132, half-bawbees 6; Mary, abbey crown 1 , bawbees Edinburgh 202, Stirling 12, half-bawbee 1.
France (9): Charles VIII, écu 1, half-écu 1; Francis I, écus 7.
Container: earthenware jug.
Disposition: NMAS 200, Dumfries M. 93, Hunterian 54, BM 7 English, RSM I French. returned to finders 174.
Noranside, Tannadice, Angus, October 1962
1 AR, 93 Billon, Scottish. Deposit: c. 1587.
Scotland (94): James IV placks OE 5, Rom. 2; James V, placks 5, bawbees 5, half-bawbee 1: Mary, bawbees Edinburgh 24. half-bawbee 1. bawbees Stirling 1, placks 17, hardheads I555 3, 15561,15585 , uncertain 6, penny 1556 1; Mary and Francis, hardheads 13, James VI. half-merk 1580 1, placks 3.
Disposition: NMAS 21, Dundee M. 19, returned 54.

## CATALOGUF

Notes. This catalogue of varietics aims at listing features of the bawbees and half-bawbees in the Rigghead hoard along with those in Burns. Coinage of Scolland (primarily the Coats collection. B. no. : new varieties sometimes stressed as B.-). With these is a selection from the other collections of the National Museums of Scotland (NMS) - published by Richardson (Rich.) or then unpublished (Rich.bis), later accessions from the Brateside and Noranside hoards etc (year nos.), also the small Royal Scottish Museum collection (RSM). The bawhees in the Ashmolean and Hunterian Sylioge (AS and HS) are also shown, many in the hatter from Rigghead. Some coms in Dr I.H. Stewart's collection are included (St. coll.) and a few in the British Museum (BM) and elsewhere. Die identities are indicated by $=($ see p. 37). double-struck by d.s. and initial-mark by i.m.

[^18](Edinburgh, 1833) I. 392). A trial in 1568 for alleged coinmg of lalse bawhees is referred to in Register of the Privy Council of Scouland. I. 6t?
${ }^{511}$ Acts III. 92 (5 March 1574/5). C-P I. 104 (in emor -1571. so alsu Burns 11. 329 but not 314) but Fynce Morysorl. An tenerary (London. 1617). p. 283, seems to imply that the original values had persisted (qutoted by Burm 11.262)
${ }^{51}$ Eg Bracside nearly half. 1573 - : Noranside a third. c. 1587 . see note 3 and Appendix.

In the left margin Rigghead serial numbers are itemised. showng what wats not acquired for the National Museum: R returned to finders (thence to collectors). Dacquired by Dumfries Museum and $H$ by the Hunterian Museum.

Pls. 12-15 are numbered $1-164$, and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}$ (gold, see note 8), same obv. and rev. - , die-linked. Figs. $1-5$ atc crowns and specific letters.

## James V (1513-42)

Batwher (1530-42)

## Rigghead nos

Ja. Obv, crown without pellets, no annulet in field, cross i.m. of varous forms, rev. 3-pelfet stop, salare gained (throughout). B. 5 fig. 776.
a (i) Obv. crown underside pointed oval. sometimes hatched


```
D.H.R3 HS 950 and Rich. 79 (pl. 12, 2).
175 R St coll. obv. ducat R (fg. 2.1), tall D (= pl. 12, 3), rev. same R, normal D (pl. 12, 4), ahm.
                        = AS 969 (rer. nomal R).
```

a (ii) Obr crown underside reduced to short hooks at sides

```
176-88a rev. N complete: 179 HS 954; cf. AS 958.
D2.H.R9
189-91 rev.N lower from serif broken sharp: 189 obv, wide thin cross i.m. (pl. 12, 5), rev. error
D.H,
OPPD; 191 HS 955.
```

Jb. Obr. as (a), rev. single peilet stop. B. 3 fig 774
(a)/(b) Noranside $1963.606 \mathrm{obv}=\mathrm{B} 5$
(a)/(b) 1964.995 obs. pl. 12, $3=$ Rigg. 175, rev. normal R. lis withoul contral bar. N complete: ef. St coll. rev. ducat R (pl. 12, 7-8)

195 similar to 1964.995 d.s. but also error socotons ( $p$. 00)
192-3 normal tettering, N complete: 193 HS 970 (recte no anmukt)
H
194
rev. N breaking? corrected error 1 D ovar DV. complete lis (pl. 12, 6).
 nomal: rer' single peller stop

| 196 |  cf. B. 4 tig. 775 and $R S M 1933 .+51$ ( $\mathrm{pl} .12,9$ ), rev. N complete |
| :---: | :---: |
| 197 | no ammulet, complete N : ©f. AS 973 ammat? |
| 198-9 | B. - montet at lefi edge of ficld. obers. (pl 12, 10) = Noramide 1963.617 and Si coll. ex Muray. rer. 196 complete N. 199 sharp N (pl. [2, 12). |
| 2001.1 |  |
| - | complete N: 201 HS 972 |
| 205 | obs. Left hook gone, pelles omuted, anmulet mid-height over I. ret. complete N (pl. 12, $14-15)$ |

 772
di(i) N complete or shate
 comptete N , all different. Rich. 77 M bifid feet (pl. 12. 17)
 coll. [Rich. 76 recte nu ammen, see al $(i)$ ]



| 212 | obs. ducat R, annulet large low above I (pl. 12, 21) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 213-22 | annulet varying height above $1: 213$ ohv $=21+\operatorname{HS} 971$ and $225 ; 215=\operatorname{HS} 960$ (not Rigg.) |

