HAVING regard to the importance attached by this Society to
the mutual support given, the one to the other, by our
historical documents and our numismatic evidences, it
has been deemed desirable to collect and analyse the
references to the coinage of Henry II., contained in that most valuable
and unique series of fiscal records known as the Pipe Rolls. This
series, in effect, commences with the second year of the reign of that
King, as the only earlier Pipe Roll now preserved to us is an odd
roll of the reign of Henry I. for the year 1129-1130, of which
excellent use has already been made by Mr. W. J. Andrew, F.S.A., in
his “Numismatic History of the Reign of Henry I.”

The Pipe Rolls for the 2nd, 3rd and 4th years of the reign of
Henry II. were printed at the national expense, under the editorship of
Joseph Hunter, Assistant Keeper of the Public Records, in the year
1844. Until 1883 no further rolls were printed, but since that date
private effort, in the shape of the Pipe Roll Society, has made
available for more easy public reference the rolls for the 5th to the
23rd years, inclusive, of the same monarch.

The rolls for the first twenty years are printed in the much
contracted Latin of the originals, but the roll for the 22nd year
(A.D. 1175-1176) published in 1904 has the contractions extended.
This alteration was effected with the view of securing a wider interest
in the publications of the Pipe Roll Society, which had been in a state
of suspended animation since 1897. The result has been to materially
increase the thickness of the annual volume, but it is to be hoped that
the main object of the change may be attained, as the Pipe Rolls
Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.

contain information of priceless value to the historian, numismatologist and genealogist alike. They constitute a record of the yearly national revenue and outlay, and are the chief historical documents remaining to bridge over the gap between the date of Domesday Book, 1086, and the close of the twelfth century. The other records of this period, few in number, have also been printed by the Pipe Roll Society.

Hitherto, with the exception of a stray reference or two here and there, the entries in the Pipe Rolls which relate to the coinage have not been separately dealt with, and it is to supply that omission and to form a supplement to Mr. Nathan Heywood's "First Coinage of Henry II.," published in Vol. I. of this Journal, that these pages have been essayed.

It is proposed, for the convenience of reference, to deal with the information obtained from the Pipe Rolls.

First, under the names of the mints, taken in alphabetical order. (Part I);

Secondly, in the form of a list of Mints and Moneyers in conjunction; in Column I. as they appear on the coins which have been noted to this date, and in Column II. as they are recorded in the Pipe Rolls. (Part II);

Thirdly, to set out, by way of an Appendix, a translation of all the entries which relate to the subject under consideration, with the references to the years and pages of the printed rolls. (Part III.)

It is matter for some regret that the Pipe Rolls hitherto printed extend only to the year 1176-1177, and do not, therefore, comprise the entire period of issue of the first coinage of Henry II. which was continued until the year 1180, but it has been thought better to leave the notes of those three years to a future supplement to this paper after the publication of the subsequent rolls, rather than to incur the expenditure of time and trouble that reference to the original manuscripts would necessarily involve.

It has been said "Happy is the nation that has no history,"
but the remark applies with greater force to the case of the moneyer, for rarely in the rolls does the name of an individual moneyer occur, except by reason of his having been mutilated, fined or taxed.

PART I.

BEDFORD (Bedeforda).

See under Northampton.

Bristol (Bristou and Bristouna).

The rolls contain no reference to a mint at this place, nor to any moneyer coining there, though the coins preserved to us disclose the names of three moneyers. There are entries as to payments by the burgesses of Bristol in the returns relating to "Dorset and Somerset." The ancient part of the town, afterwards city and county, is situate on the Gloucestershire side of the Avon, and this may account for the entry in 20 Henry II. p. 16 (Dorset and Somerset). "The burgesses of Bristol owe £248 6s. 8d. for a fine, but the sheriff is not able to bring them to trial (eos justiciare)." No part of this large fine was ever paid and, ultimately, the entry was eliminated by a "pardon" by the King's writ to the burgesses themselves of the entire sum (see 22 Henry II. p. 154).

BUCKINGHAM (Buchingeham, Bukingeham, Bukiingham).

See under Northampton.

CANTERBURY (Cantuaria).

The rolls for 13 to 18 Henry II. disclose a payment of £1 per annum to a moneyer who received the rent, or firma, of the archbishopric, but his name is not mentioned.

In the rolls of 19 and 21 Henry II. Richard the moneyer is named, and in those of 21, 22 and 23 Henry II. mention is made of Richard Corbeille the moneyer, apparently a different person. The coins give the name "Ricard" simply, and Ricard followed by the letters M, ME and MI. These were doubtless the abbreviations used
for a surname, and were added to distinguish this Richard from another Richard who was coining contemporaneously. Unfortunately the rolls do not disclose the surname thus indicated, but this is happily otherwise in an analogous case (see under London).

In 19, 21 and 22 Henry II. we find the name of another moneyer, Roger, who in the first two of the three entries is described as being "of the Archbishop." His name is disclosed also on the coins of Canterbury. The other two moneyers of this city, Goldhavoc and Wiulf, are not named in the rolls.

In the roll for 23 Henry II. some very heavy fines are recorded as due from the moneyers of Canterbury, who would seem to have been found guilty of an offence of more than usual gravity. Ralph de Ria and his wife owed 1,000 marks. Of this they paid 100 marks and owed £600. John Fitz Robert owed 400 marks and Richard Corbeill 100 marks, but neither paid anything that year and the same remark applies to Salomon and Richard Deodatus who together owed 600 marks. It is significantly added that for the aforesaid debts of the moneyers the sheriff has sureties.

CARLISLE (Carleolium, Carlioliulum).

In 5 Henry II., p. 33, William FitzErembald is recorded as paying £100 for the rent of the mine, and in 10 Henry II. William the moneyer pays £200 for the same rent. This William, the son of Erembald who coined at Carlisle under Henry I., was doubtless the Willelm (variously spelt) of the coins. Reference is made in 16 Henry II. and subsequent years to a fine of £5 imposed upon the men of William the moneyer for an affray. It is probable that William the moneyer of Newcastle was related to his namesake of Carlisle.

CHESTER (Cestria).

As Cheshire was a County Palatine there are, of course, no references to it in the Pipe Rolls, but there may be documents of a similar character preserved with its own records.
Records of the Mints.

Colchester (Colcestra, Colcestria).

Although placed under Essex, or Essex and Hertfordshire, this place in the Pipe Rolls is always accorded a sub-heading of its own; as, indeed, it is in Domesday Book. Alexander the moneyer is mentioned under Essex in the rolls of 5 and 6 Henry II., but no coins of this issue bearing his name are preserved to us. Alfwin the moneyer is named in the Colchester return of 7 Henry II., and coins of this mint bearing his name, Alwin, are in existence. Gillebert is the name of another moneyer occurring under Essex in 9 Henry II. and some subsequent years, but later entries show that he removed to Norwich, of which place coins of his exist. Colchester would seem to have been entitled to four moneyers, and allowances of £3, representing three moneyers not at work, were made to the sheriff from the fixed rent of the county for the years 4 to 12 Henry II., and for £4 for the years 13 to 22 Henry II. It is presumed that the mint was entirely inoperative during these last mentioned years.

Derby (Derebi, Derbi).

No coins of this issue have been found that are attributable to this town. In the rolls, the returns for Nottinghamshire and Derbyshire are taken together. Under the year 5 Henry II., amongst the Nova placita, etc., it is recorded that Walchelinus the moneyer rendered an account of £100, of which he then paid £50, the remaining £50 being duly discharged in the following year. He may be identical with the Whichelinus Derbi of the curious type of King Stephen's coins figured in Hawkins (No. 277), and it may be conjectured that the issue of these coins of Stephen was the cause for so large a fine as £100 having been imposed upon the moneyer.

Dorchester (Dorecestria).

No coins of this issue of the Dorchester mint have yet been noted. The roll of 5 Henry II. under Dorset shows, however, that Warner de Lisoriis, the sheriff, paid an account of 20 marks for the moneyers of Dorchester. In the following year the same sheriff pays accounts of 13s. 4d. for Colbert the moneyer and 26s. 8d. for Laurin
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(Laurence?) the moneyer. It is probable therefore that coins of Dorchester may some day be discovered, and that they will bear the names recorded by the Pipe Rolls is to be expected.

**DOVER (Doura).**

No coins of this issue of the Dover mint have yet been noted. The roll of 4 Henry II. shows that Ralph Picot, the sheriff of Kent, paid £3 for the dies of the moneyers. This may, and probably does, relate to Canterbury, but, in the same year, Adam the moneyer, under the sub-heading of Dover, is stated to owe 50 marks of silver for his redemption by the suretyship of Ralph Picot, and a similar entry occurs in 5 Henry II., when the same sheriff pays £6 18s. 10d. into the treasury on account of the 50 marks due from Adam the moneyer of Dover.

**DURHAM (Dunelm).**

The Bishop of Durham had Palatine rights and, therefore, no entries relating to Durham should be included in the Pipe Rolls. There are no entries relating to the mint or moneyers of Durham, and where debts are entered against the Bishop (e.g., 22 Henry II., p. 103) it is added that he does not recognise that they are due to the King. The list of coins discloses the names of Johan, Waltier and Willam as moneyers at Durham, but the first may have been of Dunhevet (Launceston), for the mint name is given as Dunhe, and the last is taken from a very old catalogue reading and is unreliable. In the roll of 11 Henry II., under Tickhill (Tichehella) in Yorkshire, it is recorded that the son of Walter the moneyer owes the relief of one knight, and that Geoffrey le Briton owes 10 marks for having the custody of his land.

From Boldon Book we learn that:

In the eleven hundred and eighty-third year of our Lord's Incarnation, at the feast of St. Cuthbert in Lent, Lord Hugh, Bishop of Durham, caused to be described in the presence of himself and his court all the returns of his whole bishopric, assizes and customs, as they then were and as they had been aforetime.

But the city of Dunolm' [Durham] was at farm and was rendering
Records of the Mints.

60 marks. The mills of the aforesaid town and Quarringtonshire 36 marks. The mint used to render 10 marks, but the Lord King Henry the Second reduced the rent of 10 marks even to 4 marks by reason of the mint which he first appointed at Newcastle, and at length he took away the mint, which had been used from times long previous.

Exeter (Exonia, Execestria).

The names of Devonshire moneyers disclosed by the Pipe Rolls are:—

Aluric (also written Ailric and Ailuric),
Ilbert,
Osward,
Richard FitzEstrange.

It is probable that all were moneyers of Exeter, as no other place in Devon is known, through existing coins, to have possessed a mint during this reign, and the rolls do not afford any clue to any place in the county, save Exeter, having possessed the right of coinage at this period.

Of the four names mentioned above, that of Richard is the only one appearing on coins hitherto noted, and the Edw (? Edward or Edwin), Guncelin and Rogier of the coins find no place in the rolls. The roll of 14 Henry II. shows that the moneyers of the city of Exeter owed 20 marks, which they paid in the following year. This was their contribution to the aid levied on the occasion of the marriage of Matilda, the daughter of the king.

Gloucester (Gloecestria).

The moneyers of the borough of Gloucester, as a body, are mentioned in all years, save the 6th, from the 5th to 13th Henry II. inclusive. The only individual moneyer named is Godefridus (Godfrey) in the nineteenth year. No coin bearing his name has been noted.

Grimsby (Grimesbi).

In the roll of 3 Henry II. for Lincolnshire, it is recorded that Gerard, the moneyer of Grimsby, owed and paid 1 mark of gold,
equal to £6. No coins minted at Grimsby of this or any other reign have yet been noted, but the town had the status of a borough at the date of Domesday Book, and was a place of sufficient importance in the time of Henry II. to have had a mint. A careful watch for coins bearing Gerard's name should be kept.

**HEREFORD (Hereford, Herefort, Herford).**

The sole entry relating to moneyers at this place occurs in the roll of 7 Henry II. in the return for "Herefordshire in Wales," when William de Beauchamp renders and pays an account of £4 for the moneyers.

**ILCHESTER (Ivelcestria).**

The Borough of Ilchester is referred to in the roll of 5 Henry II. as contributing through the sheriff a gift (donum) of £24 13s. 4d., and immediately afterwards the same sheriff is recorded to have rendered and paid an account of £8 for the moneyers.

**IPSWICH (Gipeswicum).**

The coins disclose the names of Nicole, Robert and Turstain as moneyers of this place. In the rolls the returns for Norfolk and Suffolk are made together, and it is therefore sometimes difficult to assign an individual moneyer named therein to the right town, as Norwich, Lynn and Thetford in Norfolk, and Ipswich and St. Edmundsbury in Suffolk each possessed a mint.

The roll of 11 Henry II. records that Robert the moneyer rendered and paid an account of 10 marks for the mint (cuneo), and as his name does not appear on the coins of Norwich or of Thetford it is safe to assume that he was the Robert of the Ipswich coins. The name Nicole does not occur in the rolls under Norfolk and Suffolk, but Turstan is specifically mentioned in 14 Henry II. as a moneyer of Thetford, of which place, as well as of Ipswich, there are coins bearing this name. The allowances made to the sheriff from the fixed farms of the counties are of some interest: as regards Ipswich they are as follows:—
These and other entries make it absolutely clear that the allowance to the sheriff in respect of a moneyer not at work, was at the rate of £1 for one year for each moneyer. For instance, in 15 Henry II. we find the entry “and in default of one moneyer of Thetford 5s. for a fourth part of the year,” and in 20 Henry II. the entries are “and in default of five moneyers at Norwich 100s., and in default of three moneyers at Thetford 60s., and in default of two moneyers of Ipswich 40s.”

**Launceston (Lanzauerentona).**

The roll of 4 Henry II. under Devonshire, contains the following entry:—“The same sheriff (William de Boterell) renders an account of 20 marks of silver for Roger Peck (Pechus) the moneyer of Cornwall. Cornwall was, in effect, a County Palatine, and the references to it in the rolls are by way of exception rather than rule.

The roll of 22 Henry II. contains the following entry under Cornubia. “Eustace Fitz Stephen renders an account of £153 12s. 6d. for the rent of the county of Cornwall for the mines of tin, and for the rent of the borough of Launceston (Lanzauerentona) according to the roll of the Bishop of Winchester, by the testimony of Bernard the

---

1 This mint has been treated at length by Mr. Carlyon-Britton in a paper intituled “Cornish Numismatics,” which will be printed in a future volume of this Journal.—Ed.
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Chaplain, of that part which is in the King's hand. He has paid into the treasury £152 14s. 8d. And in the appointed alms to the knights of the Temple 1 mark. And in land at Helston which the King confirmed to Baldwin the Chamberlain, after the death of the Earl himself 20s. And he has a surplusage of 15s. 6d." The deceased Earl was Reginald de Dunstanville, a natural son of Henry I., and he had died at Chertsey in 1175.

The name of Roger the moneyer of Cornwall does not correspond with either of the names appearing on the coins of this issue attributed to Launceston, but it is possible that further evidence may exist amongst the records of the Duchy of Cornwall.

A Roger (Rogier) struck coins of this issue at Exeter.

**Leicester (Legrecestria, Legercestria).**

The only entry in the rolls relating to moneyers of the county of Leicester is one contained in that of 2 Henry II., where an allowance is made to the sheriff of 12s. 6d. for the conduct (to trial and punishment) of false moneyers. The names on the coins are Ricard and Robert (Rodbert and Rudbert).

**Lewes (Lewes, Lewis).**

No coins of this issue have hitherto been assigned to Lewes. The roll of 5 Henry II. records that the sheriff, Ralph Picot, rendered an account of £10 for the moneyers of Lewes. Under Sussex Wulwin or Wulfwin (once in error styled Wluric) is frequently named, and another moneyer, Orgar, is named in 7 Henry II.

In the summer of 1905, the writer acquired in Edinburgh a coin of this mint. The initial cross on the reverse is very distinct, as are the letters **LEV** for the mint name and the : immediately preceding them. The name of the moneyer is not clear, but the first letter appears to be a **T** and the third letter is probably an **L**. The **T**, however, may be a **P=W** and the name of the moneyer Wulwin—the Wulwin of the roll.

As regards Orgar, as he made his payment for the Knights of Pevensey, it is possible that the mint at that place was still operative.
LINCOLN (Lincolia, Lincolnia, Nichole).

The entries in the rolls show that the payments due from the moneyers of Lincoln, as a body, were very substantial. The names of six moneyers are preserved to us through the coins of the first type of Henry II., but these do not include Achard, named in the rolls for 14, 15, and 16 Henry II., but on the other hand, coins bearing the name of Raven, the moneyer of Lincoln, named in the rolls for 16 and 17 Henry II. are still in evidence.

LONDON (Lundonia, Londonia).

That the fate of a moneyer who was found guilty of coining false money was far from a happy one is shown by an entry in the roll of 2 Henry II., when a payment of 6s. 8d. is allowed to the sheriffs of London and Middlesex “for the mutilation (disfaciendo) of a false moneyer.” This is immediately followed by an allowance of 5s. for the similar treatment of William Osmund, but it is not disclosed whether he was or was not a moneyer. The name does not occur on coins of this issue of London.

Girard (i.e. Gerard) is mentioned in 5 Henry II., and Geoffrey in 5 and 6 Henry II., and coins bearing the latter name are in existence to-day. Robert the moneyer, who, with Roger Ulketel, was an “approver” of the king, is named in the roll of 9 Henry II., and coins bearing this name are included in this series. The roll of Henry’s 14th year is the richest as regards the names of individual moneyers, and it contains those of the following, who contributed to the aid on the occasion of the marriage of the king’s daughter, Matilda:—

1. Achard.
2. Lefwin Besant.
3. Ailwin Finch.
4. Ædmund Seintier.
5. John Peucier.
7. Turkil.
8. Peter Merefin.
9. Peter de Salerna.
10. Godwin Ladubur.
Coins of all these, save Achard, Turkil, and Godwin, are in evidence. Achard seems never to have paid any part of the 100s. levied upon him and it is quite likely that he removed to Lincoln, where this Berkshire name occurs in the rolls for 14, 15, and 16 Henry II. The surnames disclosed by the roll are an interesting feature, and especially is this so in the case of the two Peters, Peter Merefin, and Peter de Salerna, for the legends PIRES : MER etc., and PIRES : SAL : on our London coins are now explained.