222:-b
D4.H.R2
Noranside 1963.608 res. double or psendo-trefoil stop
d(ii) some with $N$ breaking further. most with $N$ truncated

| 223-7 | annulet varying height above 1. 223 larger Han. 31.7 gr (pl. 12, 25): 225 obr: $=21.3-4$ : 227 |
| :---: | :---: |
| D.H | HS $967=$ AS obv. 959 |
| 228 | similar. obr. (pl. 12, 22), rer. N breaking further, 3 dots in field below $\mathrm{R}=229$ |
| 229-36 | annulet omitted: 229 (pl. 12,23-4) obs: $=230$ and St coll. rer. $=228: 231$ obr. $115451=$ |
| D, H2.R | $232=$ Rich. 78 lig. 106, B.p. 267-8 +at: 235 HS 952 |
| 208-10 | oby. crown pellets omitted. annulet high, three same die becoming rusty. 2 I0 HS 963 |
| H |  |
| 237-48 | annulet low: 244 HS 965. of. HS 961: two with large annulet. 247 (35:2 gr) obv. $=1 \mathrm{~B}$. 1 bis |
| D4.H.R3 | fig. 772 ( 28 gr ) |
| 249-56 | annulet high: live same ohr: 249-50 and 252 IIS 966, and two another; 254 rex. BVRG |
| D2.H.R2 |  |
| 257-85 | annulet mid-height; incl, five each from two obr, dies, four from another. fifteen singles |
| 285a, b | (?). obr: $262=$ Rich. 75 (pl. 12, 26-7) shows composite crown (p. (0) : obv: 266 = B.I: |
| D6.H.R18 | obs. $271=272$ rusty and cracked $=$ St coll. worse ( not Rigg) : c. 275 HS 96.4 |
| - | St coll, obr: annulet mid-height faint. the composite G's vertical stroke set horizontally on the Cs (pl. 12, 28) |
| - | 1914 Ayr, annulet above 1, also tor right and below (pl. 12, 29) |

Je. as $\mathrm{d}($ ii) truncated N . but ducat R (fig. 2.1) obr. and res.
286-93 amblet varying height above 1: 286 obv. large faw in field: 291 annulet triple-punched (pl. D2.II.R $\quad 12,30$ ) Lockett coll. res. lis without bar $=293 \mathrm{St}$ coll: 292 HS 968 large annulet double-punched
Half-bawbees (1539-12)

JA. Obv, cross i.m. narrow, crown interior usually indicated, annulet above I common $\mid 9$ obr", dies $]$

- St coll. oby. crown full interior? (d.s). no arch, annulet high? (pl. 15, 128). ret. $=294$

294 crown side-hooks annulet above I , and above 5 ? ohr. $(\mathbf{p l} .15,127)=$ NM 1914 Ayr BM Grucber 9. side-hooks. annulet above I triple-punched. Scor. Cointrge pl. x.14. obr: and rev. $=$ AS 974
$-\quad$ BM 1914.12.6.24 (Ayr) obr. side hooks, no annulet, exceptionally ends ()Re ( $\mathbf{p l}$. 15, 131) $=$ Lockett coll.

- B. 2 fig. 778 left hook, no annulet. cf. Rich. 81
- RSM 1926.83 etc. obv. left hook? annulet above 1, ends vVM in monogram (pI. 15, 129), rev. grained saltire ( $\mathrm{pl} .15,130$ )
- Rich. 80 no hooks, annulet above I. A engraved on reversed $\mathrm{V} ?$ ohe. $=\mathrm{St}$ coll.

JB. Obv. cross i.m. Wide, crown no interior, annulet in field [ 6 obv . dies]
295-6 obv. dupls.. large annulet above $5=$ Rich. $82($ pl. 15, 134. B.2a fig. 779) etc. 296 ISS 975 rev. $=295$
297 large annulet above I
298-9 obv. and rev. $=$ B. 1 fig. 777 (298. pl. 15, 132) normal annulet: cf. NM ex Murray ( 1987 I81. D ill.), ex Lockett (pl. 15, 133)

Quarter-bawhee
JQ. Obv. three thistle-heads radially, i.m. crown, rev. like half-bawbee
B.-1957.356 ex Lockett, DGR, ends ORV. X.2gr. (pl. 15, 135-6 enlargement 135a-6a, and Scol. Coinage pl. xxii.30())
MARY (1543-67)

Bawbees, Edinbugh (1543-54)
Group 1. Class 1
Ia. obv. crown (fig. 1.1) and thistle, rev. lis and grained saltire, all as James $V$ bawbees
(i) reb. cinquefoils with pellet centre; $R$ (fig. 2.1) and peak-topped open A as James Je

306-19 cf. rew. B. 3 fig. 824. ${ }^{52}$ obv. ends vim as James, in Rigghead al least one pair ( $=\mathrm{B} .1$, a(ii))
D2.Il.R9 and two triple die dupl ; 310 HS l025 obv. = HS 1021 a(ii)
301-5 obw. ends $\dot{\nabla}$, contraction pellet as normal: 301 rev. $=$ Rich. $46(\mathrm{pl}, 12,32) ; 302 \mathrm{ohv}$. pl. 12,
D,H
31; 305 HS 1024
$\mathrm{B} .2 \mathrm{NA} \cdot \mathrm{R}=o b v . \mathrm{B} .3$ (not noted), $\dot{\mathrm{V}}$
(ii) rev. cinquetoils without pellets, henceforward

320-26
cf. B. Ifig. 823 obv, VM: $321 \mathrm{d.s}$. SOT but $=310$ and 323 HS 1021
D. H. R3


Fig. I Crowns of Mary bawhees.

[^19]R
1 Ia

R
2 lbi


3 Ibiii


4 Ibiii-c


5 le


6 Ha-


7 lic-Va

Fig. 2 Distinctive letters in Groups 1 and 2.

327-29
D. H

330-1
R2
obv: $\dot{v}: 327=o b v$. Rich.46. a (i): 329 HS 1022
endings unascertainable
(iii) oby: small lob-topped closed A, some larger letters also

- $\quad$ see $337 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{iii}) / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{pl}$. 12, 33

Ib. Rev. very small lis i.m. . obv. new thistle dicing. $\dot{V}$ normal. $R$ with straight foreleg and crircular fork (fig. 2.2)
(i) new open A , left side of flat top soon breaks

| 332-4 | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii}) / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})$ obv. vm, 332 obv. crror C under S: 333 HS 1023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| H, R |  |
| 335-6 | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii}) / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i}) 335$ obv. $=$ B. 4 (not obv. fig. 825) really v.rev. pl. 12, 35. cf. B. 4 fig. 825:336 |
| H | HS 1026 |
| 337 | a(iii)/b(i) obv: (pl. 12, 33) A. V. cf. $1955.6+1$ Braeside. V |
| 338 | obv. (pl. 12, 34) error MARI, round o, rev. normal narrowed O. cf. B.6 recte lig. s25 obri.. no error $=$ Rich. 48 |
| 339-339a | obv. narrowed O , rev, round o |
| D |  |
| 340-50 | narrowed O both sides, cf. B.4bis and B.5 (RE (i)); 344 HS 1027: errors: 350 no cinquefoils |
| D.H.R6 | (pl. 12, 36) cf. Lockett coll: : 1955,640 Braeside res. N under I: 1987 ex Murray obr: no pellets on crown |

(ii) Iob-topped closed $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{R}$ and M as $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})$, narrowed O

408-10 St coll. (see Ib(iii)) cf. Rich. 49 (pl. 12, 37) and Rich.50
R3

## 1s. Stirling bawbees (summer-(autumn 1544)

Obv. like Edinburgh class Ib , rev. cross-potent and crosslets. crown i.m.
s(i) small M and R, open A complete, round O, all as Ib(i)
B. 74 fig. 860 obv. V. rev. $=$ St coll. $(o b v .=$ RSM 1926.85 V. $)$. cf. BM 1914, 12-6. $56(\mathrm{Ayr})$ [3 obv. dies]
s(ii) open top broken as late Ib (i) [3 obv. dies]
$503 \quad$ obv. V, cf. B. 75 etc really $\dot{\mathrm{V}}$, and Rich. $152 \dot{\mathrm{~V}}$ (pl. 13, 38-9)
s (iii) closed $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{cf}$. Ib(ii), but round $\mathrm{O} \mid 4$ obv, dies $]$
$504-5 \quad 504$ obv: V (pl. 13, 40) , obv and rev. $=$ Murray sale 1987; 505 ohr and rev: $=$ Rich. 153
D $\quad \dot{\mathrm{V}}$, obv $=506-7$
$s$ (iv) larger $M$ and $R$ as $I b$ (iii), still round $O$ (breaking) || obv. die]

| $506-7 \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{s}($ iii)/s(iv) $506=507$ 1/S 1055, rev. pl. 13, 42. obv: $=505$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 508-9D | s(iv)/s(i-iii) obv. 508-9 RV close pl. 13, 41 = B. 76 etc |
| - | s (iv)/s(iv) St coll. obve $=50 \mathrm{R}$, rev. $=5100-7$ |

$\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{v})$ narrowed $\mathrm{O} \mid 7 \mathrm{obv}$ dies)

| 510 | s(iii)/s(v) obr. $\mathrm{V}=$ Rich. $154 . \mathrm{ec}$, pl. 13, 44: AS J154 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 511 | $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{v}) / \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{iin}) 511=$ obe $512-3 \mathrm{etc}$, R V close |
| 512-3H |  |
| 514 | obr' $=\mathrm{B} .78$ fig. 861 (recie) etc. $\mathrm{R} \dot{\mathrm{V}}$ in monogram; $\mathrm{B} .78=$ Rich. 156 and rers. 154 and 1.55 (ober.pl. 13, 43):cf. HS 1057 V $=$ obt. AS 1056 and rev B 77 (not ill.): cf. Scot. Comage pl xi. 156 (BM E2495) |

## Edinowgh

Class [b(iii) (autumm 154:-)
 which breaks, followed by lis; late $R$ lig. 2, 4

| 351 |  (rews b(iii)) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 352-406\% |  |
| D6, H, R 19 | 13, 46); 352 obr $=8.9$ psendo-crescent stop: 371 HS $1029 ;$ cf. AS 1028 and B. $7-8$ and 12 aho B. lig. 824 obr (not in Coats): 5ot. Cringe pl xi. 154 (BM) <br> (N.B. Coins returned as b (iii) included some b (ii) - +107-9 and presumat) others) |
| - | 1987 ex Murtay obr: NAR |
| - | St coll. rere pellet on mener side of upper arm of saltire |
| - | St coll. rei', crown upside down |
| 410 | rer. dis fragmentary |
| 411 | B.- obr SCOTOR. (pl. 13, 45) = Rich.69. rev. cumplete i.m. |


(i) ret. $R$ as fig. 2. 4 : new $N$ complete or chipped

| 416 H | $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{iii}) \mathrm{HS} 1030$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $412-5 \mathrm{D}$ | 412 obr. $\dot{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{pl} .13 .47)=$ Rich. $53($ rel. pl. 13, 48) |

(ii) rev. R as obv., added top more horizontal

Group 2. Class 11

 several leters vary, notably M
 not (3), "pelles-ind-club' crown (p)
 Martay (rev.Je(ii) wide $N$ )
+20-1 $\quad 420$ obe pl. 13. 49. rew salture part sumated. large lis: 421 saltire part rippled and hated, large lis