Abbreviations of the respective surnames of two moneyers having the same Christian name, who were coining contemporaneously, were added to distinguish their respective outputs. John Peucier is also called in later rolls, John le Pealcer, the French equivalent of the English name Skinner.

LYNN (Lenna).

The rolls from 1 to 22 Henry II. do not contain any reference to a moneyer at this place, but the reading *ROGIER: ON: LEN on the coin recorded, and the occurrence of coins coupled with certain records of moneyers of this place of later times seem to render it certain that it possessed a mint at this period.

NEWCASTLE (Novum Castellum).

The only name occurring on the coins of this place is William, variously spelt, and in 6 Henry II. we find under Northumberland that William the moneyer rendered and paid an account of 10 marks. In 12 Henry II. and some subsequent years, mention is made of Wilechin (also Wilekin) the son of the moneyer of Newcastle, but the entries in no way imply the death of William the father. The roll of 22 Henry II. contains the following interesting entry:—“And for furnishing two ships to carry the lead which the king gave to the Church of Grosmunt from Newcastle to Rochelle £12 9s. 4d. by writ of the king and by the view of Ralph Baard and William the Moneyer.”
NORTHAMPTON (Norhamtona, Norhantona).

The rolls contain many references to the moneyers of Northampton, as a body. In the roll of 6 Henry II. the returns for Northamptonshire, Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire are entered together. Mention is there made of Thomas the moneyer and his debt, originally of 100s., but reduced in that year to 46s. 8d. All subsequent entries concerning this Thomas are under "Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire." In 9 Henry II. he paid 20s. and still owed 2 marks. The roll of 11 Henry II. records the debt but states that Thomas has fled into Scotland. By 13 Henry II. we get the further addition "and he is dead." The name Thomas does not appear on any Northampton coins of this issue. It is possible that he was a moneyer of Buckingham, but more probably of Bedford, but coins of neither place of the type then current are preserved to us. In 19, 20 and 21 Henry II. we have mention of the moneyer Engelram (or Engelaram) who is doubtless the Engelram of the "unappropriated" coin bearing that name. The INGERAS of the Northampton coins is probably the same person, and the slight variation is probably due to a misreading of the coin, at any rate as regards the last letter.

NORTHAMPTON (Norhamtona, Norhantona).

The rolls contain many references to the moneyers of Northampton, as a body. In the roll of 6 Henry II. the returns for Northamptonshire, Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire are entered together. Mention is there made of Thomas the moneyer and his debt, originally of 100s., but reduced in that year to 46s. 8d. All subsequent entries concerning this Thomas are under "Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire." In 9 Henry II. he paid 20s. and still owed 2 marks. The roll of 11 Henry II. records the debt but states that Thomas has fled into Scotland. By 13 Henry II. we get the further addition "and he is dead." The name Thomas does not appear on any Northampton coins of this issue. It is possible that he was a moneyer of Buckingham, but more probably of Bedford, but coins of neither place of the type then current are preserved to us. In 19, 20 and 21 Henry II. we have mention of the moneyer Engelram (or Engelaram) who is doubtless the Engelram of the "unappropriated" coin bearing that name. The INGERAS of the Northampton coins is probably the same person, and the slight variation is probably due to a misreading of the coin, at any rate as regards the last letter.

NORWICH (Norwichum).

Under Norfolk and Suffolk there are many entries relating to the moneyers of Norwich, but as regards individuals the only names appearing both in the Rolls and on the coins are:—

Gillebert,
Hugh (Fitz Cecilia),
Richard.

Gillebert seems to have removed from Norwich into Essex and to have again returned to Norwich, a process which gave the sheriffs much trouble as the duplicated entries on the rolls clearly show. The "unappropriated" coin reading \textbullet{IIL} . . . . : ON : L (? E) may be a coin of this moneyer struck at Colchester or London. Richard, who owed \textsterling{}10, is stated in 10 Henry II. to have fled into Scotland, but by 14 Henry II. he has returned to Norwich and then reduces his debt
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to £9 6s. 8d. He further reduced the amount by small payments to £6 13s. 4d. which was the sum owing in 21 Henry II. when an attempt was made to collect it from the moneyers of Norwich as a body, but without effect, for in the next year the entry is removed by a pardon by the King’s writ to John, Bishop of Norwich, a circumstance which would seem to show that Richard was a moneyer of the Bishop.

The following names appearing in the rolls under Norfolk and Suffolk have not been identified with those appearing on coins struck at places in either county.

Albold (or Albod).
Aluric (sometimes called Alured).
David.
Geoffrey.
Goscelin (or Joscelin).
Jordan.
Osbert.
Thort (or Thord).
Wiger.

but there are coins of York bearing the name of Jordan as moneyer.

The allowances made to the sheriffs from the fixed firma of the counties show that Norwich did not always exercise the privilege of working its full quota of moneyers. In 18 Henry II. there were two short, in the following year four, in 20, 21, and 22 Henry II. five, and in 23 Henry II. as many as six deficient.

NOTTINGHAM (Notingeham).

No coins of this issue have yet been attributed to this place. The roll of 4 Henry II. records that the moneyers of Nottingham owe 43 marks, and that of the next year records the payment of 40 marks, leaving 40s. remaining due. As regards Walchelinus the Moneyer see under Derby.

OXFORD (Oxineforda, Oxeneforda).

The moneyers of Oxford, as a body, are mentioned in the rolls of 5 and 7 Henry II., in the latter year in conjunction with the borough
of Oxford. Adam the moneyer is specifically named in 21 Henry II., and coins bearing his name are in existence.

**ST. EDMUNDSBURY (Burgus de Sancto Ædmundo).**

There is no mention in the rolls of this place connecting it with the coinage, except that the moneyer Ralph, named in 9 Henry II. and subsequent years, would be the **RAVL** and **ROVLF** of the undoubted coins of this important town.

**SALISBURY (Sarisberia, Saresberia).**

None of the names of the moneyers appearing in the rolls under Wiltshire can be identified with either Daniel or Levric, the names which are disclosed to us by coins attributed to this place. The longest form of the mint name on these is **SALEBR** (Royal Mint Collection), which may be an abbreviation of Salopesberia.

**SHREWSBURY (Salopesberia).**

The roll of 5 Henry II. under the *Nova placita* records a payment by the sheriff of 100s. for the moneyers. In the following year Warin the moneyer is mentioned as owing 10 marks, 5 of which he paid. Apart from the coins attributed to Salisbury, Mr. W. Sharp Ogden's coin of this mint is the only specimen known. It has the very satisfactory reading **SALOPES** for the mint name. The name of the moneyer is not decipherable from the illustration, but the spacing is just correct for **W** (or **P**)(ARIN : ON :). It is possible that a further examination of the coin in the light of this evidence may disclose sufficient of the lettering to determine the name of the moneyer.

**STAFFORD (Stafforda).**

The roll of 5 Henry II. records that the sheriff paid 1 mark of silver for the moneyers of Stafford. In the following year Colebrant the moneyer rendered and paid an account of 2 marks. He is, of course, the Colebrand of the coins of Stafford, and his is the only name appearing for this place. This is one of the most marked and
valuable of the identifications established beyond question by the evidence of the Pipe Rolls, the reading **STAF**, as the name of the mint, having been questioned as a possible contraction of **STANF** (Stamford).

**STAMFORD (Stanford)**

There is no entry in the rolls 1 to 22 Henry II. which affords any evidence as to a mint or moneyers at Stamford, nor are the readings of the mint name on the coins sufficient to establish the attribution beyond question.

**TAUNTON (Tantona).**

According to the Catalogue of the Royal Mint Collection there is a coin of this issue (No. 333 in the Catalogue) which reads *

There is no information, confirmatory or otherwise, of there being a mint here at this period.

**THETFORD (Thedford, Theford, Tedford, Thefford, Teford, Tiefford).**

The entries in the rolls concerning Thetford are contained under Norfolk and Suffolk. Payments and allowances relating to the moneyers of Thetford are of frequent occurrence. In 14 Henry II. Turstan and William Fitz Derewold (and ?) William de Wiclewuda, moneyers of Thetford, paid 5½ marks as an aid on the occasion of the marriage of the King's daughter. On the same occasion the moneyers of Norwich rendered an account of 10 marks, so that it may be inferred that Thetford was of a little more than half the importance of Norwich at this time. Coins of this mint bearing the names of Turstan and William are not uncommon. The catalogue issued by the Deputy Keeper of the Royal Mint in 1906 erroneously assigns some coins, obviously of Thetford, to the impossible mint of Temsford. There is a coin of Thetford by a moneyer whose name begins with **E**, 1

---

1 They bear the mint name **STA*** and in some, if not all cases, the S is retrograde. Mr. Andrew writes that this peculiarity occurs in Stephen's reign on coins of **Stafford**, which are also ornamented with extra pellets.
the remainder being illegible, which may be by the moneyer Edward named in the roll of 6 Henry II.

WAINFLEET (Villata de Weinslet).

The coin of this issue which has been read *WALTIER : ON : WAIN* is the sole reason for the suggestion that this place ever possessed a mint. Probably the coin, if available for inspection, would prove to be one of Durham. If the first letter is not a Roman W but D misread P, the error is easy of conception. The rolls in no way assist this attribution.