- St coll. obr. ok, req. wide-angle M, latge lis with har line and ope cor cown ?
[la(i) obr smather ducing, M may have shatp serits.
 not boted in Burns. ret. smatlor lis, of B. 16 fig. 830
Rich. 59 obr. MAR
Rich. 71 obl. end or ( $\mathrm{pl}, 13,51$ ). rer, waller is
 dicing and M as a(ii) B. 28 obs: crown (t), M as a(i). D:G (pl. 13, 54). rev: (p), saltire grained and fluted, large lis. OP Gi

He. obv: crown and thistle as a(ii) - arch rarely visible, acute M (lig. 2.7), res. central trefoil crown (t), large lis normal

| - | Chatii) Rich. 68 D:G, rev. saltire striated and strongly fluted. (it : ef. AS 1032 (ob) $:=$ AS $1(131$ \& B. $14 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | a(i)/c 1972 Aberlady obr. V, rev. smaller lis, Gi* |
| 430 | a(ii) c rev. saltire rippled and part fluted, Gi |
| - | cic B. 13. obr: fig. 829 DG $=$ AS 1033 (rev. (i) : B. 18 fig. 832 obrt, no arch |
| 425 | 4): G. rev. crown (p). live or six irregular dots in field below i.m. |
| +26-9 | DG. 427 obv and rev. central compass-mark (pl. 13, 55-6). res: lis double-punched |
| D. H | (placing pellet-foot inside beaded circle), saltire rippled and part fluted $=$ iwo in St coll. : +29 IIS 1035 |
| 4.35 | obr. no arch. ends OR. rer. (il . hair-linc lis ats on into Vat , saltire part fluted (pl. 13, 57) |
| 433-4 | fragments |

Group 2 etd. class III
Obw. crown cross-fourchée (lig. 1.5). letters like IIc. rel. crown normally (t)

437-46 cf. B. 22-23.25. some D:G; 440 no arch ? : 441 ohr: no stops $=$ Rich. 64 (small lis). rev:
D.H.R3 saltire grained: 443 HS 1036. cf. HS 1038 and B:26
$447-8 \mathrm{H}$ obr. HS 1037 , die duplicates, $v$ ef. B. 25. rev. 447 op (3)
44-52 varieties, two D:G:449 obv, no arch pl. 13,58. res', crown (p). cf. Rich. 72 and 77:451 vop D Gl; 452 obv. no stops, re4. rippled and fluted saltire. Gi
453-6. R4 uncertain

- NM 1988 loan. obv crown. pellet above left fleur
- Rich.79his MAR
- B. 21 fig. 834 obv. ends OR
[IIb, obv: crown as a. . Tev, lis i.m. all petals straight. crown (p)
457-8 D.GVGI. ©f, B. 24 lig. 835 (obr: = B.25-111a): Rich. 76 and 75 (rev. pl. 13, 59)
Hle. obv. crown bas pellets on cusps (fig. 1.5), rev lis smaller. narrow
- IIIaze? Rich. 64

436 obv. $V=$ Rich. 70 and 1987 ex Murray (cf. B.20) .ert. (il . saltire rippled and tluted (pl. 13. 60)

- Rich. $81 \mathrm{D}: \mathrm{G}$ rev. error BVRG. large lis
- B. 19 fig. 833 obv. ends VM, rev. lis ?

IIId. obv. crown arch decorated, rev, crown and lis as a.
4.59
B.-V GI , saltire arms punched separately (pl. 13, 61-2, and see 67)

Group 2 ctd. Class IV (for B. $27-28$ see IIb)
Obv. crown small, decorated arch (fig. 1.6-7), letters like III, rev. crown usually ( p ). lis i.m. large of smatler Va. obl. crown like (t). two-line hoop often not distinct. M may have wide-angle
$460 \quad$ obv. $\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{B} .29$, Rich $, 82,1987$ ex Murray andanother reve. all different, most wide M : ef. OK (?) St coll.
461-2 461 obv.pl. 13, 63; 462 obv. wide M, rev. pl. 13, 64:cf. B. 30 fig. 837 (not B.29) and B. 31 :
$465 \mathrm{H} \quad$ HS 1041 prob. =obv. B. $31=\operatorname{Rich} .56$ (rev. Oe Gi )

- Rich. 74 Vm Gil

IV b. obv. crown cross-like central trefol, single-line loop
$463-4 \quad 463 \mathrm{obv}, \mathrm{pl}, 13,65$. rev. saltire rippled; Rich. S3; HS $1039(\mathrm{OD}$ Gl) and 1040
D
Group 2 ctd. Class Va
Obw. larger crown, central cross. two-line hoop (fig. 1.8), rev. cinquefoils smaller. two sizes of lis Va(i), obw. decorated arch, coarser thastle

- [Vb/Va(i) Rich. 85 rev. lis small, saltire ams separate ( pl . 13, 67, sec 62) 466. $466 \mathrm{AD} \quad o b v .460(\mathrm{pl} .13,66)=$ Rich. $84 \cdot \mathrm{DG} \cdot \mathrm{v}$. Gl', iis? , cf. B. 33 lis large
$-\quad$ Rich 89 obv. beaded arch $=$ Rigg. $484 \mathrm{pl} .13,68$, rev. Vb(i), rev. Op Gl
$-\quad$ B. $32 \mathrm{fg} .838 \mathrm{vm} \mathrm{Gi}^{\circ}$
Va(ii). obe plain arch, thistle mainly neater ball, rev.(p) var. lis usually large

| 467 | obv. oval thiste, cf. Rich. 86bis and B. 36 . rev, saltire part fluted, small is: $; \mathrm{B} .36=36 \mathrm{bis}$, obv. and rev. fig. 840, rev. six dots in field, see p. 00) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 468-76 | obv. cf. B. 34 unfluted saltire, several apparently ' $\mathrm{DG}^{\prime} ; 468$ obv' = Rich. 86 v ? , rev. Gr (pl. |
| D2, H | 13.70): 473 V . error ? G I : $474 \mathrm{VG1}$; $476 \cdot \mathrm{~V}$ (pl. 13, 69) GI' : HS 1042 V GI' |
| - | obv. B. 35 fig. $839($ not B.34) $=$ B. 35 is MAR |
| - | Rich 87 OR |
| - | St coll Vm |
| 477-8 R2 | uncertain |