WALLINGFORD (Warengeford).

The rolls contain no evidence as to a mint at this ancient and important town, but the readings on the coins leave no room for doubt that the mint still continued to be in operation at this date. They preserve the older rendering Wali for Walingaford.

WARWICK (Warewic).

Edred the moneyer is named in the rolls of 4 and 5 Henry II., but no coins of this issue bearing his name are known, nor have any yet been assigned to this ancient mint.

WILTON (Wiltona).

The Pipe Rolls contain many entries relating to the moneymers of Wiltshire and of Wilton. That of 4 Henry II. refers to an allowance of 18s. 4d. for making the polas of the moneymers. The ordinary meaning of pola is a pole or perch, but polas may here mean polls and refer to the election of the moneymers which took place annually at Winchester, London, etc., when the offices were offered at a price (like toll bars) and the citizens voted. Of the names appearing on the coins the unusual forms Anschetil (or Anscetil) and Lantier appear in the rolls, together with the more usual name William. No coins bearing the names of Alured, Hubert, Ivo, Reginald, or Wineman, all moneymers of Wiltshire named in the rolls, have yet been noted.
Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.

Winchester (Wintonia).

The moneyers of Winchester are mentioned as a body in the rolls of 5, 7 and 14 Henry II. The amount paid by them for the aid in the marriage of the King's daughter was only 106s. 8d. The roll of 13 Henry II. contains a curious record of a fine of 100s. imposed on Herbert Fitz Westman and certain moneyers because they had fabricated together in one house. Whether the offence was that of making false money or was the making of the money in one place together, instead of in the respective houses of the several moneyers, or, as Mr. Andrew would contend, making it in a private house instead of in the appointed public place of minting, is difficult to determine. The name Herebert (also rendered Herbert) appears on the existing coins of Winchester. None of the other Winchester names which occur on the coins are mentioned in the rolls.

Worcester (Wirecestria, Wircestre, Wigornia).

The mint and moneyers of Worcester are frequently mentioned in the rolls. The names of Ælard (or Alard) Godfrey, Stephen and Wulfric (or Wuluric) also occur. None of these in any way correspond with the names Nicole and Robert which are those appearing on the coins attributed to Worcester. The name is rendered VIP = VIW, PIP = WIW and WIW, which probably indicate Wiwrecestria.

York (Everwich, Eboracum).

The rolls contain some interesting entries as to the mint and moneyers of York. The William whose name appears on the coins was probably William de Breteg'ate, who in 17 Henry II. owed 20 marks that he might be relieved from the custody of the mint. In the preceding year Gerard Fitz Lefwin paid 50 marks to have the coinage rights of the mint, so that it would appear that both the incoming and the outgoing officials were called upon to pay the King. Herbert the moneyer, whose name appears on the coins, is incidentally referred to in the rolls of Henry's 21st and 22nd years.
## The Moneyers and their Mints.

### PART II.

### The Moneyers and their Mints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On Coins.</th>
<th>In the Pipe Rolls.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adv... Gloucester.</td>
<td>Adam. Dover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agelhan. Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alf... Launceston.</td>
<td>Aëlard Worcestershire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alwin. Colchester.</td>
<td>Alard Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alwine London.</td>
<td>Albold Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alured Wiltshire.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asc... Oxford.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On Coins</th>
<th>In the Pipe Rolls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De... London.</td>
<td>David. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edw... Exeter.</td>
<td>Edred. Warwickshire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaf. Bristol.</td>
<td>Engelram } Northampton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engelram (unappropriated), see also Ingeras</td>
<td>Engelaram } Northampton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulche. Wallingford.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gil... L...</td>
<td>Girard. London.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Godefridus de Castell. London.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Coins</td>
<td>In the Pipe Rolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldhauc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldhavoc</td>
<td>Goscelinus. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldhavoc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henri. St. Edmundsbury.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert York.</td>
<td>Herebert Fitz Westman. Winchester.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert Norwich.</td>
<td>Hubert. Wiltshire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert Winchester.</td>
<td>Hugh Fitz Cecilia. Norfolk and Suffolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herebert Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereman Winchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hue Norwich.</td>
<td>Ilbert. Exeter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hw Norwich.</td>
<td>Ivo. Wiltshire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwe</td>
<td>Engelram. Northampton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunfrei</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingeras. Northampton.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johan. Durham.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Coins</td>
<td>In the Pipe Rolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johan. London.</td>
<td>John Peucier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Pealcier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John le Pealcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(= the Skinner).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jocelin Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lud. York.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin. London.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole. Gloucester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicol.</td>
<td>Osbert. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicol. Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole. Worcester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picot. Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pires. London</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pieres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Coins</td>
<td>In the Pipe Rolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pieres : M. London</td>
<td>Peter Merefin. London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pires : Mer. London</td>
<td>Peter de Salerna. London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roulf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re ... Northampton (?)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rein ... Northampton (?)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimund. Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reiner [Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ... n. r. Stamford (?) or Taunton (?)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric ... Colchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Canterbury.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Exeter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Ilchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Leicester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. London.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Winchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert [Gloucester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodbert. Hereford.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ro ... Ilchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricardus [Kent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricardus Corbeille</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricard. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### On Coins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Ipswich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rodberd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Rodbert</td>
<td>Leicester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogier</td>
<td>Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogier</td>
<td>Exeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogier</td>
<td>Lynn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogier</td>
<td>Oxford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa</td>
<td>Gloucester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siwat</td>
<td>Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siwate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svein</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swetman</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstan</td>
<td>Ipswich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstan</td>
<td>Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Bristol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Launceston</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### In the Pipe Rolls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger the moneyer of the Archbishop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Pechus</td>
<td>Cornwall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salomon</td>
<td>Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen</td>
<td>Worcestershire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Buckinghamshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomas</td>
<td>and Bedfordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkil</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thord</td>
<td>Norfolk and Suffolk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstan</td>
<td>Thetford</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On Coins.</th>
<th>In the Pipe Rolls.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waltier. Wainfleet (?)</td>
<td>Wigerus. Norfolk and Suffolk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wit }</td>
<td>Willelmus. Carlisle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td>Wilechin } his son.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W . . . . m. Chester.</td>
<td>Wilekin }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wi . . . . Colchester.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willam. Durham.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willelm } Newcastle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willelm } Norwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilelm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilelm } St. Edmundsbury.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willelm }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem. Stafford.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willam } Thetford.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willelm } Thetford.</td>
<td>Willelm' Fitz Derewold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td>Willelm' de Wiclewuda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.

#### On Coins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td>Wilton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td>Winchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willem</td>
<td>York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willeman</td>
<td>Thetford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiulf</td>
<td>Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wulfsi</td>
<td>York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### In the Pipe Rolls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willelmus</td>
<td>Wiltshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willelm' de Bretegate</td>
<td>York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wineman</td>
<td>Wiltshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wulwin</td>
<td>Sussex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wulfwin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wulric</td>
<td>Worcestershire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wulfrie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### PART III (APPENDIX).

**Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire.**

6 Henry II. p. 37.

(See under Northamptonshire, etc.)

7 Henry II. p. 11.

*Thomas* the moneyer owes 46s. 8d.

8 Henry II. p. 40.

*Thomas* the moneyer owes 46s. 8d.

9 Henry II. p. 15.

*Thomas* the moneyer renders an account of 46s. 8d. He has paid into the treasury 20s., and he owes 2 marks.

10 Henry II. p. 30.

*Thomas* the moneyer owes 2 marks.

11 Henry II. p. 22.

*Thomas* the moneyer owes 2 marks but he has fled into Scotland.

This entry is repeated:

12 Henry II. p. 11.
13 Henry II. p. 104.

*Thomas* the moneyer owes 2 marks, but he fled into Scotland and is dead.

This entry is repeated:

14 Henry II. p. 8.
15 Henry II. p. 88.
17 Henry II. p. 58.
18 Henry II. p. 49.
19 Henry II. p. 72.
20 Henry II. p. 83.
21 Henry II. p. 50.

**Carlisle.**

5 Henry II. p. 33.

*William Fitz Erembald* renders an account of £100 for the rent of the mine. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

There are many such entries in subsequent years.

10 Henry II. p. 2.

*William* the moneyer renders an account of £200 for the mines of Carlisle. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

11 Henry II. p. 55. *Tichehella.*

The son of Walter the moneyer owes the relief of one knight in *Statt.*

Geoffrey le Briton owes 10 marks for the custody of the land of the son of Walter the moneyer.

16 Henry II. p. 33.

The men of *William* the moneyer owe 100s. for an affray.

17 Henry II. p. 80.

The men of *William* the moneyer owe 100s. for an affray.

---

1 Tickhill in the wapentake of Strafforth (? *Stattforth*) and Tickhill, West Riding of Yorkshire.
18 Henry II. p. 70.

The men of William the moneyer render an account of 100s. for an affray. They have paid into the treasury 26s. 8d., and they owe 73s. 4d.

19 Henry II. p. 114.

The men of William the moneyer render an account of 73s. 4d. for an affray. They have paid into the treasury 2s., and they owe 71s. 4d.

23 Henry II. p. 121.

The men of William the moneyer owe 71s. 4d. for an affray.

Devonshire.

3 Henry II. p. 75.

Ilbert the moneyer renders an account of £11 16s. 8d. for his debt. He has paid 100s. into the treasury and he owes £6 16s. 8d.

4 Henry II. p. 159.

Ilbert the moneyer renders an account of £6 16s. 8d. of the plea of Henry of Essex. He has paid 26s. 8d. into the treasury, and he owes 110s.

p. 160.

The same sheriff (namely, William de Boterell) renders an account of 100s. for the dies of the moneyers. He has paid it to Ralph Fitz Stephen in the King's Court, and he is quit.

The same sheriff renders an account of 20 marks of silver for Roger Peck (Pechus) the moneyer of Cornwall. He has paid it to Hugh de St. Clare by writ of the king, and he is quit.

Richard Fitz Estrange the moneyer of Exeter owes 100 marks of silver for his redemption.

5 Henry II. p. 41.

Ilbert the moneyer renders an account of 110s. for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 40s., and he owes 70s.
Richard Fitz Estrange renders an account of 100 marks for his redemption.

(Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, William de Boterell) renders an account of £136 13s. 4d. for the moneyers. He has paid into the treasury £48 6s. 8d. in three tallies, and he owes 10 marks.

The same sheriff owes 20 marks for Ailric the moneyer.
The same sheriff owes 80 marks for Oswald the moneyer.

6 Henry II. p. 51.
The Burgesses of Exeter.
Ilbert the moneyer owes 70s.
The same sheriff (namely, William de Boterell) renders an account of 10 marks for the moneyers. He has paid into the treasury 100s., and he owes 33s. 4d.
The same sheriff renders an account for 20 marks for Ailric the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury 10 marks and he owes 10 marks.
Oswald the moneyer renders an account of £53 6s. 8d. for his debt. He has paid into the treasury £16 15s. 4d. in two tallies.

7 Henry II. p. 28. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Hugo de Ralega) renders an account of the old debt of the moneyers of 33s. 4d. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.
Oswald the moneyer renders an account of £22 2s. 11d. He has paid into the treasury 60s. and he owes £19 9s. 7d.
Aluric the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid into the treasury 33s. 4d., and he owes 100s.

p. 29.
And the same sheriff renders an account of 100 marks for the moneyers of Exeter. He has paid into the treasury 40 marks.
8 Henry II. p. 4.

Osward the moneyer renders an account of £19 9s. 8d. He has paid into the treasury 6s., and he owes £19 3s. 7d.

Ailuric the moneyer renders an account of 100s. He has paid into the treasury 2 marks in two tallies, and he owes 73s. 4d.

9 Henry II. p. 12.

The same sheriff (namely, Hugo de Ralea) renders an account of £19 3s. 7d. for Osward the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury 6s., and he owes £18 17s. 7d.

Ailric the moneyer renders an account of 73s. 4d. of his debt. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

10 Henry II. p. 19.

The same sheriff (namely, Hugo de Ralega) renders an account of £18 17s. 7d. for Osward the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury 6s., and he owes £18 11s. 7d.

11 Henry II. p. 79.

The same sheriff (Hugo de Ralega) renders an account of £18 11s. 7d. for Osward the moneyer. In pardon by the king's writ to the sheriff himself 6s., and he owes £18 5s. 7d.

12 Henry II. p. 93.

The same sheriff (Hugo de Ralega) owes £18 5s. 7d. for Osward the moneyer.

13 Henry II. p. 169.

The same sheriff (Hugo de Ralega) owes £18 5s. 7d. for Osward the moneyer.


Osward the moneyer owes £18 5s. 7d. for a fine, but he has fled, and Eustace Fitz Stephen has his house, which was in the king's hand, by writ of the king.

This entry is repeated 15 Henry II. p. 48, and 16 Henry II. p. 98.
The moneyers of the same City (Exeter) owe 20 marks.

15 Henry II. p. 51.

The moneyers of Exeter render an account of 20 marks. They have paid it into the treasury, and they are quit.

17 Henry II. p. 25.

Osward the moneyer owes £18 5s. 7d. for a fine, but he has fled, and Eustace Fitz Stephen has his house, which was in the king's hand for the same debt.

This entry is repeated.

18 Henry II. p. 99.
19 Henry II. p. 144.
20 Henry II. p. 90.
21 Henry II. p. 60.

Dorset.

5 Henry II. p. 43.

(Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Warner de Lisoriis) renders an account of 20 marks for the moneyers of Dorchester (Dorecestria). He has paid it into the treasury in two tallies and is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 42.

The same sheriff (namely, Warner de Lisoriis) renders an account of 13s. 4d. for Colbert the moneyer. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

The same sheriff renders an account of 26s. 8d. for Laurin the moneyer. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Essex.


And in default of moneyers for one year 60s.

5 Henry II. p. 5. Essex.

Alexander the moneyer owes £20 by the pledge of William de Lanvalein.¹

¹ As to this William de Lanvalai see British Numismatic Journal, vol. i, p. 115 et seq.
p. 7. Colchester.
   And in default of the mint 60s. and he (the acting sheriff) is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 11.
   *Alexander* the moneyer owes £20 by the suretyship of William de Lanvalein.

   And in default of the mint 60s. and he is quit.

7 Henry II. p. 68. Colchester.
   And in default of the mint 60s.
   And the same (namely, Richard de Luci) renders an account of 405. for *Alfwin* the moneyer. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

8 Henry II. Colchester. p. 11.
   And in default of the mint 60s.

**ESSEX AND HERTFORDSHIRE.**

9 Henry II. p. 23.
   *Gillebert* the moneyer renders an account of £10. He has paid into the treasury 26s. 8d., and he owes £8 13s. 4d.

   And in default of the mint 60s.

10 Henry II. p. 37.
   *Gillebert* the moneyer owes £8 13s. 4d.

p. 38. Colchester.
   And in default of the mint (monete) 60s.

11 Henry II. p. 17.
   *Gillebert* the moneyer renders an account of £8 13s. 4d. He has paid into the treasury 10s. and he owes £8 3s. 4d.

   And in default of the mint 60s.

12 Henry II. p. 124.
   *Gillebert* the moneyer owes £8 3s. 4d.

   And in default of the mint 60s.
13 Henry II. p. 154.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £8 3s. 4d.

p. 158. Colchester.
And in default of the mint £4 for this year.
This entry is repeated each year from 14 to 23 Henry II.

14 Henry II. p. 37.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £8 3s. 4d. for a fine, but he ought to be sought for in Norfolk.
This entry is repeated each year 15 to 22 Henry II.

GLoucestershire.

5 Henry II. p. 27.
(Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff, namely, William de Beauchamp (de Bello-campo) renders an account for £66 13s. 4d. for the donum of the borough of Gloucester (Gloecestria). He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.
The same sheriff renders an account of 13 marks, the donum of the moneyers.
He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

7 Henry II. p. 23.
(Nova placita, etc.)
. . . 1 marks for the moneyers of Gloucester. He has paid into the treasury 11 marks.

8 Henry II. p. 60.
The moneyers of Gloucester owe 9 marks.

9 Henry II. p. 9.
The moneyers of Gloucester owe 9 marks of assize by the suretyship of William de Beauchamp.

10 Henry II. p. 18.
The moneyers of Gloucester owe £6 of assize by the suretyship of William de Beauchamp.

1 The missing words may be surmised to be "The same sheriff renders an account of 20."
Historical Notes on the First Coinage of Henry II.

11 Henry II. p. 12.

The moneyers of Gloucester render an account of £6 of assize by the suretyship of William de Beauchamp. They have paid into the treasury 40s. and they owe £4.

12 Henry II. p. 78.

The moneyers of Gloucester render an account of £4 of old assize. They have paid into the treasury 40s. and they owe 40s. by the suretyship of William de Beauchamp.

13 Henry II. p. 142.

The moneyers of Gloucester render an account of 40s. of old assize. They have paid it into the treasury and they are quit.


Godfrey (Godefridus) the moneyer renders an account of 20s. for a gift (Donum). He has paid it into the treasury and he is quit.

Hampshire.

5 Henry II. p. 46. (Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Turstin) renders an account of £24 13s. 4d. for the moneyers of Winchester (Wintonia). He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

7 Henry II. p. 57.

The same sheriff (namely, Richard Fitz Turstin) renders an account of £20 for the moneyers of Winchester. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.


Herebert Fitz Westman and certain moneyers render an account of 100s. for a fine because they have fabricated together in one house (quia fabricaverunt simulant in una domo). They have paid it into the treasury and are quit.

14 Henry II. p. 181. City of Winchester.

The same [sheriff] (Richard Fitz Turstin) for the aid of the moneyers 106s. 8d. He has paid it into the treasury in 5 tallies and he is quit.
HEREFORDSHIRE IN WALES.

7 Henry II. p. 21. (Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, William de Beauchamp) renders an account of £4 for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

KENT.

4 Henry II. p. 181. (Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Ralph Picot) renders an account of 60s. for the dies of the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 185. Dover.

Adam the moneyer owes 50 marks of silver for his redemption through the pledge of Ralph Picot through Simon de Criville.

5 Henry II. p. 59. (Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Ralph Picot) renders an account of 50 marks for Adam the moneyer of Dover. He has paid into the treasury £6 18s. 10d.