Va(iii). obw. no arch: rev. plain saltire
$479-83$
$\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}$ cf. B. 37 fg .841 (rer. small lis): 482 HS 1043 large his.
Group 3. Class Vb-c
D.G.R-begins and scotorvm or VM- invariable: new lettering soon includes reversed $A$ invariably:rer. saltire soon strongly flued, new lis i.m. with tbree-spike foot. ends of crown's hoop hooked Vb.(i) obu. crown as $\mathrm{Va}(\mathrm{ia}$ ), plain arch sometimes lost in border, rev. very large cinquefoils, plan saltire. B. he. N 42
$484 \quad \mathrm{Va}(\mathrm{i}) / \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i})$ obr beaded anch $(\mathrm{pl} .13,68)=\mathrm{Rich} .89$, rev. N

$\mathrm{Vb}(i i)$ obl. crown no arch, lower line of hoop may be hooked as rev. (lig. 1.9), rew. as b(i)
Rich 95bis rev. reversed 4 . SOPGI : Rich. 96 similar, obr', no sops
Ve. oble crown smaller and lower (ifg. 1. I0), has central trefoil, no arch, hop shows interior by hooks or complete oval: $R$ generally with frone serif (shortens) ; éw. crown hooked as Vb, saltire soon strongly huted, cinquefoils small and more pentagonal, reversed $h$
V (i) rev. saltire still plain, lis as Vb
 Rich. 92 similar. serifed $R$
B. 40 oby, fig. 844 . $+\ldots$ serifed $R, \cdot \mathrm{OP}^{\prime} \mathrm{GI} \cdot: 1954.422$ ( $\mathrm{d} \cdot \mathrm{s}$ ) similar, +

Vc.(ii) rex, matire strongly fluted henceforward, obv, additional stops common


- B. 41 fig. 845 rer' on (i3 . dots in field acctiental ?
 similar 99 op, error $B$ reversed, and $100+$.
- 1955.644 Braeside ober. $\because+$, ml . OP Gr

Ve.(iii) res. lis has excoptional wide foot (pl. 13.76)


Ve.,(iv) obv: pellets added to crown's hoop and cusps (fig. 1. I1) rev. lis as c(iii)

- c(iv)/c(i) Rich.94 ohs. plain R. .t. . rer. plain saltire. Of Gl
- c(iv)/c(ii) Rich. 102 . . .

Group 3. Class Vd - was begimning of B. Class VII (p. 133)
Vd(i). Obr: large crown, strawberry-leaf centre, pellets on cusps, hoop oval? (fig. 1.12) thistle like Ve(iv): serifed $R$ contimues but is replaced by plain: other new letters include ornamented O : rev. lis like $\mathrm{Vb}-\mathrm{c}$ ( $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii}$ ) and hooked single-line hoop. cinquefoils like Vc may be 'pierced' by light circle
B. 63 tig. $854^{\circ}$ op G1 : Rich. 126 (pl, 13, 77-8); 1955.647 Braeside .t. Op' Gl : Rich. 127 rev . plain 0

Vd (ii) obv: double-arch crown, two-line hoop (fig. 1.13), serifed R ret. only
Rich. 130 oblv. (pl. 14, 79) . . . = Rich. 128 (re): (it under BV pl. 14, 80): Rich. $129 \cdot$ op (il : B. 64 tig. 855 plan R only, omamented O and reversed A recte, res: crown as V Ia

Group 3 ctd. Class VI
VIa (See p. 133). Obv. crown of three larger and two smaller lis or crosses rev. crown two-line hoop without hooks. cinquefoils with larger centres normally pierced; R with front serif rare. $O$ still ornamented at first, narrower M also replaced later (fig. 3.1)
Vati) obv. crown poorly drawn. low double arch, straight hoop (fig, 1.14), thistle smooth oval. B.fig. 853

- B. $62=$ B. 62 bis $=$ Rich. 123 bis $=$ obv: Rich. $123(\mathrm{pl} .14,81-2) \cdot+$. op (il $;$ cf. Rich. 124 similar: St coll. no extra stops

Vha(ii) obv: crown single arch, hoop still straight (fig. 1.15), thistle may be more jagged
$487 \quad$ obr. + , flawed $=1963.612$ Noranside, rexs. OpG1 (pl. 14, 84). OPG1 : cf. 1987 ex Murray obv. + (pl. 14, 83), rev. Op GI : Rich. $109 .+$

VIa(iii) obv. no arch. rev. cinquefoils slightly larger
 rev. is. B.51)

VIa(iv) obv. large crown, no arch, sides joined to strongly curved hoop (fig. 1:16)
St coll. (pI. 14, 86-7) obv, + . ren Jis ? . Op GI crown upside down, cinquefoils as a(iii)
VIb, Ohv. crown simgle arch. narrower hoop curved, thiste coarsely jagged - B. fig. 847 and variants. A has broken lob-top: rav: larger composite (?) lis VIb(i) obr. crown's sides not joined to hoop (fig. 1.17), narrow M's right leg bent: large slender cinquefoils. piercing often not evident

- $\quad a($ ii $) / b(i-i i)$ St coll. $+($ dots by pellet accidental? $)=O P$
- $\quad$ a(iii) $/ b(i-i i) B .52$, rev. not ill.
- B. 48 R with front serif: Rich. I0s al ; Rich. I06bis obv. pl. 14, 88

VIb(ii) obv. top line of hoop joined to sides of crown; cinquefoils as b(i)

- b(ii)/a(i) B. 49 small cinquefoils. 'OP
M
1 VIa
M
2 VIbiii 3 VIbiv
M M
M
M
M

FiG. 3 Distinctive and damaged letters in Groups 3-4.