And in payment to a moneyer who received the rent of the archbishopric for a whole year, 20s.

This entry is repeated.

15 Henry II. p. 165.
16 Henry II. p. 161.
17 Henry II. p. 142.
18 Henry II. p. 139.

19 Henry II. p. 87.

Richard the moneyer owes 4 marks for the same assize.

Roger the moneyer of the Archbishop owes 3 marks for the same assize.

21 Henry II. p. 218.

Richard the moneyer renders an account of 4 marks for the
same assize. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Roger the moneyer of the Archbishop owes 3 marks for the same assize.

p. 220.

Richard Corbeille the moneyer renders an account of 60 marks for the chattels of Flemings and of foreign merchants. He has paid into the treasury 40 marks, and he owes 20 marks.

22 Henry II. p. 208.

Roger the moneyer renders an account of 40s. for the same assize. In pardon by writ of the King to Roger himself 40s. And he is quit.

p. 209.

Richard Corbeille the moneyer renders an account of 20 marks for chattels of Flemings and of foreign merchants. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

23 Henry II. p. 208.

Concerning the fine of the moneyers of Canterbury.

Ralph de Ria moneyer of Canterbury and his wife render an account of 1,000 marks for their fine. They have paid into the treasury 100 marks. And they owe £600.

John Fitz Robert owes 400 marks for his fine.

Richard Corbeill 100 marks for his fine.

Salomon and Richard Deodatus owe 600 marks for the same. For the aforesaid debts of the moneyers the sheriff has sureties, and he ought to receive more in the county by order of the justices.

LEICESTERSHIRE.

2 Henry II. p. 45.

And for the conduct of false moneyers 12s. 6d.

LINCOLNSHIRE.

3 Henry II. p. 83.

Gerard, moneyer of Grimsby, renders an account of one mark
of gold. He has paid into the treasury £6 for one mark of gold, and he is quit.

4 Henry II. p. 138.

The moneyers of Lincoln render an account of £220. They have paid into the treasury £26 13s. 4d. and owe £193 6s. 8d.

5 Henry II. p. 64.

The old moneyers render an account of £193 6s. 8d. They have paid into the treasury £84 9s. 4d. in two tallies, and they owe £108 17s. 4d.

p. 65. (Nova placita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Walter de Amundevilla) renders an account of £66 13s. 4d. for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 45.

And in gifts by writ of the Queen £6 13s. 4d. to a certain moneyer.

The old moneyers render an account of £108 17s. 4d. They have paid into the treasury £26 8s. 10d. and they owe £82 8s. 6d.

7 Henry II. p. 16.

The old moneyers owe £82 8s. 6d.

(Nova placita, etc.)

The moneyers of Lincoln render an account of £80. It has been paid into the treasury in two tallies, and they are quit.

8 Henry II. p. 17.

The old moneyers owe £82 8s. 6d.

9 Henry II. p. 66.

The old moneyers owe £82 8s. 6d., of whom four are dead and of the others nothing is found by the faith of the sheriff.

10 Henry II. p. 23.

The old moneyers owe £82 8s. 6d. of whom four are dead and of the others nothing is found.

This entry is repeated 11 to 21 Henry II.
13 Henry II. p. 51.
Randulph Ruffus renders an account of 106s. 1d. for an old fine of the moneymen of Lincoln. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

14 Henry II. p. 68.
Achard the moneyer owes half a mark for default.

15 Henry II. p. 12.
Richard Pateriz and Achard the moneyer owe one mark for default.

16 Henry II. p. 144.
Achard the moneyer renders an account of half a mark for a default. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 150.
Raven the moneyer of Lincoln owes two marks for having the right of succession to his debt which Andreas of Norwich owed to him and his heirs.

17 Henry II. p. 110.
And Raven the moneyer renders an account of two marks for right of succession to his debts.

LONDON.

2 Henry II. p. 4.
And in payment for the mutilating of a false moneyer 6s. 8d. And for the mutilating of William Osmund 5s.

4 Henry II. p. 114.
And the same sheriffs (namely, Reiner Fitz Berengar, Geoffrey the Bursar, Josce the Vintner, Richard Vitullus and Brihtmar de Haverhell) render an account of £12 by number for the commutation of the minting right. He (sic) has paid it into the Treasury and is (sic) quit.

5 Henry II. p. 2.
And in payment of Girard the moneyer 9s. 8d. (owing).
Geoffrey (Gaufridus) the moneyer owes 10 marks.
The same sheriffs (namely, Gervase de Cornhill and John
Fitz Ralph) render an account of 62½ marks for the minting rights. They have paid into the treasury £27 6s. 8d.


Geoffrey the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks and he owes 5 marks.

7 Henry II. p. 18.

The moneyers of London render an account of £40. They have paid into the treasury £34 13s. 4d., and they owe 106s. 8d.

And the same (namely, the citizens of London) render account of £18 6s. 8d., for the chattels of the moneyers, and they are quit.

9 Henry II. p. 72.

And in payments to Roger Ulketel and to Robert the moneyer, approvers of the King, 43s. 2d.

11 Henry II. p. 33.

The moneyers of London render an account of 40 marks of assize for the same army (i.e., of Wales). They have paid into the treasury £20, and they owe 10 marks.

12 Henry II. p. 132.

The moneyers of London owe 10 marks for the same old army (of Wales).

13 Henry II. p. 3.

The moneyers of London render an account of 10 marks for the same army. They have paid it into the treasury and are quit.

14 Henry II. p. 5.

Concerning the aid of the moneyers of London for the marrying of the daughter of the King.

Achard the moneyer owes 100s.

Lefwin Besant renders an account of 5 marks. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

Ailwin Finch owes 2 marks.
Ædmund Seintier renders an account of 3 marks. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

John Peucier owes 2 marks.

Godfrey de Castell renders an account of 33s. 4d. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

John Peucier owes 2 marks.

Godfrey de Castell renders an account of 33s. 4d. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

Turkil his companion renders an account of 2 marks. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

Peter Merefin owes 1 mark.

Peter de Salerna owes 2 marks.

Godwin Ladubur renders an account of half a mark. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

Concerning the aid of the moneyers of London for the marrying of the daughter of the King.

Achard the moneyer owes 100s., but he has gone to Jerusalem.

Ailwin Finch owes 2 marks.

John Pealcier owes 2 marks.

Peter Merefin owes 1 mark.

Peter de Salerna owes 2 marks.

These entries are repeated 16 to 21 Henry II.

Ailwin Finch renders an account of 2 marks for the same aid.

He has paid it into the treasury, and he is quit.

Peter de Salerna renders an account of 2 marks for the same aid. In pardon by writ of the King to the Bishop of London, and he is quit.

Norfolk and Suffolk.

And in default of the moneyers of Ipswich £4.

And in default of the moneyers of Thetford 40s.

The same sheriff (namely, William de Caisneto) renders an
account of 40s. for the commutation of the mint of Ipswich. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 131.

William the moneyer renders an account of 20 marks of silver. He has paid into the treasury 10 marks of silver. And he owes 10 marks of silver.

5 Henry II. p. 8.

And in default of the moneyers of Ipswich and of Thetford £6.

p. 9.

And the same sheriff (namely, William de Caisneto) renders an account of £12 for the Exchange of the money. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 11.

The same sheriff renders an account of £40 for Gillibert the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury £15 6s. 8d. in two tallies and he owes £24 13s. 4d.

The same sheriff owes £31 13s. 4d. for the moneyers of last year.

The same sheriff renders an account of 100s. for Aluric the moneyer. He has paid 50s. in two tallies and he owes 50s.

p. 12.

The same sheriff renders an account of £33 6s. 8d. for the moneyers of Norwich. He has paid it into the treasury in two tallies and is quit.

The same sheriff renders an account of 5 marks for the moneyers of Thetford. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

p. 13.

Osert and Albold, moneyers, owe 4 marks.

David the moneyer owes 1 mark.

Geoffrey (Gaufridus) the moneyer owes 1 mark.

6 Henry II. p. 2.

And in default of the moneyers of Thetford and of Ipswich £6.
Gillebert the moneyer renders an account of £24 13s. 4d. He has paid into the treasury £8 6s. 8d. in two tallies and he owes £16 6s. 8d.

6 Henry II. p. 3.
Edward the moneyer renders an account of £6 8s. 5d. He has paid into the treasury 45s. 1d. and he owes £4 3s. 4d.
The old moneyers owe £23 15s.

Osbert and Albod the moneyers owe 1 mark.
David the moneyer owes 1 mark.
Geoffrey the moneyer owes 1 mark.

7 Henry II. p. 2.
And in default of the moneyers of Thetford and of Ipswich £6.
Gillebert the moneyer renders an account of £16 6s. 8d. He has paid into the treasury £6 in two tallies and he owes £10 6s. 8d.
The old moneyers owe £23 15s.
Osbert and Albod render an account of 1 mark. They have paid it into the treasury and are (is) quit.
David the moneyer renders an account of 1 mark. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.
Geoffrey the moneyer renders an account of 1 mark. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 4. (Novaplacita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, William de Caisneci) renders an account of £200 for the donum of Norwich. He has paid into the treasury £189 6s. 8d. and he owes £10 and 1 mark.
The same sheriff renders an account of 100 marks for the moneyers. He has paid into the treasury £56 13s. 4d. and he owes £10.
The same sheriff renders an account of 10 marks for
Telford (Thetford). He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

p. 5.
The same sheriff renders an account of 10 marks for the moneyers [of Thetford]. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

8 Henry II. p. 62.
And in default of the moneyers of Thetford and of Ipswich 40s.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £10.
The old moneyers owe £23 15s.

p. 63.
The same sheriff (namely, William de Caisnen) owes £10 for Richard the moneyer.

9 Henry II. p. 28.
And in default of the moneyers of Thetford and of Ipswich 40s.

Gillebert the moneyer owes £10 but he should be sought for in Essex.
Thort the moneyer and Ralph, Goscelin, Jordan and Aluric owe £23 15s.
This entry is repeated 10 to 12 Henry II.

10 Henry II. p. 33.
And in default of the moneyers of Norwich 20s.
Richard the moneyer owes £10 but he has fled into Scotland.

11 Henry II. p. 3.
And in default of the moneyers of Norwich 20s.
Richard the moneyer owes £10 but he has fled into Scotland.

p. 6.
Robert the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks for the mint (Cuneo). He has paid it into the treasury in two tallies and he is quit.
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p. 9.
The same sheriff (namely, Oger Dapifer) renders an account of 40s. for the moneyers of Norwich.

12 Henry II. p. 18.
Richard the moneyer owes £10 but he has fled into Scotland.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £8 3s. 4d. and he is accountable in Essex.

13 Henry II. p. 17.
And in default of a moneyer of Norwich 20s.
Thord the moneyer and Ralph, Joscelin, Jordan and Aluric owe £23 15s., but certain of them are dead and others have nothing.
This entry is repeated 13 to 15 Henry II.
Richard the moneyer owes £10.

14 Henry II. p. 15.
And in default of the mint of Norwich 20s.

p. 17.
Richard the moneyer renders an account of £10 for a fine.
He has paid into the treasury 13s. 4d. and he owes £9 6s. 8d.

Turstan and William Fitz Derewold, William de Wiclewuda, moneyers of Thetford, render an account of 5 marks and a half for the same aid. In payment by the King's writ to Reginald de Wař for his scutage which the King had pardoned him, and they are quit.
The moneyers of Norwich render an account of 10 marks for the same aid. In payment by the King's writ to Reginald de Wař 116s. 8d. for his scutage which the King pardoned him, and they owe 16s. 8d.

15 Henry II. p. 93.
And in default of the mint of Norwich 20s.
And in default of one moneyer of Thetford 5s. for a fourth part of the year.
Richard the moneyer renders an account of £9 6s. 8d. for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 1 mark and he owes £8 13s. 4d.
The moneyers of Norwich owe 16s. 8d. for the same (aid).

Gillebert the moneyer renders an account of £8 3s. 4d. for a fine which they required in Essex. He has paid into the treasury 40s. and he owes £6 3s. 4d.
Wiger the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks for his redemption. He has paid into the treasury 3 marks and he owes 7 marks.

Wiger the moneyer renders an account of £8 13s. 4d. for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 13s. 4d., and he owes £8.

Gillebert the moneyer renders an account of £6 3s. 4d. for a fine which was being sought for in Essex.
Wiger the moneyer renders an account of 7 marks for his redemption. He has paid into the treasury 30s. 4d., and he owes 63s.
17 Henry II. p. 2.
And in default of the mint of Norwich 30s.
And in default of one moneyer of Thetford 20s.

p. 4.
Richard the moneyer renders an account of £8 for a fine.
He has paid into the treasury 1 mark and he owes £7 and half a mark.

p. 8.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £6 3s. 4d. for a fine which they sought in vain in Essex.
Wiger the moneyer owes 63s. for his redemption.
This entry is repeated 18 to 21 Henry II.

And in default of two moneyers at Norwich 40s.
And in default of two moneyers at Thetford 40s.

Richard the moneyer renders an account of £7 6s. 8d. He has paid into the treasury 13s. 4d. and he owes £6 13s. 4d.

p. 29.
Gillebert the moneyer owes £6 3s. 4d. for a fine.
This entry is repeated 19 to 21 Henry II.

And in default of four moneyers at Norwich £4.
And in default of two moneyers at Thetford 40s.
And in default of two moneyers of Ipswich 40s.

p. 128.
Hugh Fitz Cecilia the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks for the same aid. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks and he owes 5 marks.

20 Henry II. p. 37.
And in default of five moneyers at Norwich 100s.
And in default of three moneyers at Thetford 60s.
And in default of two moneyers of Ipswich 40s.
Richard the moneyer, etc. (entry as above under p. 26, repeated).
Hugh Fitz Cecilia renders an account of 5 marks for the same aid. He has paid into the treasury 60s. and he owes 6s. 8d.

21 Henry II. p. 108.
And in default of five moneyers at Norwich 100s.
And in default of three moneyers of Thetford 60s.
And in default of two moneyers of Ipswich 40s.
Richard the moneyer owes £6 13s. 4d. which they have sought for from the moneyers of Norwich.

Hugh Fitz Cecilia renders an account of 6s. 8d. for the same aid. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

22 Henry II. p. 60.
And in default of five moneyers of Norwich and three of Thetford and two of Ipswich £10.

Richard the moneyer renders an account of £6 13s. 4d. In pardon by the King's writ to John Bishop of Norwich £6 13s. 4d., and he is quit.

Wiger the moneyer renders an account of 49s. 8d. for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 20s. and he owes 29s. 8d.

23 Henry II. p. 124.
And in default of six moneyers of Norwich and three of Thetford and two of Ipswich £13.

Wiger the moneyer renders an account of 29s. 8d. for a fine. He has paid it into the treasury and he is quit.

Northamptonshire.

4 Henry II. p. 141.
And to the porters by the King's writ on account of the moneyers through Gregory.
The same sheriff (namely, Simon Fitz Peter) renders an account of 100 marks of silver for the moneyers. He has paid £21 13s. 4d. into the treasury and owes £45.

5 Henry II. p. 17. (Novaplacita et nova conventiones.)
The same sheriff (namely, Simon Fitz Peter) renders an account of £25 6s. 8d. for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.
The same sheriff renders an account of £45 for the old moneyers. He has paid into the treasury £21 6s. 8d. in three tallies, and he owes £23 13s. 4d.

6 Henry II. p. 37. (Northamptonshire, Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire.)
Thomas the moneyer renders an account of 100s. for his debt. He has paid into the treasury 53s. 4d. And he owes 46s. 8d. (for subsequent entries see under Buckinghamshire and Bedfordshire).
The same sheriff (namely, Simon Fitz Peter) renders an account of £23 13s. 4d. for the old moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

7 Henry II. p. 34. Northampton (Norhantona).
And the same (namely, Robert Fitz Sawin) renders an account of 26 marks for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

And the moneyers of Northampton 20s. And he has a surplusage of 33s. 4d.

14 Henry II. p. 54. (Nova placita, etc.)
The moneyers of Northampton render an account of £10 for the same aid (i.e., the marriage of Matilda, the King's daughter). They have paid into the treasury 100s., and they owe 100s.

15 Henry II. p. 75.
The moneyers of Northampton render an account of 100s. for
the same (aid). They have paid it into the treasury, and they are quit.

p. 77. Northampton.

The same (Robert Fitz Sawin) renders an account of £81 13s. 4d. for the aid of the Borough of Northampton and the marrying of Matilda the daughter of the King. He has paid into the treasury £62 1s. 4d. And he owes £19 12s., of which £10 are (accounted for) above, for the moneyers of the same town, who render an account above in the county.

19 Henry II. p. 37.

Engelaram the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks for an aid. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks, and he owes 5 marks.

20 Henry II. p. 54.

Engelram the moneyer owes 5 marks for an aid.

21 Henry II. p. 43.

Engelram the moneyer renders an account of 5 marks for an aid. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

NORTHUMBERLAND.

6 Henry II. p. 56.

William the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

12 Henry II. p. 76.

Wilechin the son of William the moneyer of Newcastle owes 30 marks for lead found beneath the earth.

13 Henry II. p. 75.

Wilechin the son of the moneyer of Newcastle renders an account of 30 marks for lead found beneath the earth. He has paid into the treasury 20 marks, and he owes 10 marks.

14 Henry II. p. 170.

Wilechin the son of the moneyer of Newcastle renders an
account of 10 marks for lead found beneath the earth. He has paid into the treasury 42s., etc.

15 Henry II. p. 131.

Wilekm the son of the moneyer of Newcastle renders an account of 43s. 4d. for lead found beneath the earth. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

22 Henry II. p. 137.

And for furnishing two ships to carry the lead which the King gave to the church of Grosmunt from Newcastle to Rochelle £12 5s. 4d. by writ of the King and by the view of Ralph Baard and William the moneyer.

**NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND DERBYSHIRE.**

4 Henry II. p. 154.

The moneyers of Nottingham owe 43 marks of silver through Henry Fitz Ger'.

5 Henry II. p. 52.

The moneyers of Nottingham render an account of 43 marks. They have paid into the treasury 40 marks in two tallies, and they owe 40s.

*(Novaplacita, etc.)*

Walchelinus the moneyer renders an account of £100. He has paid into the treasury £50 and he owes £50.