VIb(iii) short square $M$ (fig. 3.2 ), cinquefoils as $b$ (i)
$-\quad$ Rich. 104 (pl. 14, 91-2) and 106
Vlb(iv) obr, crown normally as b(ii), plam O returns, new letters inchode wide sloping M soon sharp-topped (lig. 3.3), B.fig. 849, thistle neatly jagged; rev. stout cinquefoils like VIa but larger (c.4mm) and strongly pierced $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ii}) \mathrm{Hb}(\mathrm{iv}) \mathrm{B} .51$ obv, + , rer. M with 'ears' fig. 848 (nol B. 52 ); St coll. obv $=$ Rich. 110 . rev. narrow $M$ but new cinquefoils. lis without bar B.53, and fig. 849 recte B. 54 (cinguefoils like $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{iii})$ but larger) sharp M: Rich. 114 obv. plaits and ornamented $O$ (pl. 14, 93-4)

VDb(v) obw. crown has rectangles on hoop (fig. 1.18), B.-, sharp M, wider thistle

- $\quad b(v) / b(1-i i)$ St coll. bis
- Rich.luSbis cinquefoils as b(iv)
- Rich.J15 (42 gr. chipped) $=$ obr. St coll. ( 33 gr ) (pl. 14, 95-6), rer. cinquefoids as c(i)

VIc. obv. smaller crown, arch decorated (fig. 1.19), thistle like b(y), cinquefoits like a(iii)
VIc(i) obn. crown's sides not joined to hoop: new squarish M in two sizes (fig. 3.4)
$-\quad V \mathrm{Jc}(\mathrm{i}) / \mathrm{VLa}(\mathrm{ii}) \mathrm{AS} 1047 \cdot \mathrm{OP}^{\mathrm{GI}}$

- B. 60 fig. 852 recte: B.59. Rich.l17. 119. AS 1046 obv. M as VIb(iv): HS 1044. 1049-50
$489 \mathrm{pl} .14,97-8$. rev. wide V (as B.53. 55-56. Rich.117bis)
- Rich. 113 obv no arch. rev. large lis i.m.

VIc(ii) obv. crown's sides generaily continuous, slighty sloping M usually has 'clab-foot" (ig. 3.5), B.fig. 850)

```
490 VIc(ii)/b(i-ii), cf, Rich.120 obv. +.. nicked apex A appears (pl. 14, 99), rer. op,
491-2 club-footM (pl.14,100.cf. B.65 and 51: Rich.118 obr.broken lob-top A (as B.60.65-7),
    rev. pl. 14. I01:492 St coll.
- St coll. rev. crown upside down
493D Vlc, Vle(ii)
```

c(iii) similar but har-line inner circtes - temporarily. M as c(ii) sometimes complete

- B.56 = obe Rich.117bis (pl. 14, 102), rews. beaded inner circle
- St coll. (pl. 14, 103-4) obri. crown qwo-line deconted arch. cf. Vlla, hair-lines obr. and rev.

Vld. ohe crown with 'wedges' on cusps, melyave trefoil on arch (ig. 1.20 ), new shoping Might foot defective (lig. 3.6) B. ig. 85F; beaded inner circles resume; rev. narow lis i.m., crown single lime hoop. cinquefols dse. saltire's left lower edge sometines broken (see pl. 14. 108)

```
- Vld?c(ii) HS 1051
```



```
    B.58 fig. 851 = obr. Rich.119, me's. Of Gl
```

Group 3 ctd, Class Vtad (see Vd and pp. $25-6$ )
 letters. B. His. 856
 larger lis

| - | VId/VIta(i) St coil. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | VIta(i)/VId St coll. |
| $495-6$ |  |
|  |  Roch. 13 Jbs (pl. [4. 107-8) broken siltire |

VIla(ii) square M (fig. 3.8). A with nicked apex rev. two-line hoop

$$
o b 1 .=\text { Rich. } 131 \text { fig. } 117 \text { (pl. 14, 109-10) }
$$

Group 4. Class VIIb
Obw, i.m. cross-potent. crown larger, usually without arch (fig. 1.22). oceasionally coarser thistle; new letters include N instead of $\triangle$ : nicked A regular, beaded inner circles gradually dropped: rev. crown like VILa(ii), also lis which becomes like a cross
VIIb(i) beaded circles. rev. small tight pentagonal cinquefoils

```
- VIla(ii)/VIIb(i) St coll.
- VIIb(i)/VILa(ii) Rich.137 obv.pl. 14, 112; Rich. 139 obr: = Ruch.1.38
4 9 8 ~ B : - n e w ~ c r o w n , ~ n o r m a l ~ c i r c l e s ~
- St coll, obv. crown as VIta (pl. 14, 111)
- Rich.138 obv, coarser thistle, inscription starts to left of crown = Rich.139(pl. 14, 113).
                                rer'.pl. 14, 114
- St coll. rev. b(iii) cinquefoils
```

VIIb(ii) rev. i.m. cross-potent also. exceptionally

- B.- Rich. 145 saltire not fluted, cinquefoils as V1Ia, inscriptions d.s. (pl. 14, 115)

VIIb(iii) beaded inner circles only on obv. (o) or res. (r). B.-: res. cinquefoils more open than b(i)

- (0) Rich.134. Rich. 144
- Rich. 112 (not VI) rev. crescentic annulet below saltire (pl. 14, 118), cf. St coll. and amother $499 \quad$ obliterated annulet (?) below saltire, cf. VIIb(v)
(r) Rich. 143 pl. 14, 116-17, rev. = St coll. (obr: VIIb(v)): Rich. Iti) no stops, DGRA illusory (d.s.)