6 Henry II. p. 43.

Walchelinus the moneyer renders an account of £50. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

19 Henry II. p. 175.

Reginald the moneyer (*Mone*ð) renders an account of 43s. for his cowardice. He has paid into the treasury 4s., and he owes 39s.

N.B.—The word *Mone*ð is an error, as in other years *Moñ* and *Monæ* (for *Monachus*) are used in reference to this person and entry.
OXFORDSHIRE.

5 Henry II. p. 35. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Henry de Oilli) renders an account of £14 for the moneyers of Oxford. He has paid into the treasury £11 6s. 8d., and by pardon through the King's writ to the same moneyers 53s. 4d., and is quit.

7 Henry II. p. 26. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Manesser Arsich) renders an account of 80 marks for the Borough of Oxford and for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Adam the moneyer renders an account of 1 mark on account of an encroachment. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

23 Henry II. p. 13. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Robert de Tureville) renders an account of a half-mark for Adam the moneyer for an encroachment upon the King.

SHROPSHIRE.

5 Henry II. p. 63. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, William Fitz Alan) renders an account of 100s. for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 27.
Warin the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks, and he owes 5 marks.

SOMERSET.

5 Henry II. p. 21. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Richard de Radduna) renders an account of £24 13s. 4d. for the donum of the borough
of Ilchester (Ivelcestria). He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.
The same sheriff renders an account of £8 for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

STAFFORDSHIRE.

5 Henry II. p. 29. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Robert de Stafford) renders an account of 1 mark of silver for the moneyers of Stafford. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 7.
Colebrant the moneyer renders an account of 2 marks. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

SUSSEX.

5 Henry II. p. 61. (Nova placita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, Ralph Picot) renders an account of £10 for the moneyers of Lewes. He has paid into the treasury 113s. 4d. in two tallies, and he owes £4 6s. 8d.

6 Henry II. p. 56.
Wulwin the moneyer renders an account of £4 6s. 8d. He has paid into the treasury 6s., and he owes £4 os. 8d.

7 Henry II. p. 13.
Wulwin the moneyer owes £4 os. 8d.

(O nova placita, etc.)
Orgar the moneyer renders an account of 20s. In payment of the knights of Pevensey (Peuemesel) 20s., and he is quit.

8 Henry II. p. 31.
Wluric (sic) the moneyer owes £4 os. 8d.

Wulwin the moneyer renders an account of £4 os. 8d. He has paid into the treasury 18d., and he owes 79s. 2d.
10 Henry II. p. 3.

Wulwin the moneyer owes 79s. 2d.
This entry is repeated 11 to 21 Henry II.

WARWICKSHIRE.

4 Henry II. p. 185.

Edred the moneyer owes 50 marks of silver for his redemption through Richard de Luci.


Edred the moneyer renders an account of 25 marks.

WILTSHIRE.

4 Henry II. p. 115.

And for making the Polas of the moneyers 18s. 4d.

5 Henry II. p. 39.

(Nova placita, etc.)

Ivo the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid 5 marks into the treasury and owes 5 marks.

William the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks. He has paid 5 marks into the treasury and owes 5 marks.

Reginald the moneyer renders an account of 40s. He has paid 20s. into the treasury and owes 20s.

Hubert the moneyer owes 40s.

p. 40.

Wineman the moneyer renders an account of 5 marks. He has paid 40s. into the treasury and owes 2 marks.

Alfred (Aluredus) the moneyer renders an account of £10. He has paid 100s. into the treasury and he owes 100s.

6 Henry II. p. 17.

Ivo the moneyer renders an account of 5 marks. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

6 Henry II. p. 17.

William the moneyer owes 5 marks.
This entry is repeated 7 Henry II. p. 10.
Reginald the moneyer renders an account of 20s. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Hubert the moneyer renders an account of 40s. He has paid into the treasury 30s. and he owes 10s.

Wineman the moneyer renders an account of 2 marks. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Alfred (Aluredus) the moneyer renders an account of 100s. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

(Nova placita, etc.)

In pardon by writ of the King. And to Geoffrey the moneyer 16d.

7 Henry II. p. 10.

Hubert the moneyer renders an account of 10s. He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

8 Henry II. p. 13.

The same sheriff (namely, Richard Clericus) renders an account of 5 marks for William the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury 10s. and he owes 56s. 8d.

William the moneyer owes 56s. 8d., but he does not remain in this county.


William the moneyer owes 56s. 8d.

This entry is repeated 11 to 21 Henry II.

11 Henry II. p. 58. (Nova placita, etc.)

Anscetil the moneyer of Wilton renders an account of 10 marks for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 44s. in two tallies, and he owes £4 9s. 4d.

Lantier the moneyer renders an account of 10 marks for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 44s. in two tallies, and he owes £4 9s. 4d.

12 Henry II. p. 72.

Anscetil the moneyer renders an account of £4 9s. 4d. for a fine. He has paid into the treasury 33s. 4d. and he owes 56s.

Lantier the moneyer renders an account of £4 9s. 4d. for a
fine. He has paid into the treasury 33s. 4d., and he owes 56s.

13 Henry II. p. 127.

Anschetil the moneyer renders an account of 56s. for a fine.
He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

Lantier the moneyer renders an account of 56s. for a fine.
He has paid it into the treasury and is quit.

WORCESTERSHIRE.

5 Henry II. p. 24. (Novaplacita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, William de Beauchamp) renders an account of 100s. for the mint of Worcester. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.
The same sheriff renders an account of 57 marks and 2s. for the redemption of the moneyers. He has paid into the treasury £4 15s. 4d.

6 Henry II. p. 24,
The same sheriff (namely, William de Beauchamp) renders an account of 20 marks for the redemption of the moneyers. He has paid into the treasury 40s., and he owes 17 marks.

7 Henry II. p. 55. (Novaplacita, etc.)
The same sheriff (namely, William de Beauchamp) renders an account of 10 marks for Godofridus (God) the moneyer. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks, and he owes 5 marks.

8 Henry II. p. 57.

God the moneyer renders an account of 5 marks. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.
The same sheriff (namely, William de Beauchamp) renders an account of £10 6s. 8d. for the redemption of the moneyers.

10 Henry II. p. 4.

Wulric and Ælard moneyers of Worcester owe £10 6s. 8d. for their redemption.
11 Henry II. p. 98.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £10 6s. 8d. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 2 marks, and they owe £9.

12 Henry II. p. 81.

Wulfric and Alard owe £9 for their redemption.

This entry is repeated 13 to 15 Henry II.

p. 82. (Nova placita, etc.)

Stephen the moneyer renders an account of 4 marks for two fugitives at the election of William de Beauchamp. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

16 Henry II. p. 55.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £9 for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 10s., and they owe £8 10s.

17 Henry II. p. 97.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £8 10s. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 10s., and they owe £8.

18 Henry II. p. 22.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £8 for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 7s. 8d., and they owe £7 12s. 4d.

19 Henry II. p. 164.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £7 12s. 4d. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 8s. 4d., and they owe £7 4s.


Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £7 4s. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 6s. 4d., and they owe £6 17s. 8d.

21 Henry II. p. 128.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £6 17s. 8d. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 3s. 8d., and they owe £6 14d.
22 Henry II. p. 35.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £6 14s. for their redemption. They have paid into the treasury 3s. 4d., and they owe £6 10s. 8d.

23 Henry II. p. 64.

Wulfric and Alard the moneyers render an account of £6 10s. 8d. for a fine. They have paid into the treasury 2s., and they owe £6 8s. 8d.

YORKSHIRE.

5 Henry II. p. 32. (Novaplacita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Bertran de Bulemer) renders an account of the debt of the old moneyers. He has paid into the treasury £40.

7 Henry II. p. 37. (Novaplacita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Bertran de Bulemer) renders an account of 30 marks for the moneyers. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

11 Henry II. p. 49. (Novaplacita, etc.)

The same sheriff (namely, Randulph de Glanville) renders an account of £53 6s. 8d. for the moneyers of York (Eboracum). He has paid it into the treasury in three tallies, and is quit.


William White (Alb) renders an account of 15 marks because he has married the wife of a moneyer of York without the licence of the King. He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

p. 93.

Gerard FitzLefwin renders an account of 50 marks for the coinage rights of the mint (Cuneo Monete). He has paid it into the treasury, and is quit.

17 Henry II. p. 73. (Novaplacita, etc.)

William de Bretegata owes 20 marks that he may be relieved from the custody of the mint.
18 Henry II. p. 60.

William de Bretegate renders an account of 20 marks that he may be released from the custody of the mint. He has paid into the treasury 10 marks, and he owes 10 marks.

19 Henry II. p. 8.

William de Bretegate renders an account of 10 marks that he may be released from the custody of the mint. He has paid into the treasury 5 marks, and he owes 5 marks.


William son of Grossus the priest owes 20 marks for the same benevolence and 100s. which his father had owed for the chattels of Herbert the moneyer.

22 Henry II. p. 106.

The same William (son of Grossus the priest) and Robert and Roger and Gerard sons of Grossus the priest render an account of 30 marks for the chattels of Herbert the moneyer. They have paid it into the treasury, and are quit.

23 Henry II. p. 68.

And in default of four moneyers 53s. 4d.