VIIb(iv) no beaded inner circles, cinquefoils as $b$ (iii)

- B.70 fig. 858, B.71, Rich.136, Rich.141-2; Rich. 135 no stops, obr. pl. 14, 119

VIIb(v) as b(iv) but two-line arch added to obve crown (fig. 1.23), cf. VIla; no stops
$-\quad$ VIIb(v)/VIIb(iii) St coll., rev. $=$ Rich. 143

- B. 69 lig. 857: St coll. thistle incompletely diced (pl. 15, 121)
- 1957.366 ex Lockett ( $=$ St coll.) obv. pl. 14, 120, res: annulet below saltire partly obliterated?

Group 4 ctd, Class VIII (see J.K.R. Murray, BNJ 37 (1968) 105-7)
Obv. i.m. cross-potent stronger, DEI GR, crown decorated, double arch and complete hoop (fig. 1.24); rev. i.m. cinquefoil in three sizes. side cinquefoils very large; smaller letters; beaded inner circles: OP GI normal VIlIa, rev, fluted saltire, smallest i.m., side cinquefoils have one defective leaf Rich 148 and 1963.613 Noranside (obv. pl. 15, 122), revs. defective D

- $\quad 1955.648$ Braeside, defective D both sides: $1964.10(14$ (pl. 15, 123)

VIIIb. rev. slender plain saltire
VIIIb(i) cinquefoils as VIIIa
$500-1$ cf. B. 72 bis, 501 rev. i.m. double-punched

- St coll. small i.m. loses leaf

VIIIb(ii) rev. medium i.m.. side cinquefoils larger, more angular
B. 72 fig. 859, Rich.146-7, defective D replaced by larger: Rich. 151 rev. defective old D (pl. 15, 125); Rich. 147 . . .
$-\quad$ Rich. 149 D•R (pI. 15, I24)


Fig. 4 Obverse crowns on Mary half-bawbees.
VIIlb(iii) rev. kargest i.m... side cinquefoils as (ii)
B. 73 rew: pl. 15, 126: Rich. 146bis. 150

Half-hawbees
Design like bawbees but 'DGR and single cinquefoil below saltire
Type A
Obv, thistle 'A' as on James' halves and similar to Mary class Ia. vm ending normal: obre: (fig. 4.1) and rev. crowns like James Aal. rev, i.m. large lis like Mary la - central bar may be omitted; R like $\mathrm{Ib}(\mathrm{i})$ (cf. fig. 2.2): saltire unfluted

502 oby, high arch, sharp-top A. rev. d.s., lis lost (16.0 gr): ef. Rich. 157. and St coll. (pl. 15, 137-8)

Ab . rev. very small lis like class 1 b
(i) R like Ib(iii) (cf. lig. 2.3)

- B. 1 fig. 862 obl. rev. pl. 15, 140:B. 2 fig. 862 rel...obr. errormart D. G:Stcoll. (pl. 15, 139)
- B.- but Coats. 1921.1294. no arch, scotor (pI. 15, 141)
(ii) R's front leg short. squared off

St coll. (pl. 15, 142-3). rev. = AS 1059. 'cog-wheel' mark at second P
(iii) R resembles a B , from James half-bawhee fount

Rich. 158 vm ( $\mathbf{~ p l}$. 15, 144) like Type B. lob-top A possible; St coll. vm. ret, lis broken' (pl. 15,145 )

Type B
Obr. thistle ' B ', smaller and jagged: crowns similar to type A : lob-top A . new R . round o: lis with bar-and-dot foot: final stops common, ef. group 2; saltire still unfluted
(pI. 15, 147): ob) $=1914$ Ayr and 1457.368 ex Lockett (pl. 15, 146). titter rev, crown (p):
M like lla
Murray sale 1987. 241 ill.. M like IIc-IV. vM cil

Type C
Ob', thistie "C". dicing may be widely spaced, crown'sarch lop-sided, central spike tall (fig. 4.2): new letters with reversed $h$. successive forms of $R$ and $M$ (fig. 5), also lis i.m.: cinquefoil as $A-B$; saltire fluted is group 3 Ca. full rear-serif R. rep. lis has narrow dart-shaped foot
(i) complete M (right fork higher than left)

Rich. 1 (f) obv, open dicing with trangular area $(\mathrm{pl} .15,148)=1926.84$ RSM, oblique-top A: AS 1061 lis i.m. clear
(ii) M breaking, then loses right serif
a(i) at(ii) B. 4 obs, dicing closer, res: M half-serif

- a(ii)/a(i) nM unnumb, no prov. res. pl. 15, 149
$-\quad 1957.369$ ex Lockett obs: $=$ B. 3 lig. 863.3 M right serif lost. ret. M half-sent

FIG. 5 Distinctive and damaged letters on Mary half-bawbees.

Cb. half-serit on R rear foot
(i) M as $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{ii})$

```
- b(i)a(ii) B.3bis fig. $63 res, only.obv. M serif lost (pl. 15, 150)
- a(ii)/b(i) Fitzwilliam Mus.obr. = B. 3
- HS 1063 M right serif lost both sides
```

(ii) new M (forks level). left serif usually appears broken - see fig. 5: lis i.m. as Ca

- a(ii) b(ii) B. 3 obs. lig. 86.3
- b(ii)/b(i) HS 1062 Ms with opposite legs broken
$-\quad 191+\mathrm{Ayr}(\mathrm{pl} .15,151-2)$, oby $=1963.614$ Noranside and BM E2596 M complete and defective variously on each, ress. all different but M complete: ef. AS 1060 and Rich. 164
(iii) 'third M (left fork higher than right), res' lis i.m. wider foot
- b(ii)b(iii) St coll.
- b(iii)/b(ii) HS 1064
- Rich. 162 (pI. 15, 153-4) M complete both sides, nick in D as in part b(ii): St coll. ohs. 'triangular dicing' (pl. 15, 155)

Type D
Obv. thistle 'D', finer meshed, rev. saltire fluted
Dit. obv. crown heavier. double-line hoop (fig. 4.3)
(i) letters and cinquefoil as Cb (iii)
1957.370 ex Lockett. no room for arch, nick in D enlarged
(ii) ohv, as (i), rev, larger cinquefoil begins. letters and inner circles may be blurred

St coll. obv. crown no arch. M complete. D large gap. bar inside $O$. A with nicked apex ( pl . 15, 156-7); Rich. 163 fig. 118 obr $M$ defective right serif. res: d.s.
(iii) new letters, medium-sized, include long-centred $M$ (fig. 5.6)

- a(iii)/a(ii) BM 1914. 12-6. 58 (Ayr) obs. crown no arch. no inner circle. cf. class VIlb - St coll. obs, faint inner circle virtually unbeaded, rev. small cinquefol. exceptionally? (pl, $15,159)$

Db. obv very poor crown (fig. 4.4). marginal circles tine blurred beading, obs and rev. very small letters, usually blurred and M broken right

- Db/a(ii) BM 191412-6, 60 (Ayr) obv. lettersall crisp. only stops $\cdot$ DG $\cdot$. rev. large letter. wide 4 of. class VIc
- Db/a(ii) NM unnumbered, no prov.. obv. pl. $\mathbf{1 5}, 160=1950,561$ and another (two De revs.). Mel. M as Rich. 163. large cinquefoil (pl. 15, 158)
St coll. small letters both sides. rev, A still reversed
Dc. obv: i.m. cross-potent, mainly new letters, like Da(ii) but slightly larger, include N. rev. i.m. cinquefoil instead of lis, saltire still fluted (Murray. BNJJ 37 1968)

DWDe IU50. 561 found Dornoch. For stongly baded inner cirde same salll D with defective serif as cans VIta (pl. 15, 162, see 125)

 widely pienced" (pi. 15, 163-4, abo Sror. Coinage pl. xI. 159-aot BM. and BNJ. 37 (1968) pl. xi. 31-2)

Key to the plates

Plate 12
$A B C D E F G$

1. Jai
2. Jai
3. $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ai}} /(\mathrm{b})$
t. Jai
4. Jaii
5. J?
6. Jb
7. J6
8. JC/(a)
9. J/cl/a
10. Jc
11. Je
12. Jc

1H. JC
15. Je
10. Jdi
17. Jdi
18. Jdi
19. Jdi
$20 . J \mathrm{di}$
21. Jdi
22. Jdii
23. Jdii
24. Jdii
25. J6ili
20. Juiii
27. Jdii

2x. Jdii
29. Jdii
30. Je
31. Iai
32. Lai
3. I aiii/bi
3. Ilbi
35. I(a)/bi
36. Ibi

Pate 13

| 37. | 1 bii |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 S | 1sii |
| 39. | 1 Sii |
| to. | 1siii |
| 41. | [siv/(i-) |
| 4. | [s(iii)/iv |
| 43. | 15 |
| 44. | Is(iii)/v |

45 Ibiii
47. Ici
48. Ici
+9. Itai
50. Itai
51. ILaii
52. II:ni
53. Ill
54. 115
ss. Ilc
56 IIc
$57 . \mathrm{HC}$
58. 11la
59. IIb
(6) 11 lc
61. [11d
62. [J]d
63. [Va
64. [Vit

6 6. [Vb
66. Vai
67. (IVD)/Va

6*. Vati(bia
69. Vali

7II. Vaii
71. Vibi

72 Vbi
73. Vcia
74. Vcii
75. Vcin
76. Vciii-iv
77. Vdi
78. Vdi

Plate $1+$
79. Velii
sit. V tii
SI. Viali
S. Viai
s?. Vtani
st. VIati
85. V/aiii
86. V/ais
87. VIam
88. Vlbi
80. VIbii

9 9. VIbii
91. VIbiii
92. Vlhiii
93. VIbiv
94. Vlbiv
95. VIby
96. VIbv
97. VIci
98. VIci
99. 1 Vefi
100. Vleii
101. VIcii
102. Vleiii
103. V'tciii
104. VIviii
!05. VId
106. VId
107. VIla!
108. VIlai
109. VIlaii
110. VIlaii
111. VIIbi
112. Viibi/(aii)
113. Viibi/(aii)
114. VIIbi
115. VIIbii
116. VIIbiii
117. VIlbiii
118. VIIbiii
119. VIlbiv
120. VIlby

Plate 15
121. VIlbv
122. VIIIa
123. VIIIa
124. VIllbii
125. VIIbiii
126. VIllbiii
127. JA
128. JA
129. JA
130. JA
131. JA
132. JA
132. JB
133. JB
134. JB
135. 135a. 136 and 136 a . JO
137. Aa
138. Aa
139. Abi

1+(). Abi
1+1. Abi
142. Abii
143. Abii

1+4. Abiii
145. Abiii
146. B
147. B
148. Cal
149. C(aii)/ai
150. Cbi/(aii)
151. Cbii
152. Cbii
153. Cbiii
154. Cbiii
155. Cbiii
156. Dilii
157. Daii
158. $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{b})$ /aii
159. Daiii
160. $\mathrm{Db} /$ (aii)
161. Ded(aii)
162. $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{b}) / \mathrm{c}$
163. De
164. Dc
